

Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice



THIRD EDITION

Edited by
MAURIANNE ADAMS and LEE ANNE BELL,
with DIANE J. GOODMAN and KHYATI Y. JOSHI

Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice

For 20 years, *Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice* has been the definitive sourcebook of theoretical foundations, pedagogical and design frameworks, and curricular models for social justice teaching practice. Thoroughly revised and updated, this third edition continues in the tradition of its predecessors to cover the most relevant issues and controversies in social justice education (SJE) in a practical, hands-on format. Filled with ready-to-apply activities and discussion questions, this book provides teachers and facilitators with an accessible pedagogical approach to issues of oppression in classrooms. The revised edition also focuses on providing students with the tools needed to apply their learning about these issues.

Features new to this edition include:

- A new bridging chapter focusing on the core concepts that need to be included in *all* SJE practice and illustrating ways of “getting started” teaching foundational core concepts and processes.
- Expanded overview sections that highlight the social justice approach to each topic, the historical contexts and legacies of oppression, opportunities for action and change, and the intersections among forms of oppression.
- Added coverage of key topics for teaching social justice issues, such as establishing a positive classroom climate, institutional and social manifestations of oppression, the global implications of contemporary SJE work, and action steps for addressing injustice.
- A new chapter addressing the possibilities for adapting SJE to online and blended courses.
- New and revised material for each of the core chapters in the book complemented by fully developed online teaching designs, including over 150 downloadables, activities, and handouts on the book’s companion website, www.routledge textbooks.com/textbooks/_author/teachingfordiversity.

A classic for teachers across disciplines, *Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice* presents a thoughtful, well-constructed, and inclusive foundation for engaging students in the complex and often daunting problems of discrimination and inequality in American society.

This page intentionally left blank

Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice

Third Edition

Edited by

Maurianne Adams and Lee Anne Bell
With Diane J. Goodman and Khyati Y. Joshi

Third edition published 2016
by Routledge
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

and by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2016 Taylor & Francis

The right of the editors to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

First edition published by Routledge 1997

Second edition published by Routledge 2007

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Teaching for diversity and social justice / [edited] by Maurianne Adams and Lee Anne Bell with Diane J. Goodman and Khyati Y. Joshi. — Third edition.
pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-138-02333-8 (hardback) — ISBN 978-1-138-02334-5 (pbk.) —

ISBN 978-1-315-77585-2 (e-book) 1. Critical pedagogy—United States.

2. Social justice—Study and teaching—United States. 3. Multicultural

education—United States. 4. Teachers—Training of—United States.

I. Adams, Maurianne. II. Bell, Lee Anne, 1949– III. Griffin, Pat.

LC196.5.U6T43 2016

370.11'5—dc23

2015031677

ISBN: 978-1-138-02333-8 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-138-02334-5 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-315-77585-2 (ebk)

Typeset in Swiss 721 and Classical Garamond
by Apex CoVantage, LLC

Dedication

In Memoriam
John Austin Hunt
October 27, 1930, to July 26, 2015

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

	<i>Preface</i>	ix
	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvii
PART I	THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND PRINCIPLES OF PRACTICE	1
1	Theoretical Foundations for Social Justice Education <i>Lee Anne Bell</i>	3
2	Pedagogical Foundations for Social Justice Education <i>Maurianne Adams</i>	27
3	Design and Facilitation <i>Lee Anne Bell, Diane J. Goodman, and Mathew L. Ouellett</i>	55
4	Getting Started: Core Concepts for Social Justice Education <i>Maurianne Adams and Ximena Zúñiga</i>	95
PART II	TEACHING DIVERSITY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE	131
5	Racism and White Privilege <i>Lee Anne Bell, Michael S. Funk, Khyati Y. Joshi, and Marjorie Valdivia</i>	133
6	Sexism, Heterosexism, and Trans* Oppression: An Integrated Perspective <i>D. Chase J. Catalano and Pat Griffin</i>	183
7	Classism <i>Maurianne Adams, Larissa E. Hopkins, and Davey Shlasko</i>	213
8	Religious Oppression <i>Maurianne Adams and Khyati Y. Joshi</i>	255
9	Ableism <i>Benjamin J. Ostiguy, Madeline L. Peters, and Davey Shlasko</i>	299
10	Youth Oppression and Elder Oppression <i>Keri DeJong and Barbara Love</i>	339
11	Online and Blended Pedagogy in Social Justice Education <i>Andrea D. Domingue</i>	369
12	Critical Self-Knowledge for Social Justice Educators <i>Lee Anne Bell, Diane J. Goodman, and Rani Varghese</i>	397
	<i>About the Contributors</i>	419
	<i>Index</i>	425

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice: Third Edition offers a unified framework for helping people understand and critically analyze multiple forms of oppression in the United States. Our approach to social justice education (SJE) includes both an *interdisciplinary conceptual framework* for analyzing multiple forms of oppression and *a set of interactive, experiential pedagogical principles* to help learners understand the meaning of social difference and oppression, both in social systems and in their personal lives. In an increasingly abrasive and polarized U.S. society, we believe that SJE can play a valuable role in helping people develop a more thoughtful understanding of diversity and group interaction, better prepare to critically evaluate inequitable social patterns and institutions, and find ways to work in coalition with diverse others to create more socially just and inclusive relationships, practices, and social structures.

As social justice educators, our goal is to engage people in becoming aware of and analyzing oppressive socio-political processes, and reflecting on their own position(s) and responsibilities in relation to various forms of oppression. We want to help people consider the consequences of socialization into these inequitable systems, and to think proactively about alternative actions given this analysis.

We believe that traditional lecture-and-listen methods will not stimulate the active involvement necessary to reach social justice goals. In our courses and workshops, we draw upon participatory pedagogies for engaging participants with new and challenging information, and we are intentional about sequence, design, and facilitation so that participants reflect on their own experiences in ways that we hope foster new awareness, critique, self-assessment, and conscious choices about the actions they take in the world.

Our approach to social justice is necessarily collaborative. This collaborative approach is reflected in how we have written this book. Some chapters are co-authored and some are single-authored, but all have been read by a number of us and reflect major areas of agreement in our approach to SJE. For these reasons, we use the terms “our” and “we” throughout the book to reflect the broad area of consensus among the community of social justice educators who have produced this volume. We believe that change cannot happen without the ongoing exchange of ideas about direction, goals, and practice by those affected by oppression. As SJE continues to gain currency and visibility, we hope that practitioners, researchers, and activists acknowledge and build upon each other’s work in ways that can better prepare all of us to engage the problems we face as a society in committed, sustained, and radically creative ways.

CHANGES IN THIS THIRD EDITION

We have learned a great deal since the publication of earlier editions of *Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice (TDSJ)* in 1997 and 2007, and would like to note here the most

significant changes in the third edition. These changes come from suggestions by many users of earlier editions who provided valuable direct and anonymous feedback on what they find most useful about the book and their ideas for improvement.

One of the most significant changes in this edition is that we have moved the detailed curriculum designs (formerly embedded in chapters devoted to a specific form of oppression or “ism”¹) to the companion website for the book. Instructors and facilitators often need rapid access to downloadable designs, activities, and handouts. New technologies have eased this process, and now much of the instructional material from former editions, plus new material for this edition, will be easily accessible on the website for the book.

Chapters 4–10 are accompanied by website materials that flesh out the design presented in the chapter and support instructors and facilitators in teaching effectively about the particular issue the chapter describes. Part 1 of the website for each chapter, in particular, is designed to help instructors and facilitators carry out the leading ideas and purposes of that chapter. We therefore strongly recommend that educators carefully read the chapter before using the website materials in classes and workshops.

We are uneasy about people using our designs and activities out of context and request that users not share website activities and handouts with people who have not purchased the text to read and understand the theoretical and conceptual frameworks, historical themes, and pedagogical principles that stand behind these materials.

A serendipitous consequence of our decision to move curricular materials to the web was space for more in-depth information in each ism chapter. The information we now offer in these chapters makes it possible for instructors and facilitators to assign them as background reading for students and workshop participants. The new and revised material in each ism chapter includes:

- An explanation of our SJE approach and rationale
- Key terms and definitions
- Central issues and historical legacies for understanding the background of each ism
- Contemporary manifestations of the ism and its intersections with other isms and identities
- Examples of individual and collective action that can challenge the ism and foster greater social justice

In response to the lack of historical knowledge we find among many students and workshop participants, we have been more deliberative in this edition about the historical underpinnings in which contemporary oppression is rooted. History operates as a core construct for discerning how each ism is connected to actions in the past that endure in the present but have come to be taken as given. Understanding these historical legacies is essential for making sense of the maintenance and reproduction of oppression in contemporary life, and provides inspiration and examples of resistance and activism that can encourage students and participants to consider ways to take action in the present.

All of the chapters provide examples where the focus ism intersects with other isms, both in the overall system of oppression and in the complex lives of individuals who hold multiple, intersecting identities. While we continue to find it pedagogically useful to foreground one ism at a time, as in previous editions, here we offer examples of intersections to illustrate the kaleidoscopic and simultaneous nature of multiple forms of oppression as they play out in the lives of individuals and institutions. Each curriculum design acknowledges ways in which different issues overlap and intersect in real-life experiences, policies, and practices. More than ever, seeing the intersections is necessary for understanding how location in systems of oppression affects people in similar and different

ways. Understanding the intersections also helps focus interventions that challenge oppression in all of its forms.

We recognize that U.S. manifestations of oppression are embedded in a globalized economy and in its multiple transnational diasporas. It no longer makes sense, if it ever did, to think of U.S.-based issues as separate from their transnational roots or repercussions. The multinational, multiethnic, multicultural, and diasporic dimensions of the topics we teach and their ripple effects on how oppressions play out, and the diversity of the participants in our classes and workshops, make the systematic study of oppression ever more complex. We have tried to show global implications and interconnections through the examples and analyses provided in this new edition.

Following the sections described above, each ism chapter then provides a brief overview of a curriculum design for teaching about that ism that includes learning objectives, key concepts, and activity options. The more detailed design with related activities, materials, and facilitation steps can be downloaded from each ism chapter's website. Each ism chapter concludes with a discussion of the pedagogical and design and facilitation issues specific to teaching about that particular ism. While the preceding chapters on theory (Chapter 1), pedagogy (Chapter 2), design and facilitation (Chapter 3), and core concepts (Chapter 4) provide a comprehensive discussion of SJE content, pedagogy, and design and facilitation strategies, each ism chapter highlights what is especially important for facilitators to know when they teach about the particular ism that chapter addresses.

The first four foundational chapters have been significantly reconceptualized and updated. In Part I: *Theoretical Foundations and Principles of Practice*, Chapters 1–4 lay out the theories, foundations, and frameworks upon which our approach to SJE is based, including the principles of pedagogy and design and facilitation that shape our practice as social justice educators.

Chapter 1 provides an overview of theoretical foundations for understanding the characteristics of oppression in relation to our vision for social justice, based upon lessons for change drawn from social justice movements. Chapter 2 grounds our pedagogy by describing the challenges and opportunities for instructors/facilitators and participants. It summarizes the activist and academic roots of SJE pedagogy and suggests pedagogical principles to guide classroom or workshop teaching and learning. Chapter 3 describes the principles of design and facilitation and the specific facilitation issues that arise in social justice teaching with practical strategies to address them. Chapter 4 then serves as a bridge between the first three foundational chapters and the following ism chapters that apply the theory and principles to specific isms. This bridging chapter focuses upon the core concepts that need to be in all SJE practice, and illustrates ways of “getting started” through a curriculum design for teaching foundational core concepts and processes. This curriculum can be used as a stand-alone introduction to core concepts of SJE or to introduce the SJE approach to one or more specific isms. We strongly encourage users to draw upon Chapter 4 and incorporate these core concepts and principles into their own teaching as they adapt the specific ism designs that follow.

Part II: *Teaching Diversity and Social Justice* describes our approach to teaching five isms or clusters of isms (Chapters 5–11). Chapter 5: *Racism and White Privilege* examines the ways that racism operates differently for different communities of color, including immigrants who are incorporated into the U.S. racial structure when they arrive. This chapter also analyzes the cumulative impact of white advantage and corresponding cumulative disadvantage for people of color that compounds racism over time.

Chapter 6: *Sexism, Heterosexism, and Trans* Oppression* takes an integrative approach that analyzes these three forms of oppression within a single analytic framework. It challenges binary understandings of sex, gender, and sexuality, and looks at these interrelated

identity concepts in relationship to sexism, heterosexism, and transgender oppression. To supplement the integrated design, the chapter website offers updated designs and activities for teaching each of these issues separately.

Chapter 7: *Classism* includes a provocative analysis of the historical legacies and contemporary manifestations of class and classism. It focuses on the historical background for classism, the intersections of classism with other forms of oppression, and the reproduction of advantages and disadvantages that accumulate from the intersections of class with race, gender, age, and other forms of oppression.

Chapter 8: *Religious Oppression* uses the lens of Christian hegemony to explore the long history of Christian advantage and the equally long history of disadvantages for different minority religious groups in the U.S. It provides an analysis of historical U.S. and global conflicts in which religion intersects with class and other forms of oppression, and proposes new emphases in the U.S. on religious pluralism as a route to religious justice.

Chapter 9: *Ableism* describes the historical legacies as well as the current manifestations of the construction of a broad continuum of disabilities, including cognitive, physical, and psychological disabilities, chronic illness, and post-traumatic stress disorder. The chapter explores assumptions about what constitutes a “normal” body and mind, how the physical and social environments construct disability, and how ableism is embedded in U.S. language, institutional policies, architecture, and the overall culture.

Chapter 10: *Youth Oppression and Elder Oppression* looks at the way both youth and elders are oppressed in a society that holds very narrow ideas about human capacity defined by age. The SJE approach to the oppression of youth and elders draws upon theories of colonialism as a way of understanding modern formulations of childhood. The challenges posed by this approach to youth and elder oppression are addressed by detailed facilitation notes.

Chapter 11: *Online and Blended Pedagogy in Social Justice Education* is an entirely new chapter that addresses the challenges and possibilities for adapting social justice content and processes, developed in face-to-face formats, to on-line and blended formats. The chapter compares advantages and disadvantages of the two modes, based on experience with both formats, and proposes curricular, materials, and facilitation notes for a blended SJE curriculum design that frankly acknowledges the opportunities and drawbacks of on-line and blended SJE.

Chapter 12: *Critical Self-Knowledge for Social Justice Educators* concludes the book with a discussion of what instructors and facilitators need to know about themselves in order to teach about social justice issues and interact thoughtfully, sensitively, and effectively with students and participants. It explores the importance of self-reflection and self-awareness, and the ongoing process of exploration and growth that are critical to sustaining a commitment to SJE.

TERMINOLOGY AND NAMING

As in previous editions, we highlight the ways in which historical and contemporary hierarchies of advantage and disadvantage not only divide and rank social identity groups, but also name them in ways that convey privileged and subordinated social identities and social positions. We consider these socio-politically potent either/or categorizing processes for entire groups of peoples to be a major hallmark of oppression. At the same time, we recognize that people engage in a continuous struggle to challenge, redefine, and invent anew more meaningful ways to name and describe their lived experiences of the world,

and often do so by claiming identities and terminology that stand in opposition to categorizing boxes and dualisms. The either/or boxes of dominant and subordinated categories cannot contain the much richer and messier terrain of lived experience that is always in tension with the constraints of oppression, and the experience of simultaneous privilege and disadvantage in the multiple identities people hold.

This edition takes a more intentionally intersectional approach than earlier editions, and we have not found it easy to find a way to introduce concepts of social identity (one by one, incrementally) while also honoring the intersectional simultaneity by which people experience their identities (Goodman & Jackson, 2012). The relation between the binaries, by which oppression forges unequal social categories and the intersectional simultaneity through which they are experienced, is a paradoxical one (Holvino, 2012). Postmodern analyses of contingency, context, and change challenge, in fundamental ways, the stability of social identities and the very social categories themselves (Holvino, 2012; Renn, 2012). We have tried in this edition to note this tension in the way we represent models and constructs, and to acknowledge that “the map is not the territory.”

LANGUAGE

As noted above, resistance to “being” categorized plays out in opposition to the binaries of oppression, and in new ways of naming social identity groups. In the years between editions, we have witnessed the ways that language is in flux, evolving and changing as terms are contested and redefined by communities seeking justice. Thus, keeping up with and respecting the language that groups use to self-name is an important aspect of social justice.

Over the years, names and terms for social identity groups have changed in remarkable ways. One is through the recovery of categories and descriptive terms that previously conveyed negative meanings, such as “queer” (Shlasko, 2005), “trans” (Catalano, 2015), or the noun “Jew” (as distinct from the adjective, *Jewish*).

And there is shifting consensus of appropriate understanding of aboriginal and indigenous peoples of the Americas—grouped categorically as Native Americans, Native American Indians, American Indians, or identified by specific traditional tribal designations such as Cherokee, Kiowa, and Lakota (Horse, 2012). Since these terms are in fluid usage among different communities within or across social identities, we prefer to leave the choice of appropriate language to specific co-authors in this volume rather than attempt a “one-size-fits-all” policy.

We follow an emerging consensus by capitalizing and not hyphenating specific ethnicities that are derived from geographical areas (African American, American Indian or Native American, Asian American). We capitalize ethnicities that are rooted in proper names, but we do not capitalize racial designations because these are constructed terms with no geographical, ethnic, or national connection. We understand that Latino/a, Native American, and Asian/Pacific Islander are ethnic designations that are often racialized in that they conflate race, ethnicity, and pan-ethnicity, and we acknowledge this as part of the crazy-quilt of an emergent U.S. racialized landscape that absorbs ethnic peoples of color into racially subordinated status. (Irish and Italian ethnic designations, understood to be white, remain invisible and are not seen as explicit racial designations in the same ways.)

When we move to global, diasporic immigration issues, racial/ethnic descriptors become even more problematic. As much as possible, we use terms that are specific to national/geographic origin (people of South Asian descent, for example). We do not use the term Hispanic, recognizing that many people from Spanish-speaking countries resist this categorization as a marker of their Spanish colonizers. We use the preferred term, *Latina/o*. In

actuality, people in Latin America do not refer to themselves as either Hispanic or Latino since they define themselves by the country or indigenous population to which they belong (Ecuadorian, Cuban, Brazilian, Quechua, etc.)

We want to encourage the use of inclusive and gender-neutral pronouns (hir, ze; they, their) in our teaching and prefer “they” as a gender-neutral singular pronoun in place of “he” or “she” as one way to be inclusive. Similarly, Latinx is a relatively recent term intended to make Latino/a inclusive of men, women, transgender, genderqueer, and gender-fluid people. While we use Latina/o in this edition as the currently more broadly embraced term, we want to note the ever-changing nature of language to be more inclusive and accurate in describing people. Authors of each curriculum design address other considerations of language usage in their respective chapters.

We recognize that it is problematic to use any single set of terms to convey the complexity of human beings whose identities are derived in part from multiple social identity groups, within the phenomenon of oppression and also within the context of their cultural communities. We no longer use the terms “oppressor” and “oppressed,” for example, to refer to specific advantaged or disadvantaged identity groups, because they too often arouse objections as being too broad from participants who cannot reconcile themselves as oppressors when they feel personally quite powerless, or who resist being defined as oppressed as a denial of agency. We also avoid the similarly contested terms “agent” and “target.”

On the other hand, we struggle to find language that doesn’t trivialize the power and harm of the oppressive system we want to expose. After wrestling with various terms, we have decided to primarily use the following: Advantaged, Privileged, and Dominant to describe groups with access to social power, and Disadvantaged, Marginalized, and Subordinated for groups who are blocked from access to social power. We think these terms, while far from perfect, focus on the structured roles and outcomes of an oppressive system, and we hope to create an invitational rather than confrontational approach that highlights the function of unequal roles in a system rather than attributes of individual people.

Not all of our contributors agree on uniform terminology, and readers will notice the use of different terms in various chapters. However, all of us do agree on the importance of attending to the systemic features that perpetuate inequality and injustice in our society and of the possibility for individuals to work from wherever they are positioned to challenge and change the system.

Our guiding principle is to adopt language preferred by people from oppressed groups to name themselves: “people of color” rather than “nonwhite”; “gay, lesbian, bisexual” rather than “homosexual”; “people with disabilities” rather than “handicapped.” We also note the reclaiming by targeted communities of previously negative terms such as “queer,” “crip,” “girl,” and “trannie” that reframe slurs as positive. We know that naming is a necessarily fluid and sometimes contradictory and confusing process as people/groups insist on defining themselves rather than acquiescing to names imposed by others; we also know that members of marginalized groups may reclaim negative terms amongst themselves, but hear those terms from outsiders as triggers. Social justice educators need to remain sensitive and alert to changes in language, language preferred by specific communities, and outsider/insider terminology. We encourage readers to recognize that such terms will continue to evolve and change, and to appreciate the significance of the power to name oneself as an important aspect of group identity and resistance.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

We continue to be disappointed by the citation practices of libraries, reviewers, booksellers, and scholars who fully name the first author only, as in “Adams, et al. (2007).” This

practice does not acknowledge and may even discourage collaborative work, since academic rewards and professional visibility tend to accrue to first authors, often presumed senior or primary. We have struggled with the question of how to represent the participation of all members of a collaborative team such that both academic rewards and professional visibility are credited equally to all whose ideas, creativity, and writing contribute to the final product.

Any line of names, however arranged, suggests a ranking order. We regret that we have found no successful alternative to the convention of alphabetical order in listing editors and contributors. However, we place a statement at the beginning of each chapter honoring the nature and value of our collaborative work with a request that people who cite us include the names of all authors. We have, in all cases, acknowledged in full citations all the co-authors of the published work we reference in this book. We consider “et al.” an insult to co-authorship.

In the past ten years, we have received feedback from hundreds of people throughout this country and internationally who use and find *TDSJ* a valuable resource. We are gratified to know that our book has been used successfully in many different settings—academic departments (black studies, women’s studies, ethnic studies, teacher education, sociology, psychology, seminaries), student affairs, adult formal and non-formal education, workplace diversity and staff development programs, diversity curricula for general education, upper-level high school courses, grassroots organizing, and start-up activist organizations.

Given the challenges to social justice in the world today, and the difficulties overcome by dynamically evolving social justice movements around the world, we expect that the theory, language, and practice of SJE will continue to evolve as it has since earlier editions of *TDSJ*. We hope we have articulated some of these new developments in the current edition, and that our curriculum will inspire new generations of educators and activists in the cause of social justice. We look forward to a continuing dialogue with those who use this book and to the inspiration they give to our ongoing work for social justice.

Note

- 1 A short-hand term we use to refer to manifestations of oppression that are described in Chapters 5–10 of this volume.

References

- Catalano, C. (2015). Beyond virtual equality: Liberatory consciousness as a path to achieve trans* inclusion in higher education. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 48(3), 419–436.
- Goodman, D. J., & Jackson, B. W. (2012). Pedagogical approaches to teaching about racial identity from an intersectional perspective. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 216–240). New York: New York University Press.
- Holvino, E. (2012). The “simultaneity” of identities: Models and skills for the twenty-first century. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 161–191). New York: New York University Press.
- Horse, P. G. (2012). Twenty-first century Native American consciousness: A thematic model of Indian identity. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 108–120). New York: New York University Press.
- Renn, K. A. (2012). Creating and recreating race: The emergence of racial identity as a critical element in psychological, sociological and ecological perspectives on human development. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 11–32). New York: New York University Press.
- Shlasko, G. D. (2005). Queer (v.) pedagogy. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 38(20), 123–134.

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgements

This book reflects collaboration among several generations of faculty and graduate students in the Social Justice Education program at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, and the various editions reflect changes in the landscape of diversity and social justice that we have presented in classes, workshops, conferences, activism, and everyday life. The development of the theory and practice described in this volume has truly been a collaborative, multigenerational, and ongoing endeavor.

Many of the new features in this third edition were suggested by anonymous users of earlier editions who took the time to fill out detailed questionnaires about how they use the book in their classes and their suggestions for changes. Their input has helped make this a better edition. Each of the new editions of this book rests on the shoulders of those who went before.

This volume benefits from the thoughtful designs offered in earlier editions that provided a foundation for new directions developed by new teams of authors in the current edition. In particular, we want to thank Bailey Jackson and Rita Hardiman for their vision of SJE expressed in earlier editions. Their work continues to inspire and prepare social justice educators.

For chapters in earlier editions, we thank Barbara Love, Rosemarie Roberts, and Charmaine L. Wijeyesinghe on Racism; Steven Botkin, Diane Goodman, JoAnn Jones, Tanya Kachwaha, and Steve Shapiro on Sexism; Katja Hahn, Bobbi Harro, Linda McCarthy, Davey Shlasko, and Tom Schiff for chapters on Heterosexism and Transgender Oppression; Katja Hahn, Donna Mellon, and Gerald Weinstein for Antisemitism chapters; Pat Griffin, Mary McClintock, Laura Rauscher, and Robin Smith for Ableism chapters; Sharon Washington, Barbara Love, and Gerald Weinstein on Knowing Ourselves; Beverly Daniel Tatum and JoAnn Jones on Knowing Our Students; and Linda Marchesani on the Multiple Issues Course Overview that has been integrated into the revised and updated Design chapter and the Chapter 4 website.

We continue to learn and be inspired by graduate students in the Social Justice Education program at the University of Massachusetts Amherst School of Education, who teach the courses and workshops described here, and SJE graduates who are co-authors in this edition. Their creativity, resourcefulness, and passion for teaching contributed greatly to this book and sustained us in our ongoing learning about forms of oppression and approaches to SJE. Many of our colleagues and students took time, under tight deadlines, to provide thoughtful feedback to early drafts of these chapters. Some of them provided new material for chapter websites, and we name them in those specific acknowledgements. We owe a special debt to Davey Shlasko for superb editorial help on several chapters, and to Eun Lee and Jess McDonald for creative solutions to multiple formatting, design, and citation challenges.

We want to thank our authors in this new edition for rising to the challenge of incorporating substantial new material into the chapters and preparing detailed activities, handouts, and resources for the website. In most cases, we have read, reviewed, and helped revise each other's work. It takes a village—a generous community of activists, educators, and scholars.

We are fortunate to work with an amazing editor in Catherine Bernard, who has supported us in re-envisioning this new edition and creating a companion website. Her generosity and firmness, her unflagging belief in this project and in us, her flexibility to help us meet the challenges in our personal lives, the care with which she has read each of our chapters, and her determination that “yes, we will finish this book on time,” make her a uniquely supportive editor. The evolution of this edition could not have happened without her clarity and commitment. We also thank Marlena Sullivan, who read and cross-checked chapter designs with website activities and handouts, with an eagle-eye and thoughtful analysis that prevented many errors and omissions. Autumn Spalding has shown grace under pressure, generosity, patience and clarity in leading us through the copyediting challenges during final edits for the book and the website activities. It is a rare and great gift to have such thorough, supportive, and attentive editors.

Finally, the experience of collaborating as an editorial and writing team with two new people has been a stimulating and rewarding experience. Our energizing conversations about the work before us have challenged and stretched our understanding of SJE as we worked on this edition. We have also been excited to work with new authors, some of whom were once our students and are now our colleagues. It is a joy and a fulfillment to see the work of social justice educators grow, stretch, and influence.

Each of us has critical people in our lives and at our home institutions without whose support (personal, professional, financial) the time and commitment we put into this book would not have been possible. It would overwhelm our readers to try to list them here. They know who they are, and they have our heartfelt appreciations.

PART I

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND PRINCIPLES OF PRACTICE

This page intentionally left blank

Theoretical Foundations for Social Justice Education

Lee Anne Bell

WHAT IS SOCIAL JUSTICE?

Social justice is both a goal and a process. The *goal* of social justice is full and equitable participation of people from all social identity groups in a society that is mutually shaped to meet their needs. The *process* for attaining the goal of social justice should also be democratic and participatory, respectful of human diversity and group differences, and inclusive and affirming of human agency and capacity for working collaboratively with others to create change. Domination cannot be ended through coercive tactics that recreate domination in new forms. Thus, a “power with” vs. “power over” (Kreisberg, 1992) paradigm is necessary for enacting social justice goals. Forming coalitions and working collaboratively with diverse others is an essential part of social justice.

Our *vision* for social justice is a world in which the distribution of resources is equitable and ecologically sustainable, and all members are physically and psychologically safe and secure, recognized, and treated with respect. We envision a world in which individuals are both self-determining (able to develop their full capacities) and interdependent (capable of interacting democratically with others). Social justice involves social actors who have a sense of their own agency as well as a sense of social responsibility toward and with others, their society, the environment, and the broader world in which we live. These are conditions we not only wish for ourselves but for all people in our interdependent global community.

WHAT IS JUSTICE?

Philosophers and others have long debated the question, “What constitutes justice?” Our definition of social justice draws on theories that describe justice as a fair and equitable *distribution of resources* (Rawls, 1999, 2003) with the imperative to address those who are least advantaged (Rawls, 2001). We also draw on theories that affirm the importance of fair and equitable *social processes* (Young, 2011), including recognition and respect for marginalized or subjugated cultures and groups (Young, 1990). We see these two aspects as intertwining, acknowledging that social justice must address *both* resources and recognition. Resources include fair distribution of social, political, and symbolic, as well as economic, assets. Recognition and respect for all individuals and groups requires full inclusion and participation in decision-making and the power to shape the institutions, policies, and processes that affect their lives.

Diversity and social justice are distinct though interconnected terms. *Diversity* refers to differences among social groups such as ethnic heritage, class, age, gender, sexuality, ability, religion, and nationality. These differences are reflected in historical experiences, language, cultural practices, and traditions that ought to be affirmed and respected. Concrete and genuine knowledge of different groups, their histories, experiences, ways of making meaning, and values is important to the social justice goal of recognition and respect.

Social justice refers to reconstructing society in accordance with principles of equity, recognition, and inclusion. It involves eliminating the *injustice* created when differences are sorted and ranked in a hierarchy that unequally confers power, social, and economic advantages, and institutional and cultural validity to social groups based on their location in that hierarchy (Adams, 2014; Johnson, 2005). Social justice requires confronting the ideological frameworks, historical legacies, and institutional patterns and practices that structure social relations unequally so that some groups are advantaged at the expense of other groups that are marginalized. In our view, diversity and social justice are inextricably bound together. Without truly valuing diversity, we cannot effectively address issues of injustice. Without addressing issues of injustice, we cannot truly value diversity.

WHAT IS SOCIAL JUSTICE EDUCATION?

The definition of social justice education presented in this book includes both an *interdisciplinary conceptual framework* for analyzing multiple forms of oppression and their intersections, as well as *a set of interactive, experiential pedagogical principles and methods/practices*. In this book, we use the term “oppression” rather than discrimination, bias, prejudice, or bigotry to emphasize the pervasive nature of social inequality that is woven throughout social institutions as well as embedded within individual consciousness. The conceptual framework and pedagogical approach of social justice education provide tools for examining how oppression operates both in the social system and in the personal lives of individuals from diverse communities.

The goal of social justice education is to enable individuals to develop the critical analytical tools necessary to understand the structural features of oppression and their own socialization within oppressive systems. Social justice education aims to help participants develop awareness, knowledge, and processes to examine issues of justice/injustice in their personal lives, communities, institutions, and the broader society. It also aims to connect analysis to action; to help participants develop a sense of agency and commitment, as well as skills and tools, for working with others to interrupt and change oppressive patterns and behaviors in themselves and in the institutions and communities of which they are a part.

Working for social justice in a society and world steeped in oppression is no simple feat. For this reason, we need clear ways to define and analyze forms of oppression in order to discern how they operate at individual, cultural, institutional, and structural levels, historically and in the present. We hope the theoretical framework presented here—the pedagogical processes presented in Chapter 2, the facilitation and design information in Chapter 3, and the core concepts and introductory design presented in Chapter 4—will help readers make sense of, and hopefully act, more effectively against oppressive circumstances as these arise in different contexts.

WHY THEORY?

Articulating the theoretical sources of our approach to social justice education serves several important purposes. First, theory enables social justice educators to think clearly about our intentions and the means we use to actualize them in educational contexts/settings. It provides a framework for making choices about what we do and how, and for distinguishing among different approaches. Second, at its best, theory also provides a framework for questioning and challenging our practices so that we remain open to new approaches as we encounter inevitable problems of cooptation, resistance, insufficient knowledge, and changing social conditions. Ideally, we will keep coming back to and refining our theory as we read emerging scholarship on oppression, participate in and learn from social justice

movements, and continually reflect upon the myriad ways oppression can alternately seduce our minds and hearts, or inspire us to further learning and activism. Finally, theory has the potential to help us stay conscious of our position as historically and geographically situated subjects, able to learn from the past as we try to meet current conditions in the specific contexts in which we live, in more effective and imaginative ways.

UNDERSTANDING OPPRESSION

Oppression is the term we use to embody the interlocking forces that create and sustain injustice. In this book, we focus on how oppression is manifested through racism, classism, sexism, heterosexism, transgender oppression, religious oppression, ableism, and youth and elder oppression. In order to work toward a vision of justice, it is essential to understand how oppression operates institutionally and personally in everyday life. The features of oppression that social justice educational strategies are intended to expose, analyze, and challenge can be seen as interwoven strands in a social fabric that renders oppression durable, flexible, and resilient, as shown in Fig. 1.1. In order to work against oppression effectively, we need to understand the component strands and how they weave together to reinforce and strengthen each other in maintaining an oppressive system.

1A: Features of Oppression




Figure 1.1 Features of Oppression

The dictionary definition of oppression includes such terms as domination, coercion, cruelty, tyranny, subjugation, persecution, harassment, and repression. These terms describe important overt features of oppression but do not capture the more subtle and covert aspects of how oppression is normalized in everyday life. Below we define and discuss both overt and subtle features that characterize oppression as restrictive, pervasive, and cumulative; socially constructed, categorizing, and group-based; hierarchical, normalized, and hegemonic; intersectional and internalized; and durable and mutable. These features are illustrated with examples that show how they interlock with one another to sustain the overall system. While presented as separate terms, these features in fact interweave and mutually reinforce each other in ways that are not as simple to tease apart as a list of discrete terms might suggest. Rather, they should be understood as interlocking constituent parts of a dynamic process.

RESTRICTIVE

On the most general level, oppression denotes structural and material constraints that significantly shape life opportunities and sense of possibility. Oppression restricts both self-development and self-determination, delimiting the person one can imagine becoming as well as the power to act in support of one's rights and aspirations. It encapsulates the fusion of institutional/systemic discrimination with personal bias, bigotry, and social prejudice through a complex web of relationships and structures that saturate everyday life. For example, the national mythology of the American Dream claims that anyone who works hard enough can get ahead, yet evidence shows that people who grow up poor today have the same odds of staying poor as their grandparents did, regardless of how hard they work or what their aspirations are. Further, intergenerational mobility in the U.S. is significantly lower than other countries (Chetty, Hendren, Kline, Saez, & Turner, 2014).

PERVASIVE

Oppression is institutionalized through pervasive practices grounded in history, law, economic policy, social custom, and education that rationalize and maintain hierarchies among individuals and groups. Individuals are socialized into this system and internalize the dynamics that sustain it. Woven together through time and reinforced in the present, these individual, interpersonal, and institutional practices interact to create and mutually reinforce an all-encompassing, pervasive system. The more institutionalized, sophisticated, and embedded these practices become, the more difficult it is to see how they have been constructed in the first place and how they have come to be taken for granted as inevitable and unchangeable. For example, the pervasiveness of racism is spread across multiple institutions in our society that mutually reinforce one another. Racial profiling by police, employment discrimination, negative images in the media, the inability to get bank loans at the same rates as whites, under-resourced schools, and inadequate health care all interact to support a pervasive system of racism (see Chapter 5).

CUMULATIVE

Oppression accumulates through institutional and social patterns, grounded in history, whose effects aggregate over time. Historical context and detail can reveal the relationships between particular actions, practices, and policies from the past and their structural and cumulative outcomes in the present. For example, the racial wealth gap that exists today is rooted in slavery and genocide that set white and non-Native people on the

road to wealth at the expense of enslaved Africans, dispossessed Native Americans, and exploited Asian and Latino workers. In order to address the racial wealth gap that exists today, it is important to understand the pervasive and cumulative factors that created and continue to sustain it.

For example, the current situation of extreme poverty and isolation of Native American peoples is a result of a long legacy of historical, legal, economic, and educational policies that stripped them of land, rights, cultures, religious practices, and languages through forced relocation, conversion, mass extermination, boarding schools aimed at “removing the Indian” from the child, and paternalistic government oversight. Stereotypes and misinformation perpetuated through movies, textbooks, and popular culture sanitize and rationalize this history.

The corresponding benefits for non-Native people, who profit from appropriated Indian land and wealth that has grown exponentially over centuries, are rendered invisible. Today, the relative success of the non-Native, white majority is attributed to their work ethic and character, while the lack of success of Native peoples is ascribed to problems in their communities rather than the historical, political processes that create and sustain differential outcomes. Mainstream television, media, children’s books, cartoons, and popular culture socialize non-Indians to view Native people as quaint artifacts of the past who have vanished or as mascots for sports teams and wealthy casino owners. Most non-Indian people in the U.S. know very little about the present circumstances of Native peoples, their living cultures, or how government policies constructed and perpetuate the dire circumstances they face. Likewise, most non-Native people are unaware of their share in the cumulative benefits reaped from this process of dispossession. All of these conditions combine to describe the pervasive impact of the oppression Native Americans face as a result of racism, colonialism, religious oppression, and economic imperialism.

The cumulative properties of oppression are also evident in the concept of microaggressions. These are the daily, constant, often subtle, and seemingly innocuous, covert and overt negative messages and actions directed toward people from marginalized groups (Sue, 2010). Because they are incessant and difficult to respond to, they take a cumulative toll on the psyche of individuals who are oppressed. Microaggressions “are in fact, a form of everyday suffering that have been socially and systemically normalized and in effect minimized” (Huber & Solorzano, 2015, p. 304). They show the tangible, cumulative ways oppression manifests in the daily lives of people who experience them.

SOCIALLY CONSTRUCTED CATEGORIES

Social construction is the process by which society categorizes groups of people. In the U.S., constructed social categories are based on race, class, gender, sexuality, age, religion, and other social markers. The ways in which a society categorizes social identity groups are embedded in its history, geography, patterns of immigration, and social-political context. The group categories upon which oppression is based, such as gender roles or racial designations, are not “real,” but through implicit beliefs and social practices that operate as if real, they become so in practice. Social constructions are used to rationalize differential treatment or allocation of resources and to explain social reality in ways that make inequitable outcomes seem inevitable.

For example, the construction of distinct racial groups was produced to justify particular social, economic, and political practices that justified the enslavement, extermination, segregation, and exploitation of other “races.” The meaning-making system of race gained force and power through its reproduction in the material practices of the society across historical eras. Anti-miscegenation laws and segregation in housing, employment,

schooling, and other areas of social life reproduced and reinforced race as a social category. Thus, using, and continuing to use, race to allocate resources and opportunities made race real in practice. Today, the idea of race is so taken for granted that it is difficult to see the apparatus that created it in the first place (Leonardo, 2013).

The social construction of gender provides another example. Gender divides humans into categories of male and female with dichotomous masculine or feminine identities, traits, and social roles. A socialization process that treats presumed gender differences as innate reinforces these constructs and makes them appear “natural” (i.e., that there are only two genders—male and female; or that boys are naturally active and rambunctious, while girls are passive and sweet). Such assumptions are supported and reinforced through social norms, roles, and interpersonal and organizational practices that regard and treat males and females accordingly. The assumption that females are innately more emotional and empathic than males is reflected in an organization of labor that slots females in the majority of caretaking roles and positions, where they typically earn lower wages than men, face limits on advancement, and remain the primary caretakers of both children and elders. Despite the many advances women have made in the past few decades, this division continues to show up in social science research on employment, the division of labor at work and at home, and prevalent stereotypes about male and female roles (World Economic Forum, 2014).

Social constructions presented as natural and inevitable are difficult to question and challenge. Once their provenance comes into question, however, imagining alternative scenarios becomes possible. Part of the work of social justice education and social justice movements is to expose and take apart oppressive constructs, understand how they have been created and maintained, and then reconstruct more just ways to organize social life. The construct of gender has been valuably problematized by queer theory (Turner, 2000). “A central endeavor of feminist, queer, and trans activists has been to dismantle the cultural ideologies, social practices, and legal norms that say that certain body parts determine gender identity and gendered social characteristics and roles” (Spade, 2011, p. 61). Once this construction is challenged, the way is open to imagining a system of “gender self-determination for all people and to eliminating coercive systems that punish gender variance” (Spade, 2011, p. 59).

History, geography, patterns of immigration, and socio-political context are important to how identities are categorized and constructed. For example, the group labeled “Hispanic” in the United States is extremely diverse, comprised of people from many different countries of origin who speak various languages; they are from divergent racial, ethnic, and socio-economic groups and arrive in the United States under widely different conditions of immigration, colonization, or slavery, and over different time periods (Anzaldúa, 1987; Oboler, 1995). The category may include a Spanish-speaking, upper-class white man from Cuba as well as a dark-skinned, Mayan speaking, Indian woman from Guatemala. The dominant society lumps them together in a group labeled “Hispanic” to which certain attributions, assumptions, and stereotypes are applied. Yet their experiences are so divergent as to have little in common at all but for the common group experience of cultural, ethnic, and linguistic oppression based on their categorization and location in a U.S. hierarchy. Indeed, this lumping is often the basis for political organizing among different groups labeled Latino/as who organize as a pan-Latino/a group. The same is true for pan-Asian organizing that cuts across national origins, language, conditions of immigration, and other factors.

Individuals, of course, push back against limiting labels, often through reclaiming and redefining these terms. Social group identities can be consciously embraced and affirmed as a fundamental aspect of self-definition in opposition to oppression. The emergence of

black consciousness, gay pride, feminist solidarity, disability rights, the gray panthers, red power, la raza, and other self-chosen labels demonstrates the significance of self-ascribed group status for resisting devaluation by the dominant society (Young, 1990). In fact, individuals may embrace multiple self-ascriptions and align with others in complex coalitions that defy easy categorization (“crips” of color, black lesbian feminists, QTPOC (queer &/ or trans people of color). Social justice is concerned with recognizing and respecting the differences and distinctions valued by diverse individuals and groups, not with forcing conformity to a unitary norm, while at the same time challenging hierarchies that divide and discriminate among groups.

In actual practice, neither individual identities nor social groups are homogeneous or stable. Identity categories interact with and co-constitute one another in different geographic and historical contexts to create unique social locations (Hankivsky, 2014). Essentialist notions of group identity as fixed ignore the fluid and changing ways that people experience themselves, both as individuals and as members of different social groups, over the course of a lifetime (Anzaldúa, 1987; Hurtado, 2003; Mohanty, Russo, & Torres, 1991). We need to “recognize the ways in which positions of dominance and subordination work in complex and intersecting ways to constitute a subject’s experiences of personhood” (Nash, 2008). Queer theory pushes further to challenge and deconstruct categories of identity that have been normalized and to question assumptions of uniformity within groups that mask important differences among individuals inside any particular category (Marcus, 2005; Warner, 1999). “Despite our desperate, eternal attempt to separate, contain, and mend, categories always leak” (Trinh, 1989, p. 94).

POWER HIERARCHIES

Social groups are sorted into a hierarchy that confers advantages, status, resources, access, and privilege that are denied or rationed to those lower in the hierarchy. Social groups are not simply different, but ranked in a hierarchy. Thus, individuals are positioned as *dominant* or *advantaged* in relation to other groups that are *subordinated* or *disadvantaged*. Power hierarchies create and maintain a system of advantage and disadvantage based on social group membership.

Dominant groups hold the power and authority to control, in their own interests, the important institutions in society, determine how resources are allocated, and define what is natural, good, and true. They are seen as superior, more capable, and more credible—as normal—compared to those who are differently situated. People in dominant groups are socialized to accept their group’s socially advantaged status as normal and deserved, rather than recognizing how it has been conferred through systems of inequality. Thus, one of the privileges of dominant group status is the luxury to see oneself as simply an individual. Group status is typically invisible and unmarked, as is evident in how jarring it is when someone comments on maleness or whiteness or straightness in most settings. A white man, for example, is rarely defined by “whiteness” or “maleness.” If he does well at his job, he is acknowledged as a highly qualified individual. If he does poorly, the blame is attributed to him alone.

Subordinated or marginalized groups are represented as less than, inferior, and/or deviant. People who are oppressed are not seen as individuals but as representatives or members of social groups (Cudd, 2006; Young, 1990). For people in subordinated groups, social group membership trumps individuality. They can never fully escape being defined by their social group memberships and the ascriptions the dominant society applies to their group. A Puerto Rican woman, for example, may wish to be viewed as an individual and acknowledged for her personal talents and abilities. Yet she can never fully escape the

dominant society's assumptions about her racial/ethnic group, language, and gender. If she excels in her work, she may be seen as atypical or exceptional. If she does poorly, she may be seen as representative of the limitations of her group. In either case, she rises or falls not solely on the basis of individual qualities, but always partly as a member of the social group(s) with which she is identified.

Thus, those in subordinated groups are caught in a contradiction created by an oppressive system that claims they are free individuals but treats them according to group status. Whether or not individuals in the same social group define themselves in the same way, they must deal with the stereotypes and assumptions attributed to their group and used to rationalize hierarchical relationships. A person's self-defined group identity may be central, as religious identity is to a traditionally observant Jew or Muslim. Or it may be mainly background, only becoming salient in certain interactional contexts, as Jewish identity may become for an assimilated Jew when confronted with antisemitism, or as Muslim identity may become for an Arab or Indian targeted by anti-Muslim prejudice (Malik, 2010). Regardless, they must struggle for individual self-definition within the burden of oppressive attributions, assumptions, and practices toward their group(s).

Young (1990) developed the concept of five faces of oppression to distinguish families of concepts or conditions that constitute oppression differently in the lives of different groups. The five faces are: exploitation, marginalization, powerlessness, cultural imperialism, and violence. In our teaching, we often use these five terms as a heuristic device to illustrate the shared and different ways oppression plays out and is experienced among different groups of people. In some of the *ism* chapters in this book, the five faces are used as a tool to examine how oppression operates for that oppression (see Chapter 8, Religious Oppression, and Chapter 9, Ableism, for examples).

HEGEMONIC AND NORMALIZED

The concept of hegemony was developed by Gramsci to explain how domination and control are maintained not only through coercion but also through the voluntary consent of both those who are dominated and those who gain advantage because of the oppression of others (Simon, 2002). Through hegemony, the reproduction of advantage and disadvantage come to be assumed as natural, normal, "business as usual," even by those who are disempowered.

Woven so effectively into the social fabric, the processes and effects of oppression become normalized, thus making it difficult to step outside of the system to discern how it operates—like fish trying to understand the water in which they swim. For example, the exclusion of people with disabilities from many jobs does not require overt discrimination against them. Business as usual is sufficient to prevent change. Physical barriers to access go unnoticed by those who can walk up the stairs, reach elevator buttons and telephones, use furniture and tools that fit their bodies and functional needs, and generally move in a world that is designed to facilitate their passage, and thus support and maintain policies that seem perfectly natural and fair from the privileged vantage point of those not affected.

In hegemonic systems, power is relational and dynamic, something that circulates within a web of relationships in which we all participate, rather than something imposed from top down (Foucault, 1980). Power operates not simply through persons or groups unilaterally imposing their will on others, but through ongoing systems that are mediated by well-intentioned people acting, usually unconsciously, as agents of oppression by merely going about their daily lives. Hegemony and structural injustice are thus produced and reproduced by "thousands or millions of persons usually acting within institutional rules and according to practices that most people regard as morally acceptable" (Young, 2011,

p. 4). In such a system, responsibility for oppression often cannot be isolated to individual or institutional agents but is rather more indirect, collective, and cumulative.

Hegemony is also maintained through “discourse,” which includes ideas, texts, theories, language, and ideology. These are embedded in networks of social and political control that Foucault (1980) called “regimes of truth.” Regimes of truth operate to legitimize what can be said, who has the authority to speak, and what is sanctioned as true (Kreisberg, 1992). For example, until women began speaking out about spousal abuse, a husband’s authority to physically control his wife often went unchallenged, rendered invisible through the language of family privacy and presumptions of sexual consent in marriage. Received wisdom that young people are irresponsible and immature, or that old people are no longer capable of contributing to society in meaningful ways, are other examples of hegemonic discourse.

Through hegemony, the roles and rules, institutional norms, historical accounts, and social practices of dominant groups come to be accepted as the natural order. The advantages of dominant groups and the disadvantages of marginalized groups are normalized through language, ideology, and cultural/material practices. For example, despite rhetoric that the United States is a secular nation, Christian symbols, holidays, and rituals are routinely integrated into public affairs and institutions. Other religious and spiritual traditions held by large numbers of Americans, including Jews, Muslims, Hindus, Sikhs, and Native Americans, are invisible or marginalized, so much so that when members of these groups protest, they are often viewed as challenging the American (i.e., Christian) way of life (Kruse, 2015) (see Chapter 8).

In a similar vein, the material and other advantages of whites as a group are normalized and justified as fair and deserved. As a group, whites earn more money and accumulate more assets than African Americans, Native Americans, and Latina/os; hold the majority of positions of power and influence; and command the controlling institutions in society (Demos, 2015; Lipsitz, 2006; Oliver & Shapiro, 2006). White-dominated institutions restrict the life expectancy, infant mortality, income, housing, employment, and educational opportunities of people in these groups, while enhancing opportunities for white people (Smelser, Wilson, & Mitchell, 2001). When we look beneath the normalizing assumptions that support the status quo, we can see that advantages are not the inevitable result of hard work in a fair system, but rather the created effects of a system rigged in favor of whites in countless and cumulative ways (see Chapter 5).

INTERNALIZED

Through the process of socialization, members of a society appropriate and internalize social norms and beliefs to make meaning of their experiences (Vygotsky, 1978) and to fit in, conform, and survive. As part of this process, people learn and incorporate oppressive stereotypes and beliefs reflected in the broader society. Such stereotypes and beliefs circulate through everyday language and cultural scripts (L. A. Bell, 2003; Bonilla-Silva, Lewis, & Embrick, 2004) that frame their assumptions and interactions with others. In this way, oppression is internalized so that it operates not only through external social institutions and norms, but also through discourse and practice (Fanon, 1968; Freire, 1970; Memmi, 1965; Miller, 1976).

The processes of socialization and internalization illustrate how an unjust status quo comes to be accepted and replicated by those who benefit as well as by those who suffer from oppressive norms. Attribution and internalization are thus reciprocal and mutually reinforcing processes. People may adopt oppressive beliefs and stereotypes attributed to their group, regardless of their particular social locations. To varying degrees, poor people and affluent people alike internalize the attribution that people who are poor deserve and

are responsible for poverty, and that the success of wealthy people is merited and deserved. Attributions that youth are irresponsible and incapable of serious commitments, or that elders are slow and less vital than middle-aged people in their “prime,” are taken as true by people of all ages.

Conditions of oppression in everyday life are reinforced when we accept systems of domination without question or challenge. As eloquently put by Audre Lorde, “the true focus of revolutionary change is to see the piece of the oppressor inside us” (1984, p. 123). Both those who are advantaged and those who are penalized play a role in maintaining oppression. When members of penalized groups accept and incorporate negative attributions of their group fostered by the dominant society, they collude in supporting the system of oppression (Fanon, 1968; Freire, 1970; Memmi, 1965; Miller, 1976). They may go along because they internalize the false belief that the system is legitimate and/or as a means of survival. Women, for example, may actively accept the belief that men are more capable in politics and business and women more naturally suited to housework and childcare, and unquestioningly adopt assumptions about female limitations and negative stereotypes of women as weak, overemotional, and irrational. Or women may consciously reject such stereotypes, but go along with male dominance as a means of survival, because to challenge may mean risking jobs, relationships, and physical security. Internalized subordination exacts a psychic toll, generating feelings of powerlessness, inferiority, and even self-hatred. It may prompt hiding oneself from others, resignation, isolation, and hopelessness in those who go along with it (Pheterson, 1990).

Those in advantaged positions also adopt and internalize oppression and perpetuate norms, policies, and practices that support the status quo. Through internalized domination, individuals in the advantaged group learn to look at themselves, others, and the broader society through a distorted lens in which the structural privileges they enjoy and the cultural practices of their group are taken to be universal, superior, and deserved (Jost, Banaji, & Nosek, 2004; Piff, 2014). Internalized domination has psychic consequences, too, including false feelings of superiority, self-consciousness, guilt, fear, projection, and denial (Frankenberg, 1993; Pharr, 1988).

Internalized domination and internalized subordination can cause members of both dominant and subordinated groups to devalue or turn on members of their own group who challenge the status quo (Bivens, 2005). Such “horizontal hostility” (Freire, 1970; White & Langer, 1999) blocks solidarity and prevents organizing for change. For example, GLBTQ people who stay in the closet in order to survive may resent activists who insist on publicly working to challenge discrimination against their group. This division within the community helps to maintain the system of heterosexism and transgender oppression, and prevents solidarity and working together for change. People in dominant groups also engage in horizontal hostility toward members of their group who challenge the status quo. For example, white people label other white people who challenge racist practices as “troublemakers,” “extremists,” or “bleeding hearts.” Pressure against rocking the boat or “making trouble” can keep people in dominant positions from challenging inequality and discrimination. By simply doing nothing and going along with business as usual, people perpetuate an unequal status quo.

Internalization and collusion are further complicated by the fact that most people, through the intersecting identities they hold, may experience privilege and penalty simultaneously. Thus, a middle-aged white woman may focus on the penalties she experiences based on a subordinated gender status but ignore the privileges she obtains through her dominant race and age status. A middle- or upper-class man with a disability may focus on his subordinated status as a disabled person and remain unaware of the privileges he receives through dominant class and male status.

However, internalized subordination and domination can be unlearned through consciousness-raising, examining and challenging oppressive attitudes and assumptions that have been internalized, and imagining and enacting new ways of being. Harro (2008) traces the way individuals learn and internalize their roles through interaction with family and other institutions in society. She also uses the same model to illustrate how individuals can come to consciousness about their roles in the system and take action at various points to challenge and change oppressive relationships and actions (Harro, 2008). Love (2013) calls this developing a liberatory consciousness (described further in the final section of this chapter).

INTERSECTIONAL

Each form of oppression has distinctive qualities and historical/social legacies that distinguish it from other forms of oppression, and we believe that learning about the specific legacies and historical trajectories of different groups is critical for understanding the specific ways different forms of oppression operate. At the same time, we recognize that different forms of oppression interact with and co-constitute one another as interlocking systems that overlap and reinforce each other, at both the systemic/institutional level and at the individual/interpersonal level (Collins, 1990; Crenshaw, 2003).

Telescoping in on a single form of oppression can provide valuable information for understanding the particular historical contexts and contemporary manifestations of that oppression. Panning out to focus on the broader pattern of interlocking systems yields important knowledge about general features of oppression that cut across specific forms and about how different forms mutually reinforce each other. Focusing on the intersections where different forms of oppression meet in the lives of particular individuals can reveal the differential impacts of varying locations within the overall system of oppression.

Racism and sexism, for example, can be examined as mutually reinforcing systems that operate according to similar principles of social construction, categorization and hierarchy, normalization, hegemony, etc. However, race and gender also operate in particular and distinctive ways depending on historical context and normative social practices. Understanding the distinctive ways in which racism and sexism function can be helpful for determining how best to challenge each one at a particular point in time (Luft, 2009). For example, Luft argues that given the essentialism with which gender is currently viewed by large numbers of people and renewed debates about “innate” gender differences at this particular moment in our history, a decision to focus on deconstructing gender roles and tracing the interests behind them may be most effective. Whereas, in an era where color blindness is constantly invoked to deny or minimize the existence of racism, a focus on deconstructing race may inadvertently support claims that the U.S. is “post-racial” and “beyond racism.” In this case, a decision to demonstrate the reality of “race” in terms of social outcomes (poverty, incarceration, disenfranchisement, etc.) may be more effective for challenging racism (Luft, 2009).

Valuable learning about how oppression operates can also be drawn from pinpointing what happens to individuals and groups who are differently situated at the intersections of multiple oppressions. For example, the experiences of transgender people who are also poor and of color sheds light on how class and race can interact with gender expression to render some individuals and groups more invisible and expendable than others who may also be oppressed. Focusing on poor women of color, or homeless lesbians and trans women, provides another example where attention to the unique impacts of violence toward particularly situated women can provide a more nuanced understanding of the problem of gender violence. Such an intersectional approach to gender violence can reveal

how strategies predicated on the experiences of white, middle class, heterosexual, and cisgender women may not address the particular problems and obstacles faced by poor women, women of color, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender women because of their different locations within intersecting forms of oppression (Cho, 2013).

For each form of oppression, we can be purposeful in looking at how it intersects with other forms:

We challenge individuals to see interconnections by “Asking the other question.” When I see something that looks racist, I ask, “Where is the patriarchy in this?” When I see something that looks sexist, I ask, “Where is the heterosexism in this?” When I see something that looks homophobic, I ask, “Where are the class interests in this?”

(Matsuda, 1987, p. 1189)

Intersectionality operates at the level of identity, as well as the level of institutions and the overall system, in ways that are multiplicative (Wing, 2003), and simultaneous (Holvino, 2012). Individuals experience their lives based on their location along all dimensions of identity and thus may occupy positions of dominance and subordination at the same time (Collins, 1990; Crenshaw, 2003). For example, an upper-class professional man who is African American (still a very small percentage of African Americans overall) may enjoy economic success and professional status conferred through being male, and class privilege and perhaps dominant language and citizenship privilege as an English-speaking native-born citizen, yet face limitations not endured by co-workers who are white. Despite economic and professional status and success, he may be threatened by police, unable to hail a taxi, and endure hateful epithets as he walks down the street (Ogletree, 2012). The constellation of identities that shape his consciousness and experience as an African American man, and his varying access to privilege, may fluctuate if he is light or dark skinned; Ivy League educated or a high school dropout; heterosexual, gay, or transgender; incarcerated or unemployed; or a tourist in South Africa, Brazil, or Europe, where his racial status will be differently defined.

From our perspective, no single form of oppression is the base for all others; all are connected and mutually constituted in a system that makes them possible. We find it useful to identify where and how different isms coalesce or diverge in particular historical, geographical, and institutional periods (Weber, 2010) in order to understand the “technologies of categorization and control” (Wacquant, 1997, p. 343) that operate to discipline human beings to accept injustice. While Chapters 5–10 each zero in on one form of oppression, they also point to the interconnections with other forms throughout their discussion and design.

In our approach, we argue for the explanatory and political value of identifying the particular histories, geographies, and characteristics of specific forms of oppression as well as the intersections across isms that mutually reinforce them at both the systemic and individual levels. Focusing on one facet of a prism does not remove it from its broader context, but provides a way to highlight and focus in order to ground learning at a particular point in time.

DURABLE AND MUTABLE

A final feature of oppression is its resilience and ability to shape-shift into new forms to prevail against challenges to it. The civil rights movement was successful in eliminating *de jure* segregation, but the system of racism evolved to create new ways to segregate and discriminate while calling itself “post racial.” Obviously, overt discrimination still exists, but racism has also become more subtle and insidious. For example, Haney-Lopez (2014)

examines how overt racist appeals from politicians that were more prevalent in the past have morphed into coded language and images (“dog whistle politics”). Veiled statements that link public goods such as health care and welfare, to race have been successful in getting white voters to support policies that favor the wealthiest few, even though it harms their own self-interest in gaining access to these services.

CONSEQUENCES FOR ALL

Oppression has consequences for everyone. People in both marginalized and advantaged groups are dehumanized by oppression (Freire, 1970). Thus, a goal of social justice education is to engage all people in recognizing the terrible costs of maintaining systems of oppression. For example, when millions of Americans are homeless and hungry, those who are comfortable pay a social and moral price. The cost of enjoying plenty while others starve is the inability to view our society as just and see ourselves as decent people. Just as important, it also prevents a clear view of underlying structural problems in the economic system that ultimately make all people vulnerable in a changing international economy that disregards national boundaries or allegiances. The productive and creative contributions of people who are shut out of the system are lost to everyone. Rising violence and urban decay make it increasingly difficult for anyone to feel safe. Reduced social supports, limited affordable housing, and scarcities of food and potable water loom as a possible future for all who are not independently wealthy, particularly as people reach old age.

The impetus for change more often comes from those on the margins, since they tend to see more clearly the contradictions between myths and reality and usually have the most incentive to change (Collins, 1990; Freire, 1970; Hartsock, 1983; Harding, 1991). The “subjugated knowledge” of oppressed groups defines the world and possibilities for human existence differently and offers valuable alternative analyses and visions of what is possible (Collins, 1990; Wing, 2003). Cho argues that “looking to the bottom” is an inclusionary way to understand oppression because “restructuring the world according to those who are multiply disadvantaged will likely and logically mean that those who are singularly disadvantaged will also be unburdened” (Matsuda, 1987, p. 2012). Listening to and learning from the analyses and experiences of members of multiply marginalized groups can lead to a clearer understanding of how oppression operates, and can suggest more imaginative alternatives for socially just relationships and institutional policies.

For example, in a world controlled by and built to accommodate supposedly able-bodied people, those who want to challenge ableism must discern the institutional, legal, social, and educational patterns and practices, as well as individual biases and assumptions, that restrict full access and participation of all people. Those who have direct experience with ableism are likely to be more aware of the barriers and to have ideas about how to make institutions and physical environments more inclusive.

Those advantaged by the system also have an important role to play in joining with others to challenge oppression. They can expose the way advantage works from the inside and articulate the social, moral, and personal costs of maintaining privilege. Those in dominant groups can learn to see that they have an investment in changing the system by which they benefit, by recognizing they also pay a price (Goodman, 2011). Some argue this commitment comes through friendship (Spelman, 1988), others that it comes only through mutual struggle for common political ends (Mohanty, Russo, & Torres, 1991). Throughout human history, there have always been people from advantaged groups who used their power and position to actively fight against systems of oppression (Aptheker, 1993; Wigginton, 1992; Zinn, 2003). White abolitionists, middle- and upper-class anti-poverty crusaders, and men who supported women’s rights are examples. Those who are advantaged are able to unmask

the role dominants play in maintaining the system and articulate the high moral and societal cost of privileged status in an unequal society (Thompson, Schaefer, & Brod, 2003).

WORKING FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE

Given that systems of domination saturate both the external world and our individual psyches, how do we challenge and change them? In a context where we are all implicated, where we cannot escape our social location, how do we find standpoints from which to act (Lewis, 1993)? A commitment to social justice requires a moral and ethical attitude toward equality and possibility, and a belief in the capacity of people to transform their world (Freire, 1970; Weiler, 1991). Oppression is never complete; it is always open to challenge, as is evident if we understand history and learn lessons from past movements for justice. The next section discusses concepts that have been developed and successfully used in the struggle for social justice in the past. These legacies, together with the creativity and ingenuity of current struggles, provide a set of practices that we can build on to guide social justice work in the present.

DEVELOP A CRITICAL CONSCIOUSNESS

Freire created the notion of critical consciousness in his work to help Brazilian peasants become aware of the political and social patterns that enforced their oppression, rather than accept these conditions as fated or inevitable (1970). Critical consciousness meant working in solidarity with others to question, analyze, and challenge oppressive conditions in their lives rather than blame each other or fate. The goal of critical consciousness is to develop awareness or mindfulness of the social and political factors that create oppression, to analyze the patterns that sustain oppression and the interests it serves, and to take action to work democratically with others to reimagine and remake the world in the interest of all.

Critical consciousness connects the personal with the socio-political to understand both external systems of oppression and the ways they are internalized by individuals. Feminist consciousness-raising groups in the early 1970s sought to help women make these connections through examining patriarchal structures in the family and other institutions while exploring how women internalized patriarchal ideas and values as appropriate and/or inevitable. Through consciousness-raising groups, women collectively uncovered and deconstructed the ways that the system of patriarchy is reproduced inside women's consciousness as well as in external social institutions. In so doing, they challenged conventional assumptions about human nature, sexuality, family life, and gender roles and relations (Combahee River Collective, 1986; Evans, 1979; Firestone, 1970). Feminist practice also sought to create and enact new, more liberating ways of thinking and behaving as equals in society. Consciousness-raising processes are a powerful way to examine and critique normative assumptions and our own, often unconscious, investments in supporting them. Consciousness-raising processes have been fruitful for many oppressed groups seeking to raise awareness about their situation.

DECONSTRUCT THE BINARIES

Gay and lesbian rights activists in the 1980s and 1990s exposed normative assumptions about family, love, relationships, and gender roles to analyze straight supremacy and

heteronormativity (Gilreath, 2011). Queer and transgender scholars and activists question binary categories and assumptions of uniformity within any constructed category. The inadequacy of defining the experience of individuals and groups in simplistic binary terms is reflected in the work of bisexual and transgender people within feminist and gay/lesbian movements who refuse the categories as well as their content (Butler, 2004) and experiment with multiple ways of expressing and enacting identities. Since oppression works through setting up dualistic frames that privilege some groups and exclude others, deconstructing the binaries and recognizing the individual and social complexities and variety they hide can be an important tool for change. Activists and educators in a range of social movements have analyzed how binary categories work to perpetuate oppression, while at the same time deconstructing and exploding categories that sort and rank people into either/or boxes (black/white, straight/gay, male/female, young/old, disabled/non-disabled). This experimentation with categories to push back against the binary categorizations through which oppression operates is evident in a range of social movements.

DRAW ON COUNTER-NARRATIVES

Critical Race Theory (CRT) analyzes and challenges mainstream narratives in law, history, and popular culture that uphold the status quo (D. Bell, 1992; Delgado & Stefancic, 2013; Matsuda, 1996). Through counter-storytelling, CRT seeks to destabilize “stock stories” that valorize the legitimacy of dominant groups. Critical historical methods draw on counter-narratives to “demarginalize” (Davis & Wing, 2000) and center the roles that Native American people, working class people, African Americans, immigrants from various ethnic backgrounds, Latina/os, Asian Americans, people with disabilities, and women of all groups have played in challenging oppression (Fleischer & Zames, 2001; Lerner, 1986; Zinn, 2003, 2004). Such counter-narratives unearth suppressed and hidden stories of marginalized groups, including stories of their resistance to the status quo, and provide evidence as well as hope that oppressive circumstances can change through the efforts of human actors (L. A. Bell, 2010). Historical counter-narratives show, for example, how diverse coalitions organized to abolish slavery, extend suffrage to women, create unions and improve working conditions for laborers, challenge anti-immigrant policies, fight for Native sovereignty, and advocate for gay/lesbian and transgender rights.

A critical historical approach requires an understanding of history as not linear but rather multiple and simultaneous:

The events and people we write about did not occur in isolation but in dialogue with a myriad of other people and events. In fact, at any given moment millions of people are all talking at once. As historians we try to isolate one conversation and to explore it, but the trick is then how to put that conversation in a context which makes evident its dialogue with so many others—how to make this one lyric stand alone and at the same time be in connection with all the other lyrics being sung.

(Barkley-Brown, 1991, p. 2)

The counter-stories to the status quo developed within different social movements and inspire emerging social movements today. The civil rights movement continues to excite the imagination of people here and around the world who apply its lessons to an understanding of their particular situations and adapt its analyses and tactics to their own struggles for equality. Just as Native American, Asian American, Chicano, and Puerto Rican youth in the 1960s and 1970s styled themselves after African-American youth in the Student Non-violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) and the Black Panther Party (Marabel, 1984;

Oboler, 1995; Okihiro, 1994), young people today draw from and expand upon these images to inspire their own activism.

We can also learn from new counter-stories that emerge to build on, challenge, and reinvent older counter-stories. For example, building resistance through discovering and claiming a shared identity was a critical part of social movements following colonialism (Memmi, 1965). Emerging movements today draw from these stories, but they understand that identity politics has limitations that can prevent people from seeing dynamics across issues and communities and prevent effective cross-group understanding and coalition building (Guinier & Torres, 2002). As they work across multiple identities and projects, they fashion new counter-stories about how oppression works and how diverse coalitions can strategize to challenge the status quo.

As formerly marginalized or hidden historical stories are reclaimed, people in the present weave anew an understanding of the interconnections among struggles for justice. The more we know about the historical experiences and perspectives of diverse peoples, the more we are able to understand the interlocking systems that produce inequality. As importantly, we gain ideas and strategies for working with diverse others across coalitions in more effective, inclusive, and egalitarian ways (Bly & Wooten, 2012; Roberts & Jesudason, 2013).

ANALYZE POWER

Another lesson from earlier social movements is the need to examine the dynamics of power and the interests it serves. Such analyses remind us to continually ask the questions, “In whose interest do systems operate?” and “Who benefits and who pays?” regarding prevailing practices. These questions help to expose hierarchical relationships and hidden advantages and penalties embedded in purportedly fair and neutral systems. They reveal how power operates through normalizing relations of domination by presenting certain ideas and practices as rational and self-evident, as part of the natural order. Once people begin to question what has previously been taken for granted, the way is open to imagine new possibilities and practices.

New Left movements of the 1960s drew on Marxist theory to shift the focus to the structural rather than individual factors that maintain oppressive economic and social relations. They critique as anti-democratic normative assumptions that conflated democracy with capitalism and stigmatize alternative ways to arrange economic and social life. Grounded initially in anti-racist civil rights movements, the New Left critiqued the hypocrisy of espousing ideals of democracy and personal liberty while repressing democratic ideas. Their goal was to organize to hold accountable those in power by exposing hypocrisy and evasion in policies presented as fair and democratic but that obscured cynical self-interests (Bowles & Gintis, 1987).

These lessons were brought to life again in the Occupy Wall Street movement that galvanized thousands of people across the country and the world to challenge the dominance of Wall Street in government decision-making and government bailouts following the market crash of 2008. The Occupy movement illustrated the importance of connecting the dots across institutions to understand how power operates to maintain dominance under the guise of neutrality. It also provoked creative ideas about alternative possibilities to this system in both process and structure (Gitlin, 2012; van Gelder, 2011). More recently, a group called the “Hedge Clippers” is using public venues to expose and teach about how hedge funds use the money they gain from favored tax policies to buy political influence and shape public policy on education and other areas, in unaccountable and undemocratic ways that disguise as altruistic their self-serving interests.

LOOK FOR INTEREST CONVERGENCE

The notion of “interest convergence” (D. Bell, 1992) is another useful tool for analyzing how systems of oppression modulate, sometimes appearing to respond to charges of injustice when it serves their interest, but ultimately continuing to maintain dominance. For example, critical race theorists argue that racial integration of the armed forces during World War II was an instance of interest convergence (D. A. Bell, 1980). When anti-lynching and anti-Jim Crow agitation in the U.S. coincided with establishment fears that the Germans would use American racism to attack U.S. claims about democracy, these disparate interests converged to support desegregating the armed forces. The U.S. government could neutralize communist critiques while meeting the interests of anti-racism advocates for change. At the same time, racism restabilized through policies that reinforced segregation in housing and prevented black soldiers from using the G.I. Bill to purchase housing in newly built suburbs, where property values would grow and lay the basis for the future prosperity that whites were able to enjoy.

The concept of interest convergence can be useful for strategizing ways to take advantage of potential alignments of interest with groups we might otherwise oppose in order to move a particular change forward (Milner, 2008). At the same time, understanding interest convergence can help groups be realistic about the limits of such coalitions and prepare to change tactics when different strategies are needed.

MAKE GLOBAL CONNECTIONS

Transnational activists and scholars help us understand the ways that oppression is shaped by geographic and historical contexts and interactions across national borders. They offer an analysis of transnational capital and its impact on labor, migration, gender, and ethnic relations, and national development in different parts of the world (Dirlik, 1997; Mohanty, 2003; Sandoval, 2000). Global feminism (Mohanty, 2003), global critical race feminism (Davis & Wing, 2000), and transnational feminisms (Fernandes, 2013) highlight the leadership of women at the margins and focus on how to understand the shared and distinctive problems women face under post-colonial systems and U.S. imperialism as they identify local strategies and solutions to address their particular contexts (Dirlik, 1997).

A comparison of two immigrants to the U.S. from Uganda illustrates the insights that a transnational perspective can offer for understanding local conditions in different parts of the world. Purkayastha (2012) describes the situation as follows. The ancestors of both a black and an Indian immigrant may have lived in Uganda for generations and been expelled by the Amin dictatorship. Both immigrants may have suffered under gendered/racialized migration policies in the U.S. that impacted their arrival. However, the black Ugandan’s experience of racism is likely to be similar to that faced by African Americans, while the Indian Ugandan is more likely to experience the racism faced by Muslims and “Muslim-looking” people. If they return to Uganda, they will encounter a different set of privileges and penalties in a black-majority country that privileges the black migrant. If they move to India, the reverse may occur, with the Indian Ugandan experiencing the privileges of the Indian majority, privileges that would not be extended to the black Ugandan. When the Indian Ugandan is Muslim or lower-caste Hindu, a different set of hierarchies would apply. Taking a global perspective enables us to be more thoughtful about how we design policies and organize coalitions to meet the diverse needs of individuals in different locations and contexts.

BUILD COALITIONS AND SOLIDARITY

Because of the complexities and interconnections among different forms of inequality, we believe that eradicating oppression ultimately requires struggle against all its forms, and that coalitions among diverse people who can offer perspectives from their particular social locations provide the most promising potential for creating change. Working in collaboration with diverse groups is essential for building collective strength and developing strategies that draw on the energies, insights, and access to power of people who are differently positioned. Working at the intersections across groups and identities is an important coalitional strategy, because it links processes of subordination/domination and prevents compartmentalizing issues (Cho, 2012). When one group fails to acknowledge the ideas and needs of other groups in a coalition, it only serves to strengthen the power relations that each is attempting to challenge. Thus, thinking and working across intersections can prevent working at cross-purposes:

For example, when feminists fail to acknowledge the role that race played in the public response to the rape of the Central Park jogger, feminism contributes to the forces that produce disproportionate punishment for black men who rape white women, and when antiracists represent the case solely in terms of racial domination, they belittle the fact that women particularly, and all people generally, should be outraged by the gender violence the case represented.

(Crenshaw, 1991, p. 1282)

The Black Lives Matter movement against police brutality and the destruction of black lives illustrates the potential of a coalition of people from diverse groups working together as allies. African Americans, and other people of color, including queer people of color who have taken the lead in this movement, have forced U.S. society as a whole to confront the ugly truth of racism. White allies, such as the group Showing Up for Racial Justice (SURJ, www.showingupforracialjustice.org), work to mobilize support and commitment in white communities to pressure for change and participate in actions where laying white lives on the line is more likely to garner police protection and media attention.

As individuals and groups, our visions can only be partial. Coalitions bring together multiple ways of understanding the world and analyzing the oppressive structures within it. Specific skills of perspective taking, empathic listening, and self-reflection are critical. Furthermore, since all forms of oppression are interactional and co-constitutive with each other, alliances among people from diverse social locations and perspectives may perhaps be the only way to develop interventions muscular enough to challenge systemic oppression (Crenshaw, 2003; Roberts & Jesudason, 2013).

We take the position that everyone has a role to play in dismantling oppression and generating a vision for a more socially just future. Those who are marginalized take the lead in articulating an analysis of power from the vantage point of their particular geographic and social locations and contexts, but all of us need to develop the capacity for reflecting on our locations and recognizing the perspectives of others who are differently positioned.

Reflexivity acknowledges the importance of power at the micro level of the self and our relationships with others, as well as the macro levels of society . . . to recognize multiple truths and a diversity of perspectives, while giving extra space to voices typically excluded.

(Hankivsky, 2014, p. 10)

Holvino (2012) argues for “simultaneity”: making an effort to hold onto our multiple identities so that we can flexibly speak from our complex experiences and resist pressures to oversimplify identity. She urges us to build coalitions and alliances that go beyond goals of individual empowerment to focus on building a social justice movement.

Accountability and solidarity, while aspirational and philosophical ideals, also ask us to be concrete in our goals for working in coalition with others so we can be clear about where our commitments overlap and where they do not. Most coalition work is organized around concrete goals that members of different groups in a coalition agree upon, even as other issues and goals may conflict (McGrath, 2007). Being clear helps coalitions make pragmatic alliances and work together for a common end, even when members do not agree on other goals.

FOLLOW THE LEADERSHIP OF OPPRESSED PEOPLE

Listening to the voices of those at the margins and following their lead is another important practice for social justice. The disability rights movement slogan, “Nothing about us without us” (Charleton, 1998), affirms the principle that no decisions should be made without the full participation of those affected by the decision. Unless people from the subordinated group are central to defining, framing, developing, and leading responses to inequities and social problems, the same power dynamics that we are trying to change will be reproduced, and the solutions are likely to fail. For example, youth need to be involved with issues that affect their lives in schools and the community. Likewise, poor people need to name the issues and help set the agenda for addressing poverty-related concerns. The right to self-determination and autonomy has been a goal of all social justice movements from the start.

The benefits of following the lead of those who have been marginalized can be seen in the movement for gay rights. Until GLBTQ people organized to challenge heterosexism, assumptions of heterosexual privilege went mostly unchallenged and invisible in our society. Gay rights advocates began to expose social norms, rituals, language, and institutional rules and rewards that presume the existence of exclusively heterosexual feelings and relationships. They critiqued language and symbols of love, attraction, family, and sexual and emotional self-development that largely ignored the existence of gay, bisexual, transgender, and other possibilities of human potential. Now trans and queer-identified people question the questioners, raising new critiques of sexuality and gender that were not as visible in earlier movements. Their work showed how the regime of heterosexism operates not only to oppress gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender people, but also to constrain and limit heterosexuals to narrowly gender-defined rules of behavior and options for self-expression as well.

BE AN ACCOUNTABLE AND RESPONSIBLE ALLY

Frequently, those from dominant groups, outside the communities they intend to help, come in with, and try to impose, pre-conceived ideas about what a community “needs.” Such a stance reflects and reinforces unequal power relationships and a “savior” mentality. People in dominant groups must respectfully listen to how oppressed people define their own needs, work with them to support getting those needs met, and operate in solidarity with their organizations, efforts, and social movements (Kivel, 2006). It means ongoing action that demonstrates a sustained passion for and willingness to engage in social justice work over the long haul (Edwards, 2006). The decision about who to name as an ally is most credibly done by members of the oppressed group(s) within which one is in coalition

(Edwards, 2006). Thus, allyship also requires humility, a willingness to listen and learn, and a commitment to do the work without expectation of reward or recognition (Goodman, 2011).

Social justice organizing is stronger when both those who benefit and those who are disadvantaged by a particular ism, or cluster of isms, are able to work together in a sustained way to create change. The term “ally” is often used to convey the position of those in the dominant group who work in coalition with oppressed others, as in white people being allies to people of color (Broido & Reason, 2005), but we believe people from all social groups and positions can be allies to each other. A person’s motivation to act in support of social justice can range along a continuum from individual self-interest focused on “me,” to relational self-interest that is mutual or shared “you and me,” to interdependent self-interest focused on a broader “us” (Goodman, 2011). Allyship can be problematic when it stays at the level of individual self-interest and fails to move to a broader self-interest. As blogger Mia McKenzie of Black Girl Dangerous puts it:

Allyship is not supposed to look like this, folks. It’s not supposed to be about you. It’s not supposed to be about your feelings. It’s not supposed to be a way of glorifying yourself at the expense of the folks you claim to be an ally to. It’s not supposed to be a *performance*. It’s supposed to be a way of living your life that *doesn’t* reinforce the same oppressive behaviors you’re claiming to be against.

(No More “Allies,” Sept. 30, 2013, www.blackgirldangerous.org/2013/09/30/no-more-allies/#.Uk3lbYbDYqI.email)

We can recognize that individuals may be at different stages in awareness and thus be better or lesser prepared to be effective and reliable allies (Edwards, 2006).

This critique leads us to emphasize the importance for all people, particularly those in dominant groups, of being accountable and responsible to the others with whom they work in coalition. Accountability and responsibility connote mutuality in defining goals and actions, and answerability to those with whom we are collaborating. Another word for this is solidarity.

CONCLUSION

As historical circumstances change and emerging social movements take up issues of oppression in the United States and throughout the world, new definitions and understandings will continue to evolve. Through highlighting the historical and contextual nature of this process, we hope to avoid the danger of reifying systems of oppression as static, or treating individuals as one-dimensional and unchanging. History illustrates both how tenacious and variable systems of oppression are, and how dynamic and creative we must continue to be to rise to the challenges they pose. The concepts and processes we present in this text are continuously evolving. We hope the work presented in this third edition will contribute to an ongoing dialogue about social justice education theory and practice in ways that can have positive impacts on our world.

References

- Adams, M. (2014). Social justice and education. In M. Reisch (Ed.), *Routledge international handbook of social justice* (pp. 249–268). London: Routledge.
- Anzaldúa, G. (1987). *Borderlands/la frontera: The new mestiza*. San Francisco: Aunt Lute Books.

- Aptheker, H. (1993). *Anti-racism in U.S. history: The first 200 years*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Barkley-Brown, E. (1991). Polyrythms and improvization: Lessons for women's history. *History Workshop*, 31 (Spring 1991), 85–90. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4289053>
- Bell, D. (1992). *Faces at the bottom of the well: The permanence of racism*. New York: Basic Books.
- Bell, D. A. (1980). Brown v. Board of Education and the interest convergence dilemma. *Harvard Law Review*, 93(3), 518–533.
- Bell, L. A. (2003). Telling tales: What stories can teach us about racism. *Race, ethnicity and education*, 6(1), 3–28.
- Bell, L. A. (2010). *Storytelling for social justice: Connecting narrative and the arts in anti-racist teaching*. New York: Routledge.
- Bivens, D. (2005). What is internalized racism? In M. Potapchuk, S. Liederman, D. Bivens, & B. Major (Eds.), *Flipping the script: White privilege and community building* (pp. 43–51). Silver Spring, MD: MP Associates, Inc. and Conshohocken, PA: Center for Assessment and Policy Development (CAPD). Retrieved from <http://www.capd.org/pubfiles/pub-2005-01-01.pdf>
- Bly, L., & Wooten, K. (2012). *Make your own history: Documenting feminist and queer activism in the 21st century*. Los Angeles: Litwin Books.
- Bonilla-Silva, E., Lewis, A., & Embrick, D. G. (2004). “I did not get that job because of a black man . . .”: The story lines and testimonies of color-blind racism. *Sociological Forum*, 19(4), 555–581.
- Bowles, S., & Gintis, H. (1987). *Democracy and capitalism: Property, community, and the contradictions of modern social thought*. New York: Basic Books.
- Broido, E. M., & Reason, R. D. (2005). The development of social justice attitudes and actions: An overview of current understandings. In R. D. Reason, E. M. Broido, T. L. Davis, & N. J. Evans (Eds.), *Developing social justice allies. New directions for student services*, no. 110 (pp. 69–81). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Butler, J. (2004). *Undoing gender*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Charleton, J. I. (1998). *Nothing about us without us: Disability oppression and empowerment*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Chetty, R., Hendren, N., Kline, P., Saez, E., & Turner, M. (2014). Is the United States still a land of opportunity? Recent trends in intergenerational mobility. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 104(5), 141–147.
- Cho, S. (2013). Post-intersectionality. The curious reception of intersectionality in legal scholarship. *Du Bois Review*, 10(2), 385–404.
- Collins, P. H. (1990). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness and the politics of empowerment*. New York: Routledge.
- Combahee River Collective. (1986). *The Combahee River Collective statement: Black feminist organizing in the seventies and eighties*. Latham, NY: Kitchen Table, Women of Color Press.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299.
- Crenshaw, K. W. (2003). Traffic at the crossroads: Multiple oppressions. In R. Morgan (Ed.), *Sisterhood is forever: The women's anthology for a new millennium* (pp. 43–57). New York: Washington Square Press.
- Cudd, A. (2006). *Analyzing oppression*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Davis, A., & Wing, A. K. (2000). *Global critical race feminism*. New York: New York University Press.
- Delgado, R., & Stefancic, J. (2013). *Critical race theory: The cutting edge* (3rd ed.). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Demos (2015). *The racial wealth gap: Why policy matters*. Retrieved from <http://www.demos.org/publication/racial-wealth-gap-why-policy-matters>
- Dirlik, A. (1997). *The post-colonial aura: Third world criticism in the age of global capitalism*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Echols, A. (1989). *Daring to be bad: Radical feminism in America*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Edwards, K. E. (2006). Aspiring social justice ally development: A conceptual model. *NASPA Journal*, 43(4), 39–60.
- Evans, S. (1979). *Personal politics: The roots of women's liberation in the civil rights movement and the new left*. New York: Knopf/Random House.
- Fanon, F. (1968). *The wretched of the earth*. New York: Grove Press.
- Feinberg, L. (1996). *Transgender warriors: Making history from Joan of Arc to Ru Paul*. New York: Farrar, Strauss and Giroux.
- Fernandes, L. (2013). *Transnational feminisms in the U.S.: Knowledge, ethics and power*. New York: New York University Press.

- Firestone, S. (1970). *The dialectic of sex: The case for feminist revolution*. New York: Morrow.
- Fleischer, D., & Zames, F. (2001). *The disability rights movement: From charity to confrontation*. Philadelphia: Temple University.
- Foucault, M. (1980). *The history of sexuality*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Frankenberg, R. (1993). *The social construction of Whiteness: White women, race matters*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Seabury.
- Frye, M. (1983). *The politics of reality: Essays in feminist theory*. Freedom, CA: The Crossing Press.
- Gilreath, S. (2011). *The end of straight supremacy: Realizing gay liberation*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Gitlin, T. (2012). *Occupy nation: The roots, the spirit and the promise of Occupy Wall Street*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Goodman, D. (2011). *Promoting diversity and social justice: Educating people from privileged groups*. New York: Routledge.
- Guinier, L., & Torres, G. (2002). *The miner's canary: Enlisting race, resisting power, transforming democracy*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Haney-Lopez, I. (2014). *Dog whistle politics: How coded racial appeals have reinvented racism and wrecked the middle class*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hankivsky, O. (2014). *Intersectionality 101*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: The Institute for Intersectionality Research and Policy, Simon Fraser University. Retrieved from http://www.sfu.ca/iirp/documents/resources/101_Final.pdf
- Harding, S. (1991). *Whose science, whose knowledge?* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Harro, B. (2008). Updated version of the cycle of liberation. In M. Adams, W. J. Blumenfeld, R. Castenada, H. W. Hackman, M. L. Peters, & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (pp. 463-469). New York: Routledge.
- Hartsock, N. (1983). *Money, sex and power: Toward a feminist historical materialism*. New York: Longman.
- Hertz, T. (2006). *Understanding mobility in America*. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress.
- Holvino, A. (2012). The "simultaneity" of identities: Models and skills for the twenty-first century. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (pp. 161-191). New York: New York University Press.
- Huber, L. P. & Solorzano, D. G. (2015). Racial microaggressions as a tool for critical race research. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 18(3), 297-320.
- Hurtado, A. (2003). *Voicing Chicana feminisms*. New York: New York University Press.
- Johnson, A. (2005). *Privilege, power and difference*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Jost, J. T., Banaji, M. R., & Nosek, B. A. (2004). A decade of system justification theory: Accumulated evidence of conscious and unconscious bolstering of the status quo. *Political Psychology*, 25, 881-919.
- Kivel, P. (2006). *Uprooting racism: How white people can work for racial justice* (3rd ed.). New York: New Society Publishers.
- Kreisberg, S. (1992). *Transforming power: Domination, empowerment, and education*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Kruse, K. M. (2015). *One nation under God: How corporate America invented Christian America*. New York: Basic Books.
- Leonardo, Z. (2013). *Race frameworks: A multidimensional theory of racism and education*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Lerner, G. (1986). *Women and history*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, M. (1993). *Without a word: Teaching beyond women's silence*. New York: Routledge.
- Lipsitz, G. (2006). *The possessive investment in whiteness: How white people profit from identity politics*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Lorde, A. (1984). *Sister outsider*. Trumansburg, NY: Crossing Press.
- Love, B. J. (2013). Developing a liberatory consciousness. In M. Adams, W. J. Blumenfeld, R. Castaneda, W. Hackman, M. L. Peters, & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., 601-606). New York: Routledge.
- Luft, R. E. (2009). Intersectionality and the risk of flattening difference: Gender and race logics, and the strategic use of antiracist singularity. In T. Berger & K. Guidroz (Eds.), *The intersectional approach: Transforming the academy through race, class and gender*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.
- Malik, M. (2010). *Anti-Muslim prejudice: Past and present*. New York: Routledge.

- Marabel, M. (1984). *Race, reform, and rebellion: The second reconstruction in Black America, 1945–1982*. Jackson: University of Mississippi Press.
- Marcus, E. (1992). *Making history: The struggle for gay and lesbian equal rights*. New York: Harper Perennial.
- Marcus, S. (2005). Queer theory for everyone: A review essay. *Signs*, 31(1), 191–218.
- Matsuda, M. (1987). Looking to the bottom: Critical legal studies and reparations. *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review*, 22, 323–399.
- Matsuda, M. (1996). *Where is your body? And other essays on race, gender and law*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- McGrath, C. (2007). Coalition building. In G. L. Anderson & K. G. Herr (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of activism and social justice* (pp. 359–361). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Memmi, A. (1965). *The colonizer and the colonized*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Miller, J. B. (1976). *Toward a new psychology of women*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Milner, H. R. (2008). Critical race theory and interest convergence as analytic tools in teacher education policies and practices. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(4), 332–346.
- Mohanty, C. T. (2003). *Feminism without borders: Decolonizing theory, practicing solidarity*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Mohanty, C. T., Russo, A., & Torres, L. (1991). *Third world women and the politics of feminism*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Morris, J. (1991). *Pride against prejudice: Transforming attitudes to disability*. Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.
- Nash, J. C. (2008). Rethinking intersectionality. *Feminist Review*, 89, 1–15.
- Oboler, S. (1995). *Ethnic labels, Latino lives*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Ogletree, C. (2012). *The presumption of guilt: The arrest of Henry Louis Gates, Jr. and race, class and crime in America*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Okihiro, G. Y. (1994). *Margins and mainstreams: Asian American history and culture*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Oliver, M. L., & Shapiro, T. M. (2006). *Black wealth, white wealth* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Pharr, S. (1988). *Homophobia: A weapon of sexism*. Inverness, CA: Chardon Press.
- Pheterson, G. (1990). Alliances between women: Overcoming internalized oppression and internalized domination. In L. Albrecht and R. Brewer (Eds.), *Bridges of power: Women's multicultural alliances* (pp. 34–48). Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.
- Piff, P. K. (2014). Wealth and the inflated self: Class, entitlement, and narcissism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(1), 34–43.
- Piven, F. F., & Cloward, R. (1982). *The new class war: Reagan's attack on the welfare state and its consequences*. New York: Pantheon.
- Purkayastha, B. (2012). Intersectionality in a transnational world. *Gender & Society*, 26, 55–66.
- Rawls, J. (1999). *A theory of justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Rawls, J. (2001). *Justice as fairness: A restatement*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Reagon, B. J. (1983). Coalition politics: Turning the century. In B. Smith (Ed.), *Home girls: A black feminist anthology*. New York: Kitchen Table Press.
- Roberts, D., & Jesudason, S. (2013). Movement intersectionality: The case of race, gender, disability, and genetic technologies. *Du Bois Review*, 10(2), 313–328.
- Said, E. W. (1993). *Culture and imperialism*. New York: Knopf.
- Sandoval, C. (2000). *Methodology of the oppressed*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Simon, R. (2002). *Gramsci's political thought*. London: Lawrence & Wishart.
- Smelser, N. J., Wilson, W. J., & Mitchell, F. (Eds., National Research Council). (2001). *America becoming: Racial trends and their consequences* (Vol. 1). Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Spade, D. (2011). Some very basic tips for making higher education more accessible to trans students and rethinking how we talk about gendered bodies. *The Radical Teacher*, 92, 57–62.
- Spelman, E. (1988). *Inessential woman: Problems of exclusion in feminist thought*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Sue, D. W. (2010). *Racial microaggressions in everyday life. Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.
- Thompson, C., Schaefer, E., & Brod, H. (2003). *White men challenging racism*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Trinh, T.M.H. (1989). *Woman, Native, other*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Turner, W. (2000). *A genealogy of queer theory*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

- Van Gelder, S. (2011). *This changes everything: Occupy Wall Street and the 99% movement*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wacquant, L. (1997). Three pernicious premises in the study of the American ghetto. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 21(2), 341–353.
- Warner, M. (1999). *The trouble with normal: Sex, politics and the ethics of queer life*. New York: The Free Press.
- Weber, L. (2010). *Understanding race, class, gender and sexuality: A conceptual framework* (2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Weiler, K. (1991). Freire and a feminist pedagogy of difference. *Harvard Educational Review*, 61(4), 449–474.
- White, J. B., & Langer, E. J. (1999). Horizontal hostility: Relations between similar minority groups. *Journal of Social Issues*, 25(3), 537–559.
- Wigginton, E. (Ed.). (1992). *Refuse to stand silently by: An oral history of grass roots social activism in America, 1921–1964*. New York: Doubleday.
- Wing, A. K. (2003). *Critical race feminism: A reader*. New York: New York University Press.
- World Economic Forum. (2014). *Global gender gap report: 2014*. Retrieved from <http://reports.weforum.org/global-gender-gap-report-2014/>
- Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Young, I. M. (2011). *Responsibility for justice*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Zinn, H. (2003). *A people's history of the United States: 1492–present*. New York: Harper-Collins.
- Zinn, H. (2004). *Voices of a people's history of the United States*. New York: Seven Stories Press.

Theoretical Foundations for Social Justice Education

- Adams, M. (2014). Social justice and education. In M. Reisch (Ed.), *Routledge international handbook of social justice* (pp. 249–268). London: Routledge.
- Anzaldúa, G. (1987). *Borderlands/La frontera: The new mestiza*. San Francisco: Aunt Lute Books.
- Aptheker, H. (1993). *Anti-racism in U.S. history: The first 200 years*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Barkley-Brown, E. (1991). Polyrythms and improvisation: Lessons for women's history. *History Workshop*, 31 (Spring 1991), 85–90. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4289053>
- Bell, D. (1992). *Faces at the bottom of the well: The permanence of racism*. New York: Basic Books.
- Bell, D. A. (1980). Brown v. Board of Education and the interest convergence dilemma. *Harvard Law Review*, 93(3), 518–533.
- Bell, L. A. (2003). Telling tales: What stories can teach us about racism. *Race, ethnicity and education*, 6(1), 3–28.
- Bell, L. A. (2010). *Storytelling for social justice: Connecting narrative and the arts in anti-racist teaching*. New York: Routledge.
- Bivens, D. (2005). What is internalized racism? In M. Potapchuk , S. Liederman , D. Bivens , & B. Major (Eds.), *Flipping the script: White privilege and community building* (pp. 43–51). Silver Spring, MD: MP Associates, Inc. and Conshohocken, PA: Center for Assessment and Policy Development (CAPD). Retrieved from <http://www.capd.org/pubfiles/pub-2005-01-01.pdf>
- Bly, L. , & Wooten, K. (2012). *Make your own history: Documenting feminist and queer activism in the 21st century*. Los Angeles: Litwin Books.
- Bonilla-Silva, E. , Lewis, A. , & Embrick, D. G. (2004). "I did not get that job because of a black man ...": The story lines and testimonies of color-blind racism. *Sociological Forum*, 19(4), 555–581.
- Bowles, S. , & Gintis, H. (1987). *Democracy and capitalism: Property, community, and the contradictions of modern social thought*. New York: Basic Books.
- Broido, E. M. , & Reason, R. D. (2005). The development of social justice attitudes and actions: An overview of current understandings. In R. D. Reason , E. M. Broido , T. L. Davis , & N. J. Evans (Eds.), *Developing social justice allies. New directions for student services*, no. 110 (pp. 69–81). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Butler, J. (2004). *Undoing gender*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Charleton, J. I. (1998). *Nothing about us without us: Disability oppression and empowerment*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Chetty, R. , Hendren, N. , Kline, P. , Saez, E. , & Turner, M. (2014). Is the United States still a land of opportunity? Recent trends in intergenerational mobility. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 104(5), 141–147.
- Cho, S. (2013). Post-intersectionality. *The curious reception of intersectionality in legal scholarship. Du Bois Review*, 10(2), 385–404.
- Collins, P. H. (1990). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness and the politics of empowerment*. New York: Routledge.
- Combahee River Collective . (1986). *The Combahee River Collective statement: Black feminist organizing in the seventies and eighties*. Latham, NY: Kitchen Table, Women of Color Press.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299.
- Crenshaw, K. W. (2003). Traffic at the crossroads: Multiple oppressions. In R. Morgan (Ed.), *Sisterhood is forever: The women's anthology for a new millennium* (pp. 43–57). New York: Washington Square Press.
- Cudd, A. (2006). *Analyzing oppression*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Davis, A. , & Wing, A. K. (2000). *Global critical race feminism*. New York: New York University Press.
- Delgado, R. , & Stefancic, J. (2013). *Critical race theory: The cutting edge* (3rd ed.). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Demos (2015). *The racial wealth gap: Why policy matters*. Retrieved from <http://www.demos.org/publication/racial-wealth-gap-why-policy-matters>
- Dirlik, A. (1997). *The post-colonial aura: Third world criticism in the age of global capitalism*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Echols, A. (1989). *Daring to be bad: Radical feminism in America*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Edwards, K. E. (2006). Aspiring social justice ally development: A conceptual model. *NASPA Journal*, 43(4), 39–60.
- Evans, S. (1979). *Personal politics: The roots of women's liberation in the civil rights movement and the new left*. New York: Knopf/Random House.
- Fanon, F. (1968). *The wretched of the earth*. New York: Grove Press.
- Feinberg, L. (1996). *Transgender warriors: Making history from Joan of Arc to Ru Paul*. New York: Farrar, Strauss and Giroux.
- Fernandes, L. (2013). *Transnational feminisms in the U.S.: Knowledge, ethics and power*. New York: New York University Press.

Firestone, S. (1970). *The dialectic of sex: The case for feminist revolution*. New York: Morrow.

Fleischer, D. , & Zames, F. (2001). *The disability rights movement: From charity to confrontation*. Philadelphia: Temple University.

Foucault, M. (1980). *The history of sexuality*. New York: Vintage Books.

Frankenberg, R. (1993). *The social construction of Whiteness: White women, race matters*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Seabury.

Frye, M. (1983). *The politics of reality: Essays in feminist theory*. Freedom, CA: The Crossing Press.

Gilreath, S. (2011). *The end of straight supremacy: Realizing gay liberation*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Gitlin, T. (2012). *Occupy nation: The roots, the spirit and the promise of Occupy Wall Street*. New York: Harper Collins.

Goodman, D. (2011). *Promoting diversity and social justice: Educating people from privileged groups*. New York: Routledge.

Guinier, L. , & Torres, G. (2002). *The miner's canary: Enlisting race, resisting power, transforming democracy*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Haney-Lopez, I. (2014). *Dog whistle politics: How coded racial appeals have reinvented racism and wrecked the middle class*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Hankivsky, O. (2014). *Intersectionality 101*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: The Institute for Intersectionality Research and Policy, Simon Fraser University. Retrieved from http://www.sfu.ca/iirp/documents/resources/101_Final.pdf

Harding, S. (1991). *Whose science, whose knowledge?* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Harro, B. (2008). Updated version of the cycle of liberation. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castenada , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (pp. 463–469). New York: Routledge.

Hartsock, N. (1983). *Money, sex and power: Toward a feminist historical materialism*. New York: Longman.

Hertz, T. (2006). *Understanding mobility in America*. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress.

Holvino, A. (2012). The “simultaneity” of identities: Models and skills for the twenty-first century. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (pp. 161–191). New York: New York University Press.

Huber, L. P. & Solorzano, D. G. (2015). Racial microaggressions as a tool for critical race research. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 18(3), 297–320.

Hurtado, A. (2003). *Voicing Chicana feminisms*. New York: New York University Press.

Johnson, A. (2005). *Privilege, power and difference*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Jost, J. T. , Banaji, M. R. , & Nosek, B. A. (2004). A decade of system justification theory: Accumulated evidence of conscious and unconscious bolstering of the status quo. *Political Psychology*, 25, 881–919.

Kivel, P. (2006). *Uprooting racism: How white people can work for racial justice* (3rd ed.). New York: New Society Publishers.

Kreisberg, S. (1992). *Transforming power: Domination, empowerment, and education*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Kruse, K. M. (2015). *One nation under God: How corporate America invented Christian America*. New York: Basic Books.

Leonardo, Z. (2013). *Race frameworks: A multidimensional theory of racism and education*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Lerner, G. (1986). *Women and history*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Lewis, M. (1993). *Without a word: Teaching beyond women's silence*. New York: Routledge.

Lipsitz, G. (2006). *The possessive investment in whiteness: How white people profit from identity politics*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Lorde, A. (1984). *Sister outsider*. Trumansburg, NY: Crossing Press.

Love, B. J. (2013). Developing a liberatory consciousness. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castaneda , W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., 601–606). New York: Routledge.

Luft, R. E. (2009). Intersectionality and the risk of flattening difference: Gender and race logics, and the strategic use of antiracist singularity. In T. Berger & K. Guidroz (Eds.), *The intersectional approach: Transforming the academy through race, class and gender*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.

Malik, M. (2010). *Anti-Muslim prejudice: Past and present*. New York: Routledge.

Marabel, M. (1984). *Race, reform, and rebellion: The second reconstruction in Black America, 1945–1982*. Jackson: University of Mississippi Press.

Marcus, E. (1992). *Making history: The struggle for gay and lesbian equal rights*. New York: Harper Perennial.

Marcus, S. (2005). Queer theory for everyone: A review essay. *Signs*, 31(1), 191–218.

Matsuda, M. (1987). Looking to the bottom: Critical legal studies and reparations. *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review*, 22, 323–399.

Matsuda, M. (1996). *Where is your body? And other essays on race, gender and law*. Boston: Beacon Press.

McGrath, C. (2007). Coalition building. In G. L. Anderson & K. G. Herr (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of activism and social justice* (pp. 359–361). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Memmi, A. (1965). *The colonizer and the colonized*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Miller, J. B. (1976). *Toward a new psychology of women*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Milner, H. R. (2008). Critical race theory and interest convergence as analytic tools in teacher education policies and practices. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(4), 332–346.

Mohanty, C. T. (2003). *Feminism without borders: Decolonizing theory, practicing solidarity*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Mohanty, C. T. , Russo, A. , & Torres, L. (1991). *Third world women and the politics of feminism*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Morris, J. (1991). *Pride against prejudice: Transforming attitudes to disability*. Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.

Nash, J. C. (2008). Rethinking intersectionality. *Feminist Review*, 89, 1–15.

Oboler, S. (1995). *Ethnic labels, Latino lives*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Ogletree, C. (2012). *The presumption of guilt: The arrest of Henry Louis Gates, Jr. and race, class and crime in America*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Okiihiro, G. Y. (1994). *Margins and mainstreams: Asian American history and culture*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.

Oliver, M. L. , & Shapiro, T. M. (2006). *Black wealth, white wealth* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Pharr, S. (1988). *Homophobia: A weapon of sexism*. Inverness, CA: Chardon Press.

Pheterson, G. (1990). Alliances between women: Overcoming internalized oppression and internalized domination. In L. Albrecht and R. Brewer (Eds.), *Bridges of power: Women's multicultural alliances* (pp. 34–48). Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.

Piff, P. K. (2014). Wealth and the inflated self: Class, entitlement, and narcissism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(1), 34–43.

Piven, F. F. , & Cloward, R. (1982). *The new class war: Reagan's attack on the welfare state and its consequences*. New York: Pantheon.

Purkayastha, B. (2012). Intersectionality in a transnational world. *Gender & Society*, 26, 55–66.

Rawls, J. (1999). *A theory of justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Rawls, J. (2001). *Justice as fairness: A restatement*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Reagon, B. J. (1983). Coalition politics: Turning the century. In B. Smith (Ed.), *Home girls: A black feminist anthology*. New York: Kitchen Table Press.

Roberts, D. , & Jesudason, S. (2013). Movement intersectionality: The case of race, gender, disability, and genetic technologies. *Du Bois Review*, 10(2), 313–328.

Said, E. W. (1993). *Culture and imperialism*. New York: Knopf.

Sandoval, C. (2000). *Methodology of the oppressed*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Simon, R. (2002). *Gramsci's political thought*. London: Lawrence & Wishart.

Smelser, N. J. , Wilson, W. J. , & Mitchell, F. (Eds., National Research Council). (2001). *America becoming: Racial trends and their consequences* (Vol. 1). Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Spade, D. (2011). Some very basic tips for making higher education more accessible to trans students and rethinking how we talk about gendered bodies. *The Radical Teacher*, 92, 57–62.

Spelman, E. (1988). *Inessential woman: Problems of exclusion in feminist thought*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Sue, D. W. (2010). *Racial microaggressions in everyday life. Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

Thompson, C. , Schaefer, E. , & Brod, H. (2003). *White men challenging racism*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Trinh, T.M.H. (1989). *Woman, Native, other*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Turner, W. (2000). *A genealogy of queer theory*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Van Gelder, S. (2011). *This changes everything: Occupy Wall Street and the 99% movement*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Wacquant, L. (1997). Three pernicious premises in the study of the American ghetto. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 21(2), 341–353.

Warner, M. (1999). *The trouble with normal: Sex, politics and the ethics of queer life*. New York: The Free Press.

Weber, L. (2010). *Understanding race, class, gender and sexuality: A conceptual framework* (2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.

Weiler, K. (1991). Freire and a feminist pedagogy of difference. *Harvard Educational Review*, 61(4), 449–474.

White, J. B. , & Langer, E. J. (1999). Horizontal hostility: Relations between similar minority groups. *Journal of Social Issues*, 25(3), 537–559.

Wigginton, E. (Ed.). (1992). *Refuse to stand silently by: An oral history of grass roots social activism in America, 1921–1964*. New York: Doubleday.

Wing, A. K. (2003). *Critical race feminism: A reader*. New York: New York University Press.

World Economic Forum . (2014). *Global gender gap report: 2014*. Retrieved from <http://reports.weforum.org/global-gender-gap-report-2014/>

Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Young, I. M. (2011). *Responsibility for justice*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Zinn, H. (2003). *A people's history of the United States: 1492–present*. New York: Harper-Collins.

Zinn, H. (2004). *Voices of a people's history of the United States*. New York: Seven Stories Press.

Pedagogical Foundations for Social Justice Education

Abrams, D. , & Hogg, M. A. (Eds.). (1990). *Social identity theory: Constructive and critical advances*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Adams, M. (2002). Charting cognitive and moral development in diversity classes. *Diversity Digest*, 6(1/2), 21–23.

Adams, M. (2007). Pedagogical frameworks for social justice education. In M. Adams , L. A. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 15–34). New York: Routledge.

Adams, M. (2010). Roots of social justice pedagogies in social movements. In T. K. Chapman & N. Hobbel (Eds.), *Social justice pedagogy across the curriculum: The practice of freedom* (pp. 59–86). New York: Routledge.

Adams, M. (2014). Social justice and education. In M. Reisch (Ed.), *The Routledge international handbook of social justice* (pp. 249–268). New York: Routledge.

Adams, M. , & Marchesani, L. (1997). Multiple issues course overview. In M. Adams , L. A. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice: A sourcebook* (pp. 261–275). New York: Routledge.

Adams, M. , Perry-Jenkins, M. , & Tropp, L. (2014). Pedagogical tools for social justice and psychology. In C. V. Johnson , H. Friedman , J. Diaz , Z. Franco , & B. Nastasi (Eds.), *The Praeger handbook on social justice and psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 227–248). Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger.

Adams, M. , & Zhou-McGovern, Y. (1994). The sociomoral development of undergraduates in a “social diversity” course: Developmental theory, research and instructional applications. Paper presented at an annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association (AERA). New Orleans, LA: ERIC. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED380345.

Allport, G. W. (1954/1979). *The nature of prejudice* (unabridged, 25th anniversary ed.). Reading, PA: Addison-Wesley.

Anderson, J. A. , & Adams, M. (1992). Acknowledging the learning styles of diverse student populations: Implications for instructional design. In L.A.B. Border & N.V.N. Chism (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity: New directions for teaching and learning* (#49, pp. 19–34). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Anyon, J. (2009). Critical pedagogy is not enough: Social justice education, political participation, and the politicization of students. In M. W. Apple , W. Au , & I. A. Grandin (Eds.), *The Routledge international handbook of critical education* (pp. 389–393). New York: Routledge.

Apple, M. W. , Au, W. , & Gandin, L. A. (2009). *The Routledge international handbook of critical education*. New York: Routledge.

Au, W. (2009). Fighting with the text: Contextualizing and reconceptualizing Freire's critical pedagogy. In M. W. Apple , W. Au , & I. A. Grandin (Eds.), *The Routledge international handbook of critical education* (pp. 221–231). New York: Routledge.

Bargad, A. , & Hyde, J. S. (1991). Women's studies: A study of feminist identity development in women. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 15, 181–210.

Barkley, E. F. , Cross, K. P. , & Major, C. H. (2005). *Collaborative learning techniques: A handbook for college faculty*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Bartolomé, L. (1994). Beyond the methods fetish: Toward a humanizing pedagogy. *Harvard Educational Review*, 64(2), 173–194.

Baxter-Magolda, M. B. (1992). *Knowing and reasoning in college: Gender-related patterns in students' intellectual development*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Belenky, M. F. , Clinchy, M. B. , Goldberger, N. R. , & Tarule, J. M. (1986). *Women's ways of knowing: The development of self, voice, and mind*. New York: Basic Books.

Benne, K. D. (1964). History of the T-group in the laboratory setting. In L. P. Bradford , J. R. Gibb , & K. D. Benne (Eds.), *T-group theory and laboratory method: Innovation in re-education* (pp. 80–136). New York: John Wiley.

Beyer, L. E. , & Apple, M. W. (Eds.). (1998). *The curriculum: Problems, politics, and possibilities* (2nd ed.). Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Bidell, T. R. , Lee, E. M. , Bouchie, N. , Ward, C. , & Brass, D. (1994). Developing conceptions of racism among young white adults in the context of cultural diversity coursework. *Journal of Adult Development*, 1(3), 185–200.

Boal, A. (1979). *Theatre of the oppressed*. London: Pluto Press.

Bonwell, C. C. , & Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom*. Association for the Study of Higher Education (ASHE)-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1. Washington, DC: George Washington University, School of Education and Human Development.

Bradford, L. P. , Gibb, J. R. , & Benne, K. D. (Eds.). (1964). *T-group theory and laboratory method: Innovation in re-education*. New York: John Wiley.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Brookfield, S. D. (1987). *Developing critical thinkers: Challenging adults to explore alternative ways of thinking and acting*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brookfield, S. D. (2005). *The power of critical theory: Liberating adult learning and teaching*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brookfield, S. D. (2012). *Teaching for critical thinking: Tools and techniques to help students question their assumptions*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brookfield, S. D. (2013). *Powerful techniques for teaching adults*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brookfield, S. D. , & Holst, J. D. (2011). *Radicalizing learning: Adult education for a just world*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Cass, V. C. (1996). Sexual orientation identity formation: A western phenomenon. In R. P. Cabai & T. S. Stein (Eds.), *Textbook of homosexuality and mental health*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

Catalano, C. J. (2014). *Welcome to Guyland: Experiences of trans* men in college* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from WorldCat. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Cole, M. (1990). Cognitive development and formal schooling: The evidence from cross-cultural research. In L. C. Moll (Ed.), *Vygotsky and education: Instructional implications and applications of sociohistorical psychology* (pp. 89–110). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Cole, M. (1995). Culture and cognitive development: From cross-cultural research to creating systems of cultural mediation. *Culture and Psychology*, 1(1), 25–54.

Cole, M. (1996). *Cultural psychology: A once and future discipline*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Cox, S. , & Gallois, C. (1996). Gay and lesbian identity development: A social identity perspective. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 30(4), 1–30.

Cross, W. E. (1971). The Negro-to-black conversion experience: Toward a psychology of black liberation. *Black World*, 20(9), 13–27.

Cross, W. E. (1991). *Shades of black: Diversity in African American identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Cross, W. E. (2001). Patterns of African American identity development: A life-span perspective. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: A theoretical and practical anthology* (pp. 243–270). New York: New York University Press.

Cross, W. E. (2012). The enactments of race and other social identities during everyday transactions. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 192–215). New York: New York University Press.

Cross, W. E. , & Phagen-Smith, P. (2001). Patterns of African American identity development: A life-span perspective. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: A theoretical and practical anthology* (pp. 243–270). New York: New York University Press.

Daniels, H. (2001). *Vygotsky and pedagogy*. New York: Routledge.

Darder, A. , Baltodano, M. P. , & Torres, R. D. (Eds.). (2008). *The critical pedagogy reader* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Dewey, J. (1909/1975). *Moral principle in education*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois Press.

Dovidio, J. F. , Glick, P. , & Rudman, L. A. (Eds.). (2005). *On the nature of prejudice: Fifty years after Allport*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.

Downing, N. E. , & Roush, K. L. (1985). From passive acceptance to active commitment: A model of feminist identity development for women. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 13(4), 695–709.

Duany, J. (1998). Reconstructing racial identity: Ethnicity, color, and class among Dominicans in the United States and Puerto Rico. *Latin American Perspectives*, 25(3), 147–172.

Engberg, M. E. (2004). Improving intergroup relations in higher education: A critical examination of the influence of educational interventions on racial bias. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(4), 473–524.

Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity, youth and crisis*. New York: Norton.

Evans, S. (1980). *Personal politics: The roots of women's liberation in the civil rights movement and the new left*. New York: Random House.

Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Herder & Herder.

Freire, P. (1994). *Pedagogy of hope: Reliving pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Continuum.

Gallegos, P. V. & Ferdman, B. M. (2012). Latina and Latina ethnoracial identity orientations: A dynamic and developmental perspective. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 51–80). New York: New York University Press.

Gallimore, R. (1992). Developmental perspectives. In F. K. Oser , A. Dick , & J-L. Patry (Eds.), *Effective and responsible teaching: The new synthesis* (pp. 155–222). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Gill, C. (1997). Four types of integration in disability identity development. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 9, 39–46.

Goodman, D. (2014). The tapestry model: Exploring social identities, privilege, and oppression from an intersectional perspective. In D. Mitchell, Jr. , C. Simmons , & L. Greyerbiehl (Eds.), *Intersectionality in higher education: Theory, research, and praxis*. New York: Peter Lang Publishing.

Goodman, D. J. , & Jackson, B. W. (2012). Pedagogical approaches to teaching about racial identity from an intersectional perspective. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 216–242). New York: New York University Press.

Gurin, P. , Nagda, B. R. A. , & Zúñiga, X. (2013). *Dialogue across difference: Practice, theory, and research on intergroup dialogue*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Hamako, E. (2014). *Improving anti-racist education for multiracial students* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from WorldCat. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Helms, J. E. (1995). An update of Helms's white and people of color racial identity models. In J. G. Ponterotto , J. M. Casas , L. A. Suzuki , & C. M. Alexander (Eds.), *Handbook of multicultural counseling* (pp. 181–198). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Holvino, E. (2012). The “simultaneity” of identities: Models and skills for the twenty-first century. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 161–191). New York: New York University Press.

Hopkins, L. E. (2014). *Beyond the pearly gates: White, low-income student experiences at elite colleges* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from WorldCat. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Hopkins, L. E. , & Domingue, A. D. (2015, in press). From awareness to action: College students' skill development in intergroup dialogue. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 48(3).

Horton, M. , & Freire, P. (1990). *We make the road by walking: Conversations on education and social change*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Howe, F. (1964/1984). *Mississippi's Freedom Schools: The politics of education*. In F. Howe (Ed.), *Myths of coeducation: Selected essays, 1964–1983* (pp. 1–17). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

Hurtado, A. , Gurin, P. , & Peng, T. (1994). Social identities—A framework for studying the adaptations of immigrants and ethnics: The adaptations of Mexicans to the United States. *Social Problems*, 41(1), 129–151.

Jackson, B. W. (Ed.) (1976). *Black identity development*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall Hunt.

Jackson, B. W. (2001). Black identity development: Further analysis and elaboration. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: A theoretical and practical anthology* (pp. 8–31). New York: New York University Press.

Jackson, B. W. (2012). Black identity development: Influences of culture and social oppression. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 33–50). New York: New York University Press.

Johnson, D. W. , Johnson, R. G. , & Smith, K. A. (1991). *Active learning: Cooperation in the college classroom*. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.

Kegan, R. (1982). *The evolving self*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Kim, J. (2001). Asian American identity development. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: A theoretical and practical anthology* (pp. 67–90). New York: New York University Press.

King, P. M. , & Kitchener, K. S. (1994). *Developing reflective judgment: Understanding and promoting intellectual growth and critical thinking in adolescents and adults*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

King, P. M. , & Shuford, B. C. (1996). A multicultural view is a more cognitively complex view: Cognitive development and multicultural education. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 40(2), 153–164.

Kolb, D. A. (1981). Learning styles and disciplinary differences. In A. W. Chickering (Ed.), *The modern American college: Responding to the new realities of diverse students and a changing society*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Kuhn, D. (Ed.) (1990). *Developmental perspectives on teaching and learning thinking skills*. Basel, Switzerland: Karger.

Lahey, G. (2010). *Facilitating group learning: Strategies for success with diverse adult learners*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Lewin, K. (1948). *Resolving social conflicts: Selected papers on group dynamics*. New York: Harper & Row.

Lippitt, R. (1949). *Training in community relations*. New York: Harper & Row.

Marchesani, L. , & Adams, M. (1992). Dynamics of diversity in the teaching-learning process: A faculty development model for analysis and action. In M. Adams (Ed.), *Promoting diversity in college classrooms: Innovative responses for the curriculum, faculty and institutions* (New Directions for Teaching and Learning, no. 52, pp. 9–21). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Marcia, J. E. (1966). Development and validation of ego-identity statuses. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 3, 551–558.
- Margolis, E. (Ed.) (2001). *The hidden curriculum in higher education*. New York: Routledge.
- Matos, J. (2015). La familia: The important ingredient for Latina/o college student engagement and persistence. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 48(1), 437–454.
- Moll, L. C. (Ed.) (1990). *Vygotsky and education: Instructional implications and applications of sociohistorical psychology*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Nieto, S. , & Bode, P. (2008). *Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education* (5th ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Perry, W. G. (1970). *Forms of intellectual and ethical development in the college years*. New York: Holt, Winston & Rinehart.
- Perry, W. G. (1981). Cognitive and ethical growth: The making of meaning. In A. Chickering (Ed.), *The modern American college* (pp. 76–116). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Pettigrew, T. F. (1998). The intergroup contact hypothesis reconsidered. New York: Basil Blackwell.
- Pettigrew, T. F. , & Tropp, L. R. (2006). A meta-analytic test of intergroup contact theory. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 90(5), 752–783.
- Pfeiffer, J. W. , & Jones, J. E. (Eds.). (1972–1980). *A handbook of structured experiences for human relations training* (Vols. I–VII). San Diego, CA: University Associates.
- Rachal, J. (1998). We'll never turn back: Adult education and the struggle for citizenship in Mississippi's Freedom Summer. *American Education Research Journal*, 35(2), 167–198.
- Renn, K. A. (2012). Creating and re-creating race: The emergence of racial identity as a critical element in psychological, sociological, and ecological perspectives on human development. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 11–32). New York: New York University Press.
- Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sandoval, C. (2000). *Methodology of the oppressed*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Sannino, A. , Daniels, H. , & Gutierrez, K. D. (Eds.). (2009). *Learning and expanding with activity theory*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sarachild, K. (1975). Consciousness-raising: A radical weapon. In Redstockings (Ed.), *Feminist revolution* (pp. 144–150). New York: Random House.
- Schutzman, M. , & Cohen-Cruz, J. (Eds.). (1994). *Playing Boal: Theatre, therapy, activism*. New York: Routledge.
- Selman, R. L. (1980). *The growth of interpersonal understanding: Developmental and clinical analyses*. New York: Academic Press.
- Sherif, M. , & Sherif, C. (1970). Black unrest as a social movement toward an emerging self-identity. *Journal of Social & Behavioral Sciences*, 15(3), 41–52.
- Shor, I. (Ed.) (1987). *Freire for the classroom: A sourcebook for liberatory teaching*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Silberman, M. (1998). *Active training: A handbook of techniques, designs, case examples and tips*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Slavin, R. E. (1995). *Cooperative learning* (2nd ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Stephan, W. G. , & Vogt, W. P. (Eds.). (2004). *Education programs for improving intergroup relations: Theory, research, and practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Stevens, D. D. , & Levi, A. J. (2005). *Introduction to rubrics: An assessment tool to save grading time, convey effective feedback, and promote student learning*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Tatum, B. D. (1992). Talking about race, learning about racism: The application of racial identity development theory in the classroom. *Harvard Educational Review*, 62(1), 1–24.
- Tatum, B. D. (1994). Teaching white students about racism: The search for white allies and the restoration of hope. *Teacher's College Record*, 95(4), 462–476.
- Tuckman, B. (1965). Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 63(6), 384–399.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wijeyesinghe, C. L. (2001). Racial identity in multiracial people: An alternative paradigm. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: A theoretical and practical anthology* (pp. 129–152). New York: New York University Press.
- Wijeyesinghe, C. L. (2012). The intersectional model of multiracial identity: Integrating multiracial identity theories and intersectional perspectives on social identity. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 81–107). New York: New York University Press.
- Wijeyesinghe, C. L. & Jackson, B. W. (Eds.). (2001). *New perspectives on racial identity development: A theoretical and practical anthology*. New York: New York University Press.
- Wijeyesinghe, C. L. & Jackson, B. W. (Eds.). (2012). *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed.). New York: New York University Press.

Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Zajda, J. , Majhanovich, S. , Rust, V. , & Sabina, E. M. (Eds.). (2006). *Education and social justice*. New York: Springer.

Zúñiga, X. , Lopez, G. E. , & Ford, K. A. (Eds.). (2012). *Intergroup dialogue: Engaging differences, social identities, and social justice*. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 45(1), 1–236.

Design and Facilitation

Amos, Y. T. (2014). To lose is to win: The effects of student evaluations in a multicultural education class on a Japanese faculty with a non-native English Accent. *Understanding and Dismantling Privilege*, 4(2), 116–133. Retrieved from <http://www.wpcjournal.com/article/view/12220>

Anderson, L. W. , and Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.) (2000). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A Revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Angelo, T. , & Cross, P. K. (1993). *Classroom assessment techniques: A handbook for college teachers*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Barker, P. (1993). *Regeneration*. New York: Penguin.

Beeman, A. (2015). Teaching to convince, teaching to empower: Reflections on student resistance and self-defeat at predominantly white vs. racially diverse campuses. *Understanding and Dismantling Privilege*, 5(1), 13–30. Retrieved from <http://www.wpcjournal.com/article/view/12211/PDF%28Beeman%29>

Bell, L. A. (2010). *Storytelling for social justice: Connecting narrative and the arts in antiracist teaching*. New York: Routledge.

Bloom, B. S. , & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals, by a committee of college and university examiners. Handbook 1: Cognitive domain*. New York: Longmans.

Brooks-Harris, J. E. , & Stock-Ward, S. R. (1999). *Workshops: Designing and facilitating experiential learning*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Burgstahler, S. , & Cory, R. (2008). *Universal design in higher education: From principles to practice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

DiAngelo, R. , & Sensoy, O. (2014). Leaning in: A student's guide to engaging constructively in social justice content. *Radical Pedagogy*, 11(1), 2.

Eisner, E. (2002). *The arts and the creation of mind*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Fink, D. (2013). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Garran, A. M. , & Rassmussen, B. (2014). Safety in the classroom: Reconsidered. *Journal of Teaching in Social Work*, 34(4), 401–412. doi: 10.1080/08841233.2014.937517

Goodman, D. (2011). *Promoting diversity and social justice: Educating people from privileged groups* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Goodman, D. (2015). Can you love them enough to help them learn? Reflections of a social justice educator on addressing resistance from white students to anti-racism education. *Understanding and Dismantling Privilege*, 5(1), 62–73. Retrieved from <http://www.wpcjournal.com/article/view/12208>

Greene, M. (2007). *Imagination, oppression and culture: Creating authentic openings*. In a collection of works by Maxine Greene curated by the Maxine Greene Foundation. Available at https://maxinegreene.org/uploads/library/imagination_oc.pdf

Griffin, P. , & Ouellett, M. (2007). Facilitating social justice education courses. In M. A. Adams , L. A. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice: A sourcebook* (pp. 89–113).

Gutiérrez y Muhs, G. , Niemann, Y. F. , González, C. G. , & Harris, A. P. (2012). *Presumed incompetent: The intersections of race and class for women in academia*. Logan, UT: Utah State University Press.

Hamermesh, D. S. , & Parker, A. M. (2005). Beauty in the classroom: Instructors' pulchritude and putative pedagogical productivity. *Economics of Education Review*, 24, 369–376.

Holley, L. C. , & Steiner, S. (2005). Safe space: Student perspectives on classroom environment. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 41, 49–64.

Huston, T. A. (2006). Race and gender bias in higher education: Could faculty course evaluations impede further progress toward parity? *Seattle Journal for Social Justice*, 4(2), Article 34.

Kegan, R. (2009). *The evolving self: Problem and process in human development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: experience as the source of learning and development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Marchesani, L. , & Adams, M. (1992). Dynamics of diversity in the teaching-learning process: A faculty development model for analysis and action. In M. Adams (Ed.), *Promoting diversity in college classrooms: Innovative responses for the curriculum, faculty, and institutions* (pp. 9–19). *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, No. 52. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Obear, K. (2013). Navigating triggering events: Critical competencies for social justice educators. In L. M. Landreman (Ed.), *The art of effective facilitation: Reflections from social justice educators*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.

Ouellett, M. , & Fraser, E. (2005). Teaching together: Interracial teams. In M. Ouellett (Ed.), *Teaching inclusively: Resources for course, department and institutional change in higher education*. Stillwater, OK: New Forums Press.

Ouyang, H. (2014). Transforming resistance: Strategies for teaching race in the ethnic American literature classroom. *Understanding and Dismantling Privilege*, 4(2), 204–219. Retrieved from http://www.wpcjournal.com/article/view/12280/pdf_11

Palmer, P. (1997). *The courage to teach*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Rendon, L. (2009). *Sentipensante (sensing/thinking) pedagogy: Educating for wholeness, social justice and liberation*. Sterling, VA: Stylus Press.

Sensoy, O. , & DiAngelo, R. (2014). Respect differences? Challenging the common guidelines in social justice education. *Democracy & Education*, 22(2), Article 1.

Soohoo, S. (2006). *Talking leaves: Narratives of otherness*. New York: Hampton Press, Inc.

Suskie, L. (2004). *Assessing student learning: A common sense guide*. Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing.

Vargas, L. (1999). When the other is the teacher: Implications of teacher diversity in higher education. *The Urban Review*, 31, 359–383. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1023290300660>

Walvoord, B. , & Anderson, V. J. (2009). *Effective grading: A tool for learning and assessment in college* (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Warren, L. (2005). Strategic action in hot moments. In M. L. Ouellett (Ed.), *Teaching inclusively* (pp. 620–630). Stillwater, OK: New Forum Press.

Weinstein, G. (1988). Design elements for intergroup awareness training. *Journal for Specialists in Group Work*, 13(2), 96.

Getting Started

Adams, M. (2014). Social justice and education. In M. Reisch (Ed.), *Routledge international handbook of social justice* (pp. 249–268). London: Routledge.

Anyon, J. (2005). *Radical possibilities: Public policy, urban education, and a new social movement*. New York: Routledge.

Banaji, M. R. , & Greenwald, A. G. (2013). *Blindspot: Hidden biases of good people*. New York: Delacorte Press.

Broido, E. M. (2000). The development of social justice allies during college: A phenomenological investigation. *Journal of College Student Development*, 41(1), 3–18.

Brookfield, S. (2005). *The power of critical theory: Liberating adult learning and teaching*. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons.

Case, K. (Ed.). (2013). *Deconstructing privilege: Teaching and learning as allies in the classroom*. New York: Routledge.

Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Crenshaw, K. W. (2003). Traffic at the crossroads: Multiple oppressions. In R. Morgan (Ed.), *Sisterhood is forever: The women's anthology for a new millennium* (pp. 43–57). New York: Washington Square Press.

Cross, W. E. (2012). The enactment of race and other social identities during everyday transactions. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 192–201). New York: New York University Press.

Domingue, A. D. , & Neely, D. S. (2013). Why is it so hard to take action: A reflective dialogue about facilitating for social action engagement. In L. M. Landerman (Ed.), *The art of effective facilitation: Reflections from social justice educators* (pp. 231–252). Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.

Duncan-Andrade, J.M.R. (2009). Note to educators: Hope required when growing roses in concrete. *Harvard Educational Review*, 79(2), 181–194.

Fraser, J. (1999). *Between church and state: Religion and public education in a multicultural America*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Herder & Herder.

Goodman, D. (2011). *Promoting diversity and social justice: Educating people from privileged groups* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Gurin, P. , Nagda, B. A. , & Zúñiga, X. (2013). *Dialogue across difference: Practice, theory, and research on intergroup dialogue*. New York: Russell Sage.

Hankivsky, O. (2014). *Intersectionality 101*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: The Institute for Intersectionality Research & Policy, Simon Fraser University. Retrieved from http://www.sfu.ca/iirp/documents/resources/101_Final.pdf

Hardiman, R. , Jackson, B. W. , & Griffin, P. (2013). Conceptual foundations. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 26–35). New York, NY: Routledge.

Hardiman, R. , Jackson, B. W. , & Griffin, P. (2007). Conceptual foundations for social justice education. In M. Adams , L. A. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 35–66). New York: Routledge.

Harro, B. (2013a). The cycle of socialization. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hacksman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 45–51). New York: Routledge.

Harro, B. (2013b). The cycle of liberation. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hacksman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 618–624). New York: Routledge.

Helmreich, W. B. (1982). *The things they say beyond your back: Stereotypes and the myths behind them*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.

Holvino, E. (2012). The “simultaneity” of identities: Models and skills for the twenty-first century. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe and B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 161–191). New York: New York University Press.

Huber, L. P. , & Solórzano, D. G. (2014). Racial microaggressions as a tool for critical race research. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 18(3), 297–320.

Hurtado, A. , & Gurin, P. (2004). *Chicana/o in a changing U.S. society: The Mexican American experience*. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press.

Johnson, A. G. (2006). *Privilege, power, and difference* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Kegan, R. (1982). *The evolving self: Problems and process in human development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Kimmel, M. S. , & Ferber, A. L. (Eds.). (2009). *Privilege: A reader* (2nd ed.). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

King, J. , Rizga, K. , & Palermo, T. (2007, Dec. 27). Top youth activism victories of 2007. *The Nation*. Retrieved from <http://www.thenation.com/article/top-youth-activism-victories-2008/>

Kirk, G. , & Okazawa-Rey, M. (2013). Identities and social locations: Who am I? Who are my people? In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 9–15). New York: Routledge.

Kirwan Institute . (2013). *State of the science: Implicit bias review*. Columbus, OH: Ohio State Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity.

Lahey, G. (2010). *Facilitating group learning: Strategies for success with diverse adult learners*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Leondar-Wright, B. (2005). *Class matters: Cross-class alliance building for middle-class activists*. Gabriola Island, BC, Canada: New Society Publishers.

Leondar-Wright, B. (2014). *Missing class: Strengthening social movement groups by seeing class cultures*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Loewen, J. W. (1995). *Lies my teacher told me: Everything your American history textbook got wrong*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Love, B. J. (2013). Developing a liberatory consciousness. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 601–605). New York: Routledge.

McIntosh, P. (1989). *White privilege: Unpacking the invisibility knapsack*. Wellesley, MA: The National SEED Project on Inclusive Curriculum, Seeking Educational Equity & Diversity (SEED). Retrieved from <http://nationalseedproject.org/white-privilege-unpacking-the-invisible-knapsack>

McLennan, R. M. (2008). *The crisis of imprisonment: Protest, politics, and the making of the American penal state, 1776–1941*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Memmi, A. (1957, 1991). *The colonizer and the colonized* (expanded ed.). Boston: Beacon Press.

National Catholic Educational Association (NCEA, n.d.). *U.S. Census Bureau race and ethnicity reporting for NCEA data collection*. Arlington, VA: NCEA. Retrieved from <http://ncea.org/>

Obear, K. (2013). Navigating triggering events: Critical competencies for social justice educators. In L. M. Landerman (Ed.), *The art of effective facilitation: Reflections from social justice educators* (pp. 151–172). Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.

Omi, M. , & Winant, H. (2015). *Racial formation in the United States* (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Pasque, P. A. , & Harris, B. L. (2013). Moving from social justice to social agency: Keeping it messy. In K. Kline (Ed.), *Reflection in action: A guidebook for faculty and student affairs professionals* (pp. 133–151). Sterling, VA: Stylus.

Pharr, S. (1997). *Homophobia: A weapon of sexism* (expanded ed.). Berkeley, CA: Chardon.

Pittelman, K. (2005). *Classified: How to stop hiding your privilege and use it for social change*. Brooklyn, NY: Soft Skull Press.

Population Reference Bureau (PRB, 2009). *The 2010 census questionnaire: Seven questions for everyone*. Washington, DC: PRB. Retrieved from <http://www.prb.org/Publications/Articles/2009/questionnaire.aspx>

Rawls, J. (2003). *Justice as fairness: A restatement*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Rose, F. (2000). *Coalitions across the class divide: Lessons from the labor, peace and environmental movements*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Sen, A. (2009). *The idea of justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Stassen, M. , Zúñiga, X. , Keehn, M. , Mildred, J. , DeJong, K. , & Varghese, R. (2013). Engagement in intergroup dialogue: Listening, speaking and active thinking. In P. Gurin , B. A. Nagda , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Dialogues across differences: Practice, theory and research on intergroup dialogue* (pp. 211–242). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Sue, D. W. (2010). *Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Tatum, B. D. (1992). Talking about race, learning about racism: The application of racial identity development theory in the classroom. *Harvard Educational Review*, 62(1), 1–24.

Tilly, C. (1978). *From mobilization to revolution*. Boston: Addison-Wesley.

U.S. Bureau of the Census (U.S. Census Bureau, n.d.) . *Race*. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/>

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (M. Cole , V. John-Steiner , S. Scribner , & E. Souberman , Trans.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Wasserman, I. C. , & Doran, R. F. (1999). Creating inclusive learning communities. In A. L. Cooke , M. Brazzel , A. S. Craig , & B. Greig (Eds.), *NTL reading book for human relations training* (8th ed., pp. 307–310). Alexandria, VA: NTL Institute for Applied Behavioral Sciences.

West, C. (2004). *Democracy matters: Winning the fight against imperialism*. New York: Penguin.

Wijeyesinghe, C. (2012). The intersectional model of multiracial identity: Integrating multiracial identity theories and intersectional perspectives on social identity. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed., pp. 81–108). New York: New York University Press.

Wijeyesinghe, C. , & Jackson, B. W. (2012). *New perspectives on racial identity development: Integrating emerging frameworks* (2nd ed.). New York: New York University Press.

Wildman, S. M. (1996). *Privilege revealed: How invisible preference undermines America*. New York: New York University Press.

Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Young, I. M. (2011). *Responsibility for justice*. Cambridge, MA: Oxford University Press.

Zinn, H. (2003). *A people's history of the United States: 1492–present*. New York: Harper-Collins.

Zinn, H. (2002). *You can't be neutral on a moving train*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Zúñiga, X. & Lee, E. Y. (2015). *Social Justice Education*, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. In Collins, P. H. (1990), *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Zúñiga, X. (2013). Chapter introduction; Selections for working for social justice: Visions and strategies for social change. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 589–647). New York: Routledge.

Zúñiga, X. , Nagda, B. A. , Chesler, M. , & Cytron-Walker, A. (2007). *Intergroup dialogues in higher education: Meaningful learning about social justice*. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report Series, 32(4). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Racism and White Privilege

Adams, M. , Blumenfeld, W. , Castaneda, R. , Hackman, H. , Peters, M. , & Zuniga, X. (2013). *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Adelman, L. , & Smith, L. M. (Executive Producers) (2008). *Unnatural causes: Is inequality making us sick?* [Documentary Film Series]. United States: California Newsreel.

Alamillo, L. , Palmer, D. , Viramontes, C. , & Garcia, E. (2005). California's English-only policies: an analysis of initial effects. In A. Valenzuela (Ed.), *Leaving children behind: How Texas-style accountability fails Latino youth* (pp. 201–224). Albany: State University of New York Press.

Alexander, M. (2012). *The new Jim Crow: Mass incarceration in the age of colorblindness*. New York: The New Press.

Alsultany, E. (2008). The prime time plight of the Arab Muslim American after 9/11. In A. Jamal & N. Naber (Eds.), *Race and Arab Americans before and after 9/11: From invisible citizens to visible subjects* (pp. 204–228). Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

American Civil Liberties Union (2015). *Arizona's SB 1070 Law*. Retrieved from <https://www.aclu.org/feature/arizonas-sb-1070?redirect=arizonas-sb-1070>

Ansley, F. L. (1997). White supremacy (and what we should do about it). In R. Delgado & J. Stefancic (Eds.), *Critical white studies: Looking behind the mirror*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Archibold, R. C. (2010). Arizona enacts stringent law on immigration. *New York Times*, April 24. Retrieved at: http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/24/us/politics/24immig.html?_r=0

Baugh, J. (2003). Linguistic profiling. In S. Makoni , G. Smitherman , A. F. Ball , & A. K. Spears (Eds.), *Black linguistics: Language, society and politics in Africa and the Americas* (pp. 155–168). New York: Routledge.

Bell, L. A. (2003a). Telling tales: What stories can teach us about racism. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 6(1), 3–28.

Bell, L. A. (2003b). Sincere fictions: The pedagogical challenges of preparing white teachers for multicultural classrooms. *Equity and Excellence in Education*, 35(3), 236–245.

Bell, L. A. (2010). *Storytelling for social justice: Connecting narrative and the arts in antiracist teaching*. New York: Routledge.

Bell, L. A. (2016). Telling on racism: Developing a race-conscious agenda. In H. A. Neville , M. E. Gallardo , & D. W. Sue (Eds.), *The myth of racial color blindness: Manifestations, dynamics, and impact* (pp. 105–122). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Bertrand, M. , & Mullainathan, S. (2004, Sept.). Are Emily and Greg more employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A field experiment on labor market discrimination. *The American Economic Review*, 94(4), 991–1013.

Bivens, D. (2005). What is internalized racism? In M. Potapchuk , S. Leiderman , D. Bivens , & B. Major (Eds.), *Flipping the script: White privilege and community building* (pp. 43–51). Silver Spring, MD: MP Associates, Inc. Retrieved from <http://www.mpassociates.us/pdf/FlippingtheScriptmostupdated.pdf>

Blackmon, D. A. (2008). *Slavery by another name: The re-enslavement of Black Americans from the Civil War to World War II*. New York: Doubleday.

Bonilla-Silva, E. (2004). From bi-racial to tri-racial: Towards a new system of racial stratification in the USA. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 27(6), 931–950.

Bonilla-Silva, E. (2013). *Racism without racists* (4th ed.). Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Bonilla-Silva, E. (2015). More than prejudice: Restatement, reflections, and new directions in critical race theory. *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity*, 1(1), 73–87.

Bonilla-Silva, E. , & Dietrich, D. (2011). The sweet enchantment of color-blind racism in Obamerica. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 634(1), 190–206.

Bonilla-Silva, E. , & Forman, T. A. (2000). “I am not a racist but ...”: Mapping white college students’ racial ideology in the USA. *Discourse and Society*, 11(1), 50–85.

Brodin, K. (1998). *How Jews became white folks and what that says about race in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Bump, P. (2015, Jan. 5). The new Congress is 80 percent white, 80 percent male and 92 percent Christian. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from <http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2015/01/05/the-new-congress-is-80-percent-white-80-percent-male-and-92-percent-christian/>

Bunche Center (2014). *2014 Hollywood diversity report: Making sense of the disconnect*. Los Angeles: Ralph J. Bunche Center for African American Studies at UCLA. Retrieved from <http://www.bunchecenter.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/2014-Hollywood-Diversity-Report-2-12-14.pdf>

Calefati, J. (2010, May 12). Arizona bans ethnic studies. *Mother Jones*. Retrieved from <http://www.motherjones.com/mojo/2010/05/ethnic-studies-banned-arizona>

Campbell, K. M. (2011, Spring). The road to SB 1070: How Arizona became ground zero for the immigrants’ rights movement and the continuing struggle for Latino civil rights in America. *Harvard Latino Law Review*, 14, 1–21.

Carnevale, A. P. , & Strohl, J. (2013). *Separate & unequal: How higher education reinforces intergenerational reproduction of white privilege*. Washington, DC: Georgetown Public Policy Institute, Center on Education and the Workforce.

Carter, P. L. , & Welner, K. G. (2013). *Closing the opportunity gap: What America must do to give every child an even chance*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Cashin, S. (2004). *The failures of integration: How race and class are undermining the American dream*. New York: Public Affairs.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013). *CDC Health disparities and inequalities report United States – 2013*. Vol 62, No 3. Washington, DC: Author.

The Century Foundation . (2013). *Bridging the higher education divide: Strengthening community colleges and restoring the American dream*. Retrieved from http://www.tcf.org/assets/down-loads/20130523-Bridging_the_Higher_Education_DivideREPORT-ONLY.pdf

Chacon, J. A. , & Davis, M. (2006). *No one is illegal: Fighting racism and state violence on the US-Mexico border*. Chicago: Haymarket Books.

Cheryan, S. , & Bodenhausen, G. V. (2000). When positive stereotypes threaten intellectual performance: The psychological hazards of model minority status. *American Psychological Society*, 11(5), 399–402.

Choi, J. A. (2008). Unlearning colorblind ideologies in education class. *Educational Foundations*, 22, 53–71.

Coates, R. (2008). Covert racism in the USA and globally. *Sociology Compass*, 2(1), 208–231.

Coll, C. G. , & Magnuson, K. (2014). The psychological experience of immigration: A developmental perspective. In M. M. Suárez-Orozco , C. Suárez-Orozco , & D. Qin-Hilliard , *The New Immigrant and the American Family: Interdisciplinary Perspectives on the New Immigration* (pp. 69–110). London: Routledge.

Collins, P. H. (2012). Looking back, moving ahead: Scholarship in service to social Justice. *Gender & Society*, 26(1), 14–22.

Cortes, C. E. (2000). *The children are watching: How the media teach about diversity*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Crenshaw, K. W. (1995). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. In K. Thomas (Ed.), *Critical race theory: The key writings that formed a movement* (pp. 357–383). New York: The New Press.

Curtis, A. J. (2014). Tracing the school-to-prison pipeline from zero-tolerance policies to juvenile justice dispositions. *Georgetown Law Journal*, 102(4), 1251–1277.

Daniels, J. (2009). *Cyber racism: White supremacy online and the new attack on civil rights*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Dardar, A. , & Uriarte, M. (2013). The politics of restrictive language policies: A postcolonial analysis of language and schooling. *Postcolonial Directions in Education*, 2(1), 6–67.

Delpit, L. (2013). “Multiplication is for white people”: Raising expectations for other people’s children. New York: The New Press.

Demos (2015). The racial wealth gap: Why policy matters. New York: Demos. Retrieved from <http://www.demos.org/publication/racial-wealth-gap-why-policy-matters>

DeSilver, D. (2014, Apr. 22). Supreme Court says states can ban affirmative action; 8 already have. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center Fact Tank. Retrieved from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/04/22/supreme-court-says-states-can-ban-affirmative-action-8-already-have/>

DiAngelo, R. (2011). White fragility. *International Journal of Critical Pedagogy*, 3(3), 54–70.

DiTomaso, N. (2013, May 5). How social networks drive black unemployment. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com>

Dovidio, J. F. , & Gaertner, S. L. (2000). Aversive racism and selection decisions: 1989 and 1999. *Psychological Science*, 11(4), 315–319.

Dutko, P. , Ver Ploeg, M. , & Farrigan, T. (2012, Aug.). Characteristics and influential factors of food deserts (Economic Research Report No. 140). Washington, DC: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Retrieved from <http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/err-economic-research-report/err140.aspx>

Entman, R. M. , & Rojecki, A. (2001). *The black image in the white mind: Media and race in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Fang, L. (2013). How private prisons game the immigration system. *The Nation*. Retrieved from <http://www.thenation.com/article/173120>

Feagin, J. (2002). White supremacy and Mexican Americans: Rethinking the black-white paradigm. *Rutgers Law Review*, 54(4), 959–987.

Feagin, J. , & Elias, S. (2012). Rethinking racial formation theory: A systemic racism critique. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 36(6), 931–960.

Federal Communications Commission (2014). Report on ownership of commercial broadcast stations. Retrieved from https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-14-924A1.pdf

Flynn, J. E. (2010). Creating the dialogic space: Critical pedagogy with the oppressed and the oppressor. *Wisconsin English Journal*, 52(1), 1–12.

Foner, N. (2005). *In a new land: A comparative view of immigration*. New York: New York University Press.

Foner, N. (2011). *The next generation: Immigrant youth in a comparative perspective*. New York: New York University Press.

Frankenberg, E. (2013). The role of residential segregation in contemporary school segregation. *Education and Urban Society*, 45(5), 548–570.

Frankenberg, E. , & Siegel-Hawley, G. (2009). Equity overlooked: Charter schools and civil rights policy. Los Angeles: The Civil Rights Project, UCLA. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0kq1t80f>

Frederickson, G. (2003). *Racism: A short history*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Freed Wessler, S. (2010, Oct. 8). NPR investigation: Private prison companies helped write SB 1070. *Colorlines*. Retrieved from <http://www.colorlines.com/articles/npr-investigation-private-prison-companies-helped-write-sb-1070>

Gillborn, D. (2006). Critical race theory and education: Racism and anti-racism in educational theory and praxis. *Discourse: Studies in the cultural politics of education*, 27(1): 11–32.

Glenn, E. (2011). Constructing citizenship: Exclusion, subordination, and resistance. *American Sociological Review* 76, 1–24.

Glenn, E. N. (Ed.). (2009). *Shades of difference: Why skin color matters*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.

Glenn, E. N. (2015). Settler colonialism as structure: A framework for comparative studies of U.S. race and gender formation. *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity*, 1(1), 54–74.

Golash-Boza, T. (2009). The immigration industrial complex: Why we enforce immigration policies destined to fail. *Sociology Compass*, 3(2), 295–309.

Gomez, L. E. , & Lopez, N. (Eds.). (2013). *Mapping race: Critical approaches to health disparities research*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Gonzalez, J. (2011). *Harvest of empire: A history of Latinos in America* (revised ed.). New York: Viking.

Goodman, D. (2011). *Promoting diversity and social justice: Educating people from privileged groups* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Gramlich, E. M. (2007). *Subprime mortgages: America's latest boom and bust*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press. Accessed June 17, 2015, from the ProQuest ebrary.

Grande, S. (in press). *Red pedagogy: Native American social and political thought* (10th anniversary revised ed.). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Grant, C. A. , & Zwier, E. (2011). Intersectionality and student outcomes: Sharpening the struggle against racism, sexism, classism, ableism, heterosexism, nationalism, and linguistic, religious, and geographical discrimination in teaching and learning. *Multicultural Perspectives*, 13(4), 181–188.

Grant-Thomas, A. , & Orfield, G. (Eds.). (2008). *Twenty-first century colorlines: Multiracial change in contemporary America*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Gualtieri, S. (2009). *Between Arab and white: Race and ethnicity in the early Syrian American diaspora*. Oakland, CA: University of California Press.

Guinier, L. , & Torres, G. (2002). *The miner's canary: Enlisting race, resisting power, transforming democracy*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Hagopian, E. (Ed.). (2004). *Civil rights in peril: The targeting of Arabs and Muslims*. Chicago: Haymarket Books.

Hallett, M. A. (2006). *Private prisons in America: A critical race perspective*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Hamako, E. (2009). *The multiracial movement: Conflicts & learning goals*. Comprehensive exam. University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Haney-López, I. (2006). *White by law: The legal construction of race*. New York: New York University Press.

Haney-López, I. F. (2014). *Dog whistle politics: How coded racial appeals have reinvented racism and wrecked the middle class*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Hardiman, R. , Jackson, B. , & Griffin, P. (2007). Conceptual foundations for social justice education. In M. Adams , L.A. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed.) (pp. 3566). New York, NY: Routledge.

Hardiman, R. , Jackson, B. , & Griffin, P. (2013). Conceptual foundations. In M. Adams , W. Blumenfeld , R. Castaneda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 26–35). New York: Routledge.

Harris, C. I. (1993). Whiteness as property. *Harvard Law Review*, 106(8), 1707–1791.

Harris, Y. R. (2014). *Juvenile detention in the US*. Oxford Bibliographies (Childhood Studies, subject). doi: 10.1093/obo/9780199791231–0153

Hill, A. (2012). *Reimagining equality: Stories of gender, race and finding home*. Boston: Beacon.

Hochschild, J. L. (1995). *Facing up to the American dream: Race, class and the soul of the nation*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Holroyd, J. (2015). Implicit bias, awareness and imperfect cognitions. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 33, 511–523.

Horner-Johnson, W. , Fujiura, G. T. , & Tawara, D. G. (2014, Oct.). Health disparities research at the intersection of disability, race, and ethnicity. *Medical Care*, 52(10), Suppl. 3, pp. S1–2. Retrieved from www.lww-medicalcare.com

Hoxby, C. M. , & Murarka, S. (2009). *Charter schools in New York City: Who enrolls and how they affect their students' achievement* (Working Paper No. 14852). Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from http://www.nber.org/papers/w14852.pdf?new_window=1

Huber, L. P. , & Solórzano, D. G. (2015). Racial microaggressions as a tool for critical race research. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 18(3), 297–320.

Humes, K. , & Hogan, H. (2009). Measurement of race and ethnicity in a changing, multicultural America. *Race Social Problem*, 1, 111–131.

Ingraham, C. (2015, Feb. 11). Anti-Muslim hate crimes are still five times more common today than before 9/11. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from <http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonkblog/wp/2015/02/11/anti-muslim-hate-crimes-are-still-five-times-more-common-today-than-before-911/>

Jacobson, M. F. (1998). *Whiteness of a different color: European immigrants and the alchemy of race*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Jamal, A. , & Naber, N. C. (2008). *Race and Arab Americans before and after 9/11: From invisible citizens to visible subjects*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

Jones, S. R. , & Wijeyesinghe, C. L. (2011). The promises and challenges of teaching from an intersectional perspective: Core components and applied strategies. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 125, 11–20.

Joseph, V. , & Williams, T. O. (2008). "Good niggers": The struggle to find courage, strength, and confidence to fight internalized racism and internalized dominance. *Democracy and Education*, 17(2), 67–73.

Joshi, K. (2006). *New roots in America's sacred ground: Religion, race, and ethnicity in Indian America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Justice Policy Institute (2011, June). *Gaming the system: How the political strategies of private prison companies promote ineffective incarceration policies*. Retrieved from

http://www.justicepolicy.org/uploads/justicepolicy/documents/gaming_the_system.pdf

Kaiser Family Foundation (2008, Oct.). Eliminating racial/ethnic disparities in health care: What are the options? Retrieved from <http://kff.org/disparities-policy>

Katznelson, I. (2005). When affirmative action was white: An untold history of racial inequality in the twentieth century. New York: W. W. Norton.

Kayyali, R. (2013). US census classifications and Arab Americans: Contestations and definitions of identity markers. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 39(8), 1299–1318.

Keyes, S. , Millhiser, I. , Van Ostern, T. , & White, A. (2012). Voter suppression disenfranchises millions, race, poverty & the environment. *Public Property Popular Power: New Majority Rising*, 19(1), 11–12.

Keyssar, A. (2009). The right to vote: The contested history of democracy in the United States. New York: Basic Books.

Kim, C. J. (1999). The racial triangulation of Asian Americans. *Politics and Society*, 27(1), 105–138.

Kim, Y. M. (2011). Minorities in higher education (2011 supplement). Washington, DC: American Council on Education.

Knapp, L. G. , Kelly-Reid, J. E. , & Ginder, S. A. (2011). Postsecondary institutions and price of attendance in the United States: 2010–11; Degrees and other awards conferred: 2009–10, and 12-month enrollment: 2009–10 (NCES 2011–250). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics. Retrieved from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch>

Knight, W. (2013). Colorism. In C. Cortés (Ed.), *Multicultural America: A multimedia encyclopedia*. (pp. 547–550). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc.

Kohli, R. (2014). Unpacking internalized racism: Teachers of color striving for racially just classrooms. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 17(3), 367–387.

Kohli, R. , & Solórzano, D. G. (2012). “Teachers: Please learn our names!” Racial microaggressions and the K-12 classroom. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 15(4), 1–22.

Kruse, K. M. (2005). *White flight: Atlanta and the making of modern conservatism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Kucsera, J. , & Orfield, G. (2014). *New York State's extreme school segregation: Inequality, inaction and a damaged future*. Los Angeles: The Civil Rights Project/Proyecto Derechos Civiles, University of California at Los Angeles.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2009). *The dreamkeepers* (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Landsman, J. G. , & Lewis, C. W. (Eds.). (2011). *White teachers/diverse classrooms: Creating inclusive schools, building on students' diversity, and providing true educational equity*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.

Lawrence, K. , Sutton, S. , Kubisch, A. , Susi, G. , & Fulbright-Anderson, K. (2004). *Structural racism and community building*. Washington, DC: The Aspen Institute Roundtable on Community Change.

Leavitt, P. A. , Covarrubias, R. , Perez, Y. , & Fryberg, S. A. (2015). “Frozen in time”: The impact of Native American media representations on identity and self-understanding. *Journal of Social Issues*, 71(1), 39–53. doi: 10.1111/josi.12095

Lee, E. , & Yung, J. (2010). *Angel Island: Immigrant gateway to America*. Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press.

Lee, J. , & Bean, F. D. (2004). America's changing color lines: Immigration, race/ethnicity, and multiracial identification. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 30(1), 221–242.

Lee, S. M. (1993). Racial classifications in the U.S. census: 1890–1990. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 16(1), 76–94.

Leong, N. (2013). Racial capitalism. *Harvard Law Review*, 126(8), 2151–2226.

Levitt, P. , & Waters, M. C. (2002). *The changing face of home: The transnational lives of the second generation*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Li, P. (2014). Recent developments hitting the ceiling: An examination of barriers to success for Asian American women. *Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law & Justice*, 29(1), 140–167.

Lipsitz, G. (2006). *The possessive investment in whiteness: How white people profit from identity politics*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Lipsitz, G. (2011). *How racism takes place*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Losen, D. J. , Orfield, G. , & Jeffords, J. M. (Eds.). (2003). *Racial inequality in special education*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Educational Publishing Group.

Love, B. J. (2000). Developing a liberatory consciousness. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castenada , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (pp. 599–603). New York: Routledge.

Martin, R. , McCann, H. , Morales, M. E. , & Williams, S. M. (2013). White screen/white noise: Racism and the Internet. University of Kentucky Libraries, Library faculty and staff publications, Paper 244. Retrieved from http://uknowledge.uky.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1245&context=libraries_facpub

Martinez, E. (1993). Beyond black/white: The racisms of our time. *Social Justice*, 20(1–2), 22–34.

Martinez, E. (1996). Beyond black/white: The racisms of our time. In M. Rogers & G. Ritzer (Eds.), *Multicultural experiences, multicultural theories* (pp. 236–246). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Massey, D. S. (2007). *Categorically unequal: The American stratification system*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Massey, D. S. , & Denton, N. A. (1993). *American apartheid: Segregation and the making of the underclass*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

McIntosh, P. (2001). White privilege: Unpacking the invisible knapsack. In P. Rothenberg (Ed.), *Race, class and gender in the United States: An anthology* (pp. 188–1103). New York: St. Martin's Press.

Metzel, J. (2010). *The protest psychosis: How schizophrenia became a black disease*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.

Miller, J. , Donner, S. , & Fraser, E. (2004). Talking when talking is tough: Taking on conversations about race. *Smith College Studies in Social Work*, 74(2), 377–392.

Miller, J. , & Garran, A. (2008). *Racism in the United States: Implications for the helping professions*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Brooks/Cole.

Mishra, S. (2013). Race, religion, and political mobilization: South Asians in the post-9/11 United States. *Studies in Ethnicity and Nationalism*, 13(2), 115–137.

Montagu, A. (1997). *Man's most dangerous myth: The fallacy of race* (6th ed., abridged student ed.). Walnut Creek, CA: Sage Press.

Morris, M. W. (2012). *Race, gender and the school to prison pipeline: Expanding our discussion to include black girls*. New York: African American Policy Forum.

Mullainathan, S. (2015). Racial bias even when we have good intentions. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/04/upshot/the-measuring-sticks-of-racial-bias.html>

Muslim Public Affairs Council (MPAC) (2015). *Statistics: What you need to know*. Retrieved from <http://www.mpac.org/programs/hate-crime-prevention/statistics.php>

Ngai, M. M. (2004). *Impossible subjects: Illegal aliens and the making of modern America*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Oesterreich, H. A. , & Knight, M. G. (2010). Facilitating transitions to college for students with disabilities from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. In M. Adams , W. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 513–518). London: Routledge.

Ogletree, C. J. (2012). *The presumption of guilt*. New York: Palgrave-McMillan.

Oliver, M. L. , & Shapiro, T. M. (2006). *Black wealth/white wealth: A new perspective on racial inequality* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Omi, M. (1986). *Racial formation in the United States: From the 1960s to the 1990s*. New York: Routledge.

Orfalea, G. (2006). *The Arab Americans: A history*. Northampton, MA: Olive Branch Press.

Orfield, G. , & Frankenberg, E. (2013). *Educational delusions: Why choice can deepen inequality and how to make schools fair*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Orfield, G. , & Lee, C. (2005). *Why segregation matters: Poverty and educational inequality*. Cambridge, MA: Civil Rights Project, Harvard University.

Orfield, G. , Marin, P. , & Horn, C. L. (Eds.). (2005). *Higher education and the color line: College access, racial equity, and social change*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Pager, D. (2007). *Marked: Race, crime, and finding work in an era of mass incarceration*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Pager, D. , & Shepherd, H. (2008). The sociology of discrimination: Racial discrimination in employment, housing, credit, and consumer markets. *Annual Review Sociology*, 1(34), 181–209.

Pager, D. , Western, B. , & Bonikowski, B. (2009). Discrimination in a low-wage labor market: A field experiment. *American Sociological Review*, 74(5), 777–799.

Painter, N. I. (2010). *The history of white people*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Peek, L. A. (2011). *Behind the backlash: Muslim Americans after 9/11*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Perea, J. F. (1997). The black/white binary paradigm of race: The normal science of American racial thought. *California Law Review*, 85(5), 127–172.

Pew Research Center (2014). *Wealth inequality has widened along racial, ethnic lines since end of Great Recession*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/12/12/racial-wealth-gaps-great-recession/>

Pew Research Center (2015). *Demographic and economic data by race*. Retrieved from www.pewsocialtrends.org/2013/08/22/chapter-3-demographic-economic-data-by-race/

Preskill, S. , & Brookfield, S. (2009). Learning to analyze experience. In Preskill, S. , & Brookfield, S. (Eds.), *Learning as a way of leading: Lessons from the struggle for social justice* (pp. 105–125). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Prisco, G. (2011). *When the cure makes you ill: Seven core principles to change the course of youth justice*. New York: Correctional Association of New York.

Roediger, D. R. (1999). *The wages of Whiteness: Race and the making of the American working class* (rev. ed.) London: Verso.

Roediger, D. (2007). *The wages of whiteness: Racism and the making of the American working class* (2nd ed.). London: Verso.

Rothstein, R. (2014). *The making of Ferguson: Public policy and the root of its troubles*. Washington, DC: The Economic Policy Institute.

Rugh, J. S. , & Massey, D. S. (2010). Racial segregation and the American foreclosure crisis. *American sociological review*, 75(5), 629–651.

Rumbaut, R. G. (1996). Origins and destinies: Immigration, race, and ethnicity in contemporary America. In S. Pedraza & R. G. Rumbaut (Eds.), *Origins and destinies: Immigration, race, and ethnicity in America* (pp. 21–42). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Said, E. (1994). *Orientalism*. New York: Vintage.

Samuel, L. R. (2012). *The American dream: A cultural history*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

Sánchez, P. , & Machado-Casas, M. (2009). At the intersection of transnationalism, Latina/o immigrants, and education. *The High School Journal*, 92(4), 3–15.

Savage, C. (2012, July 12). Wells Fargo will settle mortgage bias charges. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2012/07/13/business/wells-fargo-to-settle-mortgage-discrimination-charges.html?_r=0

The Sentencing Project . (2012). Incarcerated women. Retrieved from http://www.sentencingproject.org/doc/publications/cc_incarcerated_women_factsheet_sep24sp.pdf

The Sentencing Project . (2014). The state of sentencing 2014: Developments in policy and practice. Retrieved from http://sentencingproject.org/doc/publications/sen_State_of_Sentencing_2014.pdf

Shapiro, T. (2004). *The hidden cost of being African-American*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Shapiro, T. , Meschede, T. , & Osoro, S. (2013, Feb.). The roots of the widening racial wealth gap: Explaining the black-white economic divide (Research and Policy Brief). Waltham, MA: Institute on Assets & Social Policy, Brandeis University. Retrieved Feb. 5, 2014, from <http://iasp.brandeis.edu/pdfs/Author/shapiro-thomas-m/racialwealthgapbrief.pdf>

Shichor, D. (1995). *Punishment for profit: Private prisons/public concerns*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Singh, J. (2013). A new American apartheid: Racialized, religious minorities in the post-9/11 era. *Sikh Formations* (ahead-of-print), pp. 1–30.

Smedley, A. (1993). *Race in North America: Origin and evolution of a worldview*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Smedley, A. , & Smedley, B. (2011). *Race in North America: The origin and evolution of a worldview* (4th ed.). New York: Westview Press.

Smith, A. (2006). Heteropatriarchy and the three pillars of white supremacy. In *Incite! Women of color against violence* (anthology, pp. 66–73). Cambridge, MA: South End Press.

Smith, A. (2010). Indigeneity, settler colonialism, white supremacy. *Global Dialogue*, 12(2), 1–13.

Smith, S. (2005). “Don’t put my name on it”: (Dis)trust and job-finding assistance among the black urban poor. *American Journal of Sociology*, 111(1), 1.

Smith, W. A. , Allen, W. R. , & Danley, L. L. (2007). “Assume the position ... you fit the description”: Psychological experiences and racial battle fatigue among African American male college students. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 51, 558–578.

Soehl, T. , & Waldinger, R. (2012). Inheriting the homeland? Intergenerational transmission of cross-border ties in migrant families. *American Journal of Sociology*, 118(3), 778–813.

Solórzano, D. , Ceja, M. , & Yosso, T. (2000). Critical race theory, racial microaggressions, and campus racial climate: The experiences of African American college students. *The Journal of Negro Education*, 69, 60–73.

Spring, J. (2003). *Deculturalization and the struggle for equality: A brief history of the education of dominated cultures in the U.S*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Steele, C. (2010). *Whistling Vivaldi: And other clues to how stereotypes affect us*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.

Steele, C. M. , & Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African Americans. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 797–811.

Steele, C. M. , & Aronson, J. (2004). Stereotype threat does not live by Steele and Aronson (1995) alone. *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 47–55.

Stevenson, B. (2014). *Just mercy: A story of justice and redemption*. New York: Spiegel & Grau.

Suárez-Orozco, C. , Pimentel, A. , & Martin, M. (2009). The significance of relationships: Academic engagement and achievement among newcomer immigrant youth. *The Teachers College Record*, 111(3), 712–749.

Subtirelu, N. C. (2015). “She does have an accent but ...”: Race and language ideology in students’ evaluations of mathematics instructors on RateMyProfessors.com. *Language in Society*, 44(1), 35–62.

Sue, D. W. (2010). *Racial microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

Sue, D. W. , Capodilupo, C. M. , Torino, G. C. , Bucceri, J. M. , Holder, A. M. B. , Nadal, K. L. , & Esquilin, M. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life: Implications for clinical practice. *American Psychologist*, 62, 271–286.

Sue, D. W. , Lin, A. I. , Torino, G. C. , Capodilupo, C. M. , & Rivera, D. P. (2009). Racial microaggressions and difficult dialogues on race in the classroom. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 15(2), 183–190.

Sullivan, L. , Meschede, T. , Dietrich, L. , & Shapiro, T. (2015). *The racial wealth gap: Why policy matters*. New York: Demos.

Takaki, R. (2008). *A different mirror: A history of multicultural America*, revised. Boston: Little, Brown & Co.

Tatum, B. D. (1992). Talking about race, learning about racism: The application of racial identity development theory in the classroom. *Harvard Educational Review*, 62(1), 1–25.

Tavernise, S. (2011, Sept. 13). Soaring poverty casts spotlight on “lost decade.” *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/09/14/us/14census.html>

Tefera, A. , Frankenberg, E. , Siegel-Hawley, G. , & Chirchigno, G. (2011). *Integrating suburban schools: How to benefit from growing diversity and avoid segregation*. Los Angeles, CA: Civil Rights Project/Proyecto Derecho Civiles.

Tejeda, C. , & Gutierrez, K. D. (2006). Fighting the backlash: Decolonizing Perspectives and pedagogies in neocolonial times. In P. Pedraza & M. Rivera (Eds.), *Latino Education: An agenda for community education research* (pp. 261–294). New York: Routledge.

Teranishi, R. (2002). The myth of the superminority: Misconceptions about Asian Americans. *The College Board Review*, 195, 16–21.

Tuan, M. (2001). *Forever foreigners or honorary whites? The Asian ethnic experience today*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Tukachinsky, R. (2015). Where we have been and where we can go from here: Looking to the future in research on media, race, and ethnicity. *Journal of Social Issues*, 71(1), 186–199.

United for a Fair Economy (2012). *State of the dream 2012: The emerging majority*. Retrieved from http://faireconomy.org/sites/default/files/State_of_the_Dream_2012.pdf

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2014). *Labor force characteristics by race and ethnicity, 2013*. Report 1050. Washington, DC. Retrieved from <http://www.bls.gov>

United States Department of Education (2012). *New data from U.S. Department of Education highlights educational inequities around teacher experience, discipline and high school rigor*. Retrieved from <http://www.ed.gov/news/press-releases/new-data-usdepartment-education-highlights-educational-inequities-around-teache>

United States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division (2015, Mar. 4). *Investigation of the Ferguson police department*. Retrieved from http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/press-releases/attachments/2015/03/04/ferguson_police_department_report.pdf

Valencia, D. (2010). *Dismantling contemporary deficit thinking: Educational thought and practice*. New York: Routledge.

Valenzuela, A. (1999). *Subtractive schooling*. New York: SUNY Press.

Vega, T. (2014, Dec. 10). Schools’ discipline for girls differs by race and hue. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/12/11/us/school-discipline-to-girls-differs-between-and-within-races.html>

Ver Ploeg, M. , & Farrigan, T. (2009, Sept. 3). *Access to affordable and nutritious food: Measuring and understanding food deserts and their consequences* (Report to Congress). Washington, DC: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Retrieved from <http://www.ers.usda.gov>
<http://www.ers.usda.gov/http://www.ers.usda.gov/>

Warren, J. W. , & Twine, F. W. (1997). White Americans, the new minority? Non-blacks and the ever-expanding boundaries of whiteness. *Journal of Black Studies*, 28(2), 200–218.

Washington, H. A. (2007). *Medical apartheid: The dark history of medical experimentation on black Americans from colonial times to the present*. New York: Doubleday Press.

Waters, M. C. (2009). *Black identities*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Watkins, N. L. (2012). *Disarming microaggressions: How black college students self-regulate racial stressors within predominately white institutions* (Dissertation). New York: Columbia University Libraries. Accessed via ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing, 2012. 3519081.

Werkmeister Rozas, L. W. , & Miller, J. (2009). Discourses for social justice education: The web of racism and the web of resistance. *Journal of Ethnic & Cultural Diversity in Social Work*, 18(1–2), 24–39.

Wijeyesinghe, C. L. (2012). The intersectional model of multiracial identity: Integrating multiracial identity theories and intersectional perspectives on social identity. In C. L. Wijeyesinghe & B. W. Jackson (Eds.), *New perspectives on racial identity development* (pp. 81–107). New York: New York University.

Wildman, S. M. (2006). The persistence of white privilege. *Journal of Law and Policy*, 18(6), 245–265.

Williams, T. O. (2011, Jan.). *A process of becoming: U.S. born African American and black women in a process of liberation from internalized racism* (Doctoral dissertation). Available from Proquest, Paper AAI3465244.

Winant, H. (2004). *The new politics of race: Globalization, difference and justice*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Xu, J. , & Lee, J. C. (2013). The marginalized “model” minority: An empirical examination of the triangulation of Asian Americans. *Social Forces*, 91(4), 1363–1397.

Zinn, H. (2003). *A people’s history of the United States: 1492–present*. New York: Harper-Collins.

Sexism, Heterosexism, and Trans* Oppression

- Abbott, S. , & Love, B. (1973). *Sappho was a right-on woman: A liberated view of lesbianism*. New York: Stein & Day.
- Altman, D. (1973). *Homosexual: Oppression and liberation*. New York: Avon.
- American Association of University Women (AAUW) . (2014). *The simple truth about the gender pay gap*. Washington, DC: AAUW. Retrieved from <http://www.aauw.org/research/the-simple-truth-about-the-gender-pay-gap/>
- Aultman, B. (2014). Cisgender. *TSQ: Transgender Studies Quarterly*, 1(1–2), 61–62.
- Berger, P. L. , & Luckmann, T. (1966). *The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge*. Garden City, NY: Anchor.
- Bilodeau, B. (2009). *Genderism: Transgender students, binary systems, and higher education*. Saarbrücken, Germany: VDM Verlag.
- Blackwood, E. (1997). Native American genders and sexualities: Beyond anthropological models and misrepresentations. In S. E. Jacobs , W. Thomas and S. Lang (Eds.), *Two-spirit people: Native American gender identity, sexuality and spirituality* (pp. 284–294). Urbana-Champaign: University of Illinois Press.
- Bornstein, K. (1994). *Gender outlaw: On men, women, and the rest of us*. New York: First Vintage Books.
- Browne, K. (2004). Genderism and the bathroom problem: (Re) materializing sexed sites, (re) creating sexed bodies. *Gender, Place and Culture*, 11(3), 331–346.
- Chase, C. (2012). Hermaphrodites with attitude: Mapping the emergence of intersex political activism. In S. Stryker & S. Whittle (Eds.), *The transgender studies reader* (pp. 300–314). New York: Routledge.
- Chu, A. (2014, May 27). Your princess is in another castle: Misogyny, entitlement, and nerds. *The Daily Beast*. Retrieved from <http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2014/05/27/your-princess-is-in-another-castle-misogyny-entitlement-and-nerds.html>
- Clack, B. (1999). Misogyny in the western philosophical tradition: A reader (pp. 95–124). New York: Routledge.
- DeCecco, J. , & Parker, D. (1995). The biology of homosexuality: Sexual orientation or sexual preference? *Journal of Homosexuality*, 28(1-2), 1–28.
- D'Emilio, J. (1983). *Sexual politics, sexual communities: The making of a homosexual rights minority in the United States, 1940–1970*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- D'Emilio, J. , & Freedman, E. (1997). *Intimate matters: A history of sexuality in America*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Deprez, E. (2015). The vanishing U.S. abortion clinic. *Bloomberg Quick Take*. Retrieved from <http://www.bloombergview.com/quicktake/abortion-and-the-decline-of-clinics>
- Ekins, R. , & King, D. (2006). *The transgender phenomenon*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Enke, A. F. (2012). The education of little cis: Cisgender and the discipline of opposing bodies. In A. F. Enke (Ed.), *Transfeminist perspectives in and beyond transgender and gender studies* (pp. 60–77). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Faderman, L. (1991). *Odd girls and twilight lovers: A history of lesbian life in twentieth century America*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Fausto-Sterling, A. (2000). *Sexing the body: Gender politics and the construction of sexuality*. New York: Basic.
- Feinberg, L. (1996). *Transgender warriors: Making history from Joan of Arc to Dennis Rodman*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Feinberg, L. (1998). *Trans liberation: Beyond pink and blue*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Frank, N. (2015). Can gays turn straight? What the evidence says about “ex-gay” therapy. *Slate*. Retrieved from http://www.slate.com/blogs/outward/2015/04/20/can_gays_and_bis_turn_straight_what_the_evidence_says_about_ex_gay_therapy.html
- Gilmore, D. (2007). *Misogyny: The male malady*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Grant, J. M. , Mottet, L. A. , Tanis, J. , Harrison, J. , Herman, J. L. , & Keisling, M. (2011). *Injustice at every turn: A report of the National Transgender Discrimination Survey*. Washington, DC: National Center for Transgender Equality and National Gay and Lesbian Task Force.
- Green, J. (2004). *Becoming a visible man*. Nashville: Vanderbilt University Press.
- Harrison, J. , Grant, J. , & Herman, J. L. (2012). A gender not listed here: Genderqueers, gender rebels, and otherwise in the National Transgender Discrimination Survey. *LGBTQ Public Policy Journal at the Harvard Kennedy School*, 2(1).
- Hill, C. , & Kears, H. (2011). *Crossing the line: Sexual harassment at school*. Washington, DC: American Association of University Women.
- Hill, D. B. , & Willoughby, B.L.B. (2005). The development and validation of the genderism and transphobia scale. *Sex Roles*, 53(7/8), 531–544.
- Hird, M. J. (2000). Gender's nature: Intersexuality, transsexualism, and the “sex”/“gender” binary. *Feminist Theory*, 1(3), 347–364.
- Holland, J. (2006). *Misogyny: The world's oldest prejudice*. New York: Avalon Publishing Group.
- Hooks, B. (2000). *Feminist theory: From margin to center*. Boston: South End Press.

Hutchins, L. , & Kaahumanu, L. (Eds.). (1991). *Bi any other name: Bisexual people speak out*. Boston: Alyson.

Jagose, A. (1996). *Queer theory: An introduction*. New York: New York University Press.

Jaschik, S. (2014, May 27). *Deadly rampage*. Inside Higher Ed. Retrieved from <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2014/05/27/uc-santa-barbara-students-killed-shooting-rampage>

Jay, K. , & Young, A. (Eds.). (1992). *Out of the closets: Voices of gay liberation*. London: Gay Men's Press.

Jeffries, S. , & Gottschalk, L. (2014). *Gender hurts: A feminist analysis of the politics of transgenderism*. New York: Routledge.

Johnson, A. (1997). *The gender knot: Unraveling our patriarchal legacy*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Johnson, A. (2006). *Privilege, power and difference*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Johnson, J. R. (2013). Cisgender privilege, intersectionality, and the criminalization of CeCe McDonald: Why intercultural communication needs transgender studies. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication*, 6(2), 135–144.

Katz, J. (1995). *The invention of heterosexuality*. New York: Dutton.

Kessler, S. (1998). *Lessons from the intersexed*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Kessler, S. J. , & McKenna, W. (1978). *Gender: An ethnomethodological approach*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

Killermann, S. (2012). What does the asterisk in “trans*” stand for? [Weblog post]. *It's Pronounced Metrosexual*. Retrieved from <http://itspronouncedmetrosexual.com/2012/05/what-does-the-asterisk-in-trans-stand-for/>

Klein, M. (2011, May 20). To reclaim slut or not to reclaim slut: Is that the question? [Blog] *Ms. Magazine*. Retrieved from <http://msmagazine.com/blog/2011/05/20/to-reclaim-slut-or-not-to-reclaim-slut-is-that-the-question/>

Kosciw, J. G. , Greytak, E. A. , Bartkiewicz, M. J. , Boesen, M. J. , & Palmer, N. A. (2012). *The 2011 National School Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth in our nation's schools*. New York: GLSEN. Retrieved from <http://glsen.org/sites/default/files/2011%20National%20School%20Climate%20Survey%20Full%20Report.pdf>

Lewontin, R. , Rose, S. , & Kamin, L. (1984). *Not in our genes: Biology, ideology and human nature*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Liptak, A. (2014, Jun. 14). Supreme Court rejects contraceptives mandate for some corporations. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/01/us/hobby-lobby-case-supreme-court-contraception.html?_r=0

Lorber, J. (1996). Beyond the binaries: Depolarizing the categories of sex, sexuality, and gender. *Sociological Inquiry*, 66(2), 143–159.

Lorde, A. (1984). *Sister outsider*. Trumansburg, NY: Crossing Press.

Marine, S. B. (2011a). Our college is changing: Women's college student affairs administrators and transgender students. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 58(9), 1165–1186.

Marine, S. B. (2011b). Stonewall's legacy: Bisexual, gay, lesbian, and transgender students in higher education. In K. Ward & L. E. Wolf-Wendel (Eds.), *ASHE Higher Education Report* (Vol. 37, No. 4). San Francisco: Wiley.

Messerschmidt, J. W. (2009). Goodbye to the sex-gender distinction, hello to embodied gender. In A. L. Ferber , K. Holcomb , & T. Wentling (Eds.), *Sex, gender and sexuality: The new basics, an anthology* (pp. 71–88). New York: Oxford University Press.

Meyer, W., III , Bockting, W. O. , Cohen-Kettenis, P. , Coleman, E. , DiCeglie, D. , Devor, H. , Gooren, L. , Hage, J. J. , Kirk, S. , Kuiper, B. , Laub, D. , Lawrence, A. , Menard, Y. , Monstrey, S. , Patton, J. , Schaefer, L. , Webb, A. , & Wheeler, C. C. (2001). *The Harry Benjamin International Gender Dysphoria Association's Standards of Care for Gender Identity Disorders* (6th ver.). Retrieved from <http://www.wpath.org/documents2/socv6.pdf> <http://www.wpath.org/documents2/socv6.pdf>

Mogul, J. L. , Ritchie, A. J. , & Whitlock, K. (2011). *Queer (in)justice: The criminalization of LGBT people in the United States*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Moraga, C. , & Anzaldúa, G. (Eds.). (1983). *This bridge called my back: Writings by radical women of color*. New York: Kitchen Table/Women of Color Press.

Morgan, R. (Ed.). (1970). *Sisterhood is powerful*. New York: Random House.

Mustanski, B. , Chivers, M. , & Bailey, M. (2002). A critical review of recent biological research on human sexual orientation. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 13(1), 89–100.

Nanda, S. (2014). *Gender diversity: Crosscultural variations* (2nd ed.). Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press.

National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs (NCAVP) (2013). *National report on hate violence against lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer and HIV-affected communities*. Retrieved from <http://www.avp.org/resources/avp-resources/315>

Nestle, J. , Howell, C. , & Wilchins, R. (Eds.). (2002). *Genderqueer: Voices from beyond the binary*. New York: Routledge.

Nicholson, L. (1995). Interpreting gender. In L. Nicholson & S. Seidman (Eds.), *Social postmodernism: Beyond identity politics* (pp. 39–67). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Nicolazzo, Z. (2014). Celluloid marginalization: Pedagogical strategies for increasing students' critical thought through the multiple (re) readings of trans* subjectivities in film. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 11(1), 20–39.

Pharr, S. (1997). *Homophobia: A weapon of sexism*. Inverness, CA: Chardon Press.

Preves, S. E. (2003). *Intersex and identity: The contested self*. Piscataway, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Risberg, G. , Johansson, E. , & Hamberg, K. (2009). A theoretical model for analyzing gender bias in medicine. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 8(28). Retrieved from <http://www.equityhealthj.com/content/pdf/1475-9276-8-28.pdf>

Roughgarden, J. (2009). *Evolution's rainbow: Diversity, gender, and sexuality*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Serano, J. (2009). *Whipping girl: A transsexual woman on sexism and the scapegoating of femininity*. Berkeley, CA: Seal Press.

Shilts, R. (1987). *And the band played on: Politic, people and the AIDS epidemic*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Smith, B. (2000). *The truth that never hurts: Writings on race, gender and freedom*. New York: Routledge.

Spade, D. (2011). Some very basic tips for making higher education more accessible to trans students and rethinking how we talk about gendered bodies. *The Radical Teacher*, 92 (Winter), 57–62.

Spellman, E. (1988). *Inessential woman: Problems of exclusion in feminist thought*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Stryker, S. (2008). *Transgender history*. Berkeley, CA: Seal Press.

Tolleson-Rinehart, S. , & Josephson, J. (Eds.). (2005). *Gender and American politics: Women, men and the political process*. New York: M. E. Sharpe.

Tompkins, A. (2014). Asterisk. *TSQ: Transgender Studies Quarterly*, 1(1–2), 26–27.

Troop, D. (2011, Oct. 23). Women's university to reconsider hard line on transgender students. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from chronicle.com/article/Womens-University-to/129490

U. S. Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights . (2011, Apr. 4). Dear colleague letter: Sexual violence. Retrieved from <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.pdf>

Warner, J. (2014, Mar. 7). Fact Sheet: The women's leadership gap, women's leadership by the numbers. Center for American Progress. Retrieved from <http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/women/report/2014/03/07/85457/fact-sheet-the-womens-leadership-gap/>

Weill, C. (Ed.). (2009). *What science reveals about the biological origins of sexual orientation*. New York: Routledge.

World Health Organization . (2013). *Global and regional estimates of violence against women*. Retrieved from <http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/violence/9789241564625/en/ld>

Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Classism

Alexander, M. (2010). *The new Jim Crow: Mass incarceration in the age of colorblindness*. New York: New Press.

Allan, J. , Ozga, J. , & Smith, G. (Eds.). (2009). *Social capital, professionalism and diversity*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

Apple, M. W. (Ed.). (2010). *Global crises, social justice, and education*. New York: Routledge.

Bartlett, D. L. , & Steele, J. B. (1994). *America: Who really pays the taxes?* New York: Simon & Schuster.

Biddle, B. , & Berliner, D. C. (2002, May). A research synthesis: Unequal school funding in the United States. *Beyond Instructional Leadership*, 59(8), 48–59.

Bishaw, A. (2013, Sept.). Poverty 2000–2012: American community survey briefs. (ACSB12–01). Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/acsbr12-01.pdf>

Bourdieu, P. (1984). *Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste*. London: Routledge.

Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). New York: Greenwood Press.

Boushey, H. (2014, Oct. 15). Understanding economic inequality and growth at the top of the income ladder. The Washington Center for Equitable Growth. Retrieved from <http://equitablegrowth.org/research/economic-inequality-growth-top-income-ladder/>

Brantlinger, E.A. (1993). *The politics of social class in secondary school: Views of affluent and impoverished youth*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Brantlinger, E. A. (2003). *Dividing classes: How the middle class negotiates and rationalizes school advantage*. New York: Routledge Falmer.

Brodin, K. (1998). *How Jews became white folks & what that says about race in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) . (2014 Jan. 24). Union membership summary. Retrieved January 11, 2015, from <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/union2.pdf>

Burnham, L. , & Theodore, N. (2012). *Home economics: The invisible and unregulated world of domestic work*. New York: National Domestic Workers Alliance.

Callahan, D. , & Cha, M. J. (2013). *Stacked deck: How the dominance of politics by the affluent & business undermines economic mobility in America*. Demos. Retrieved from <http://www.demos.org/stacked-deck-how-dominance-politics-affluent-business-undermines-economic-mobility-america>

Collins, C. (2012). *99 to 1: How wealth inequality is wrecking the world and what we can do about it*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Collins, C. (2014, Dec. 15). *Echoing in the streets: A growing racial wealth divide*. Huffington Post. Retrieved January 17, 2015, from http://www.huffingtonpost.com/chuck-collins/echoing-in-the-streets-a-_b_6319740.html

Collins, C. , & Yeskel, F. (2005). *Economic apartheid in America* (2nd ed.). New York: The New Press.

Cookson, P. W. (2013). *Class rules: Exposing inequality in American high schools*. New York: Teacher's College Press.

Daniels, R. (2002). *Coming to America: A history of immigration and ethnicity in American life*. New York: Perennial.

Domhoff, G. W. (1983). *Who rules America now? A view for the '80s*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Emelech, Y. (2008). *Transmitting inequality: Wealth and the American family*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Espenshade, T. , & Radford, A. W. (2009). *No longer separate, not yet equal: Race and class in elite college admission and campus life*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA, 1938). Chapter 676, 52 Stat. 1060 (29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), passed June 25, 1938. Short title, see 29 U.S.C. 201. Ithaca, NY: Legal Information Institute, Cornell University. Retrieved from http://www.law.cornell.edu/topn/fair_labor_standards_act_of_1938

Fair Labor Standards Act Amendments of 1966 (FLSA, 1966). Pub. L. 89–601, 80 Stat. 830, passed Sept. 23, 1966. Short title, see 29 U.S.C. 201 note. Ithaca, NY: Legal Information Institute, Cornell University. Retrieved from http://www.law.cornell.edu/topn/fair_labor_standards_amendments_of_1966

Federal Reserve (2015, April). *Consumer credit—G.19* (Federal Reserve statistical release). Retrieved from <http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/g19/current/default.htm>

Fiske, S. T. , & Markus, H. R. (Eds.). (2012). *Facing social class. How societal rank influences interaction*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Folbre, N. (2001). *The invisible heart: Economics and family values*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Foner, E. (1998). *The story of American freedom*. New York: Norton & Co.

Fry, R. (2014, Oct. 7). *The changing profile of student borrowers: Cumulative student debt among recent college graduates*. Pew Research Center. Retrieved January 18, 2015, from <http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2014/10/07/cumulative-student-debt-among-recent-college-graduates/>

Fry, R. , & Kochhar, R. (2014, Dec. 12). *Wealth inequality has widened along racial, ethnic lines since end of Great Recession*. Pew Research Center. Retrieved January 17, 2015, from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/12/12/racial-wealth-gaps-great-recession/>

Garcia, J. , Lardner, J. , & Zeldin, C. (2008). *Up to our eyeballs: How shady lenders and failed economic policies are drowning Americans in debt*. New York: New Press.

Gordon, C. (2013). *Growing apart: A political history of American inequality*. Institute for Policy Studies. Retrieved from <http://scalar.usc.edu/works/growing-apart-a-political-history-of-american-inequality/index>

Gorski, P. C. (2005). *Savage unrealities: Uncovering classism in Ruby Payne's framework* (EdChange Working Paper). Retrieved from http://www.edchange.org/publications/Savage_Unrealities.pdf

Guglielmo, J. , & Salerno, S. (2003). *Are Italians white? How race is made in America*. New York: Routledge.

Hacker, J. , & Pierson, P. (2010). *Winner-take-all politics: How Washington made the rich richer—and turned its back on the middle class*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Heitzeg, N. (2009). *Education or incarceration: Zero tolerance policies and the school to prison pipeline*. Forum on Public Policy Online: A Journal of the Oxford Round Table, 5(2), 1–21.

Henwood, D. (2003). *After the new economy*. New York: New Press.

Hopkins, L. (2014). *Beyond the pearly gates: White, low-income student experiences at elite colleges* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from Doctoral Dissertations 2014-current (Paper 96), http://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations_2/96

Hunter, D. (n.d.). *Break the rules: How ground rules can hurt us*. Philadelphia: Training for Change. Retrieved from <http://www.trainingforchange.org/publications/break-rules-how-ground-rules-can-hurt-us>

Ignatiev, N. (1995). *How the Irish became white*. New York: Routledge.

Jacobson, M. F. (1998). *Whiteness of a different color: European immigrants and the alchemy of race*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Jensen, B. (2012). *Reading classes: On culture and classism in America*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Kamenetz, A. (2006). *Generation debt: Why now is a terrible time to be young*. New York: Riverhead Books/Penguin.

Kandel, W. (2008). *Profile of hired farmworkers: A 2008 update* (Economic Research Report Number 60). Washington, DC: Economic Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Retrieved from http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace/559

Kincheloe, J. L. , & Steinberg, S. R. (Eds.). (2007). *Cutting class: Socioeconomic status and education*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Kochhar, R. , Fry, R. , & Taylor, P. (2011, July 26). Wealth gaps rise to record highs between whites, blacks, Hispanics. Pew Research Center. Retrieved January 17, 2015, from <http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2011/07/26/wealth-gaps-rise-to-record-highs-between-whites-blacks-hispanics/>

Korten, D. C. (2010). *Agenda for a new economy from phantom wealth to real wealth*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Kozol, J. (1991). *Savage inequalities: Children in America's schools*. New York: Crown.

Lahey, G. (2010). *Facilitating group learning: Strategies for success with diverse adult learners*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Lamont, A. (2000). *The dignity of working men: Morality and the boundaries of race, class, and immigration*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Lardner, J. , & Smith, D. A. (Eds.). (2005). *Inequality matters: The growing economic divide in America and its poisonous consequences*. New York: New Press.

Lareau, A. (1987). Social class differences in family-school relationships: The importance of cultural capital. *Sociology of Education*, 60, 73–85.

Lareau, A. (2003). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Lareau, A. (2011). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race and family life* (2nd ed.). Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Lareau, A. , & Calarco, J. M. (2012). Class, cultural capital, and institutions: The case of families and schools. In S. T. Fiske & H. R. Markus (Eds.), *Facing social class: How societal rank influences interaction* (pp. 61–86). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Lareau, A. , & Conley, D. (2008). *Social class: How does it work?* New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Lavelle, R. (1995). *America's new war on poverty: A reader for action*. San Francisco: Blackside KQED Books & Tapes.

Leondar-Wright, B. (2005). *Class matters: Cross-class alliance building for middle class activists*. Gabriola Island, BC, Canada: New Society Publishers.

Leondar-Wright, B. (2014). *Missing class: Strengthening social movement groups by seeing class cultures*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Leondar-Wright, B. , & Yeskel, F. (2007). Classism curriculum design. In M. Adams , L. A. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 309–333). New York: Routledge.

Lipsitz, G. (1998). *The possessive investment in whiteness: How white people profit from identity politics*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Long, G. (2013). Differences between union and non-union compensation, 2001–2011. *Monthly Labor Review*, April 16–23. Washington, DC: Bureau of Labor Statistics. Retrieved from <http://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2013/04/art2full.pdf>

Lui, M. , Robles, B. , Leondar-Wright, B. , Brewer, R. , & Adamson, R. (2006). *The color of wealth: The story behind the U.S. racial wealth divide*. New York: New Press.

Macartney, S. , Bishaw, A. , & Fontenot, K. (2013, Feb.). Poverty rates for selected detailed race and Hispanic groups by state and place: 2007–2011. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/acsbr11-17.pdf>

Mantsios, G. (Ed.). (1998). *A new labor movement for the new century*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Martin, A. , & Lehen, A. (2012, May 12). A generation hobbled by the soaring cost of college. *The New York Times*.

Matos-Daigle, J. (2011, Jan. 1). *Fulfilling their dreams: Latina/o college student narratives on the impact of parental involvement on their academic engagement* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from Proquest (Paper AAI3465047).

Mayer, G. (2004). *Union membership trends in the United States*. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service. Retrieved from http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace/174http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace/174

McDonough, P. M. (1997). *Choosing colleges: How social class and schools structure opportunity*. New York: State University of New York Press.

McNamee, S. J. , & R. K. Miller, Jr . (2004). *The meritocracy myth*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield.

Mishel, L. , Bernstein, J. , & Allegretto, S. (2007). *The state of working America: 2006/2007*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Mohr, J. , & DiMaggio, P. (1995). The intergenerational transmission of cultural capital. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 14, 169–200.

Moynihan, D. P. (1965). *The Negro family: The case for national action*. Washington, DC: Office of Policy Planning and Research, U.S. Department of Labor. Retrieved from <http://www.domesticworkers.org/>

National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) . 29 U.S.C. §§ 151–169. Passed July 5, 1935.

National Organization on Disability (2000). *Harris survey of Americans with disabilities*. Retrieved from www.nod.org/assets/downloads/2000-key-findings

Ng, J. C. , & Rury, J. L. (2006). *Poverty and education: A critical analysis of the Ruby Payne phenomenon*. Teachers College Record. Retrieved from www.tcrecord.org

Nicholson, P. Y. (2004). *Labor's story in the United States*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Ornstein, A. (2007). *Class counts: Education, inequality, and the shrinking middle class*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Patterson, J. T. (2010). *Freedom is not enough: The Moynihan Report and America's struggle over black family life from LBJ to Obama*. New York: Basic Books.

Payne, R. K. (1995). *A framework for understanding poverty*. Baytown, TX: RFT.

Phillips, K. (1990). *The politics of rich and poor: Wealth and the American electorate in the Reagan aftermath*. New York: HarperCollins.

Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the twenty-first century*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Piketty, T. , & Saez, E. (2003). Income inequality in the United States, 1913–1998. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(1), 1–39. Data updated to 2013 in January, 2015; available at <http://eml.berkeley.edu/~saez/>

Piketty, T. , & Saez, E. (2012, Nov.). Top incomes and the Great Recession: Recent evolutions and policy implications. Paper presented at the 13th Jacques Polak Annual Research Conference hosted by the International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC, Nov. 8–9, 2012. Retrieved from <http://www.imf.org/external/np/res/seminars/2012/arc/pdf/PS.pdf>

Quintana, N. S. , Rosenthal, J. , & Krehely, J. (2010). *On the streets: The federal response to gay and transgender homeless youth*. Center for American Progress. Retrieved from <http://cdn.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/issues/2010/06/pdf/lgbtyouthhomelessness.pdf>

Reich, R. B. (2007). *Supercapitalism: The transformation of business, democracy, and everyday life*. New York: Random House.

Roediger, D. R. (1991). *The wages of whiteness (revised ed.)*. London: Verso.

Schmidt, P. (2007). *Color and money: How rich white kids are winning the war over college affirmative action*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Sears, B. , & Badget, L. (2012, June). Beyond stereotypes: Poverty in the LGBT community. *TIDES/ Momentum Issues* 4. Retrieved from <http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/headlines/beyond-stereotypes-poverty-in-the-lgbt-community/>

Shierholz, H. , & Mishel, L. (2013, Aug. 21). A decade of flat wages: The key barrier to shared prosperity and a rising middle class. Economic Policy Institute. Retrieved January 17, 2015, from www.epi.org/publication/a-decade-of-flat-wages-the-key-barrier-to-shared-prosperity-and-a-rising-middle-class/

Shiller, R. J. (2008). *The subprime solution: How today's global financial crisis happened, and what to do about it*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Shlasko, D. (2014). Class inequality and transgender communities [Blog]. *Classism Exposed*, the blog of Class Action. Retrieved from <http://www.classism.org/class-inequality-transgender-communities>

Shlasko, D. , & Kramer, T. (2011). *Class culture and classism in campus and community organizing*. Presented at the Pedagogies of Privilege Conference, University of Denver.

Smith, L. (2010). *Psychology, poverty, and the end of social exclusion: Putting our practice to work*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Smith, L. , & Redington, R. (2010). Class dismissed: Making the case for the study of classist microaggressions. In D. W. Sue (Ed.), *Microaggressions and marginality: Manifestations, dynamics, and impact*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Soederberg, S. (2012). The U.S. debtfare state and the credit card industry: Forging spaces of dispossession. *Antipode*, 45, 493–512. doi: 10.1111/j.1467–8330.2012.01004.x

Steinberg, S. (1989). *The ethnic myth: race, ethnicity, and class in America*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Stevens, M. (2007). *Creating a class: College admissions and the education of elites*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2013). *The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future*. New York: Norton.

St. John, E. P. (2003). *Refinancing the college dream: Access, equal opportunity & justice for taxpayers*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.

Streib, J. (2013). Class origin and college graduates' parenting beliefs. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 54, 670–693.

Sullivan, L. , Meschede, T. , Dietrich, L. , Shapiro, T. , Traub, A. , Reutschlin, C. , & Draut, T. (2015, Mar. 11). The racial wealth gap: Why policy matters. *Demos*. Retrieved from http://www.demos.org/sites/default/files/publications/RacialWealthGap_1.pdf

Swartz, D. (1997). *Culture and power: The sociology of Pierre Bourdieu*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Taibbi, M. (2014). *The divide: American injustice in the age of the wealth gap*. New York: Spiegel & Gray.

Takaki, R. (1993). *A different mirror: A history of multicultural America*. Boston: Little Brown.

U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Office of Immigration Statistics (2014). *Yearbook of Immigration Statistics: 2013*. Washington, DC. Retrieved from http://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/ois_yb_2013_0.pdf

van Gelder, S. (Ed.). (2011). *This changes everything: Occupy Wall Street and the 99% movement*. San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Williams, B. (2001). What's debt got to do with it? In J. Goode & J. Maskovsky (Eds.), *The new poverty studies: The ethnography of power, politics, and impoverished people in the United States* (pp. 79–101). New York: New York University Press.

Williams, J. C. (2012). The class culture gap. In S. T. Fiske & H.R. Markus (Eds.), *Facing social class: How societal rank influences interaction* (pp. 39–58). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Wilson, W. J. (2009, Jan.). The Moynihan Report and research on the black community. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 621, 23–36.

Wolff, E. (2009). Finding the causes of educational debt. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 235, 3.

Yosso, T. (1996). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. In A. Dixon & C. Rousseau (Eds.), *Critical race theory in education: All God's children gotta song*. New York: Routledge.

Young, I. M. (2011). *Responsibility for justice*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Zinn, H. (2003). *A people's history of the United States: 1492–present*. New York: Harper-Collins.

Religious Oppression

Abington v. Schempp (1963). *School District of Abington Township, Pennsylvania, v. Schempp*. 374 U.S. 203, decided June 17, 1963.

Adams, G. A. (2008). *The specter of Salem: Remembering the witch trials in nineteenth-century America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Adams, M. (2012). Separation of church and state. In J. A. Banks (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of diversity in education*. New York: Sage.

Ahlstrom, S. E. (2004). *A religious history of the American people*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Alsultany, E. (2008). The prime time plight of the Arab Muslim American after 9/11. In A. Jamal & N. Naber (Eds.), *Race and Arab Americans before and after 9/11: From invisible citizens to visible subjects* (pp. 204–228). Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

Alvarez, L. , & Don, V. N., Jr . (2011, Apr. 1). Pastor who burned Koran demands retribution. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/04/02/us/politics/02burn.html>

Anderson, R. D. (2007). *Religion and teaching: Reflective teaching and the social conditions of schooling; A series for prospective and practicing teachers*. New York: Routledge.

Andrews, S. (2013). *Deconverted: A journey from religion to reason*. Denver: Outskirts Press.

Armstrong, K. (2014). *Fields of blood: Religion and the history of violence*. New York: Knopf.

Bagby, I. (2011). The American mosque: Basic characteristics of the American mosque attitudes of mosque leaders. Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR). Retrieved from <http://www.cair.com/images/pdf/The-American-Mosque-2011-part-1.pdf>

Bald, V. (2013). *Bengali Harlem and the lost histories of South Asian America*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Ballantine, B. , & Ballantine, I. (Eds.). (2001). *The Native Americans: An illustrated history*. East Bridgewater, MA: JG Press.

Beaman, L. G. (2003). The myth of pluralism, diversity, and vigor: The Constitutional privilege of Protestantism in the United States and Canada. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 42(3), 311–325.

Bellah, R. N. (1967). Civil religion in America. *Daedalus, Journal of the American Academy of Arts & Sciences*, 96(1), 1–21.

Berlak, C. (2014). *Constructing campus conflict: Antisemitism and Islamophobia on U.S. college campuses, 2007–2011*. Somerville, MA: Political Research Associates.

Blumenfeld, W. J. (2006). Christian privilege and the promotion of “secular” and not-so “secular” mainline Christianity in public schooling and in the larger society. *Equity & Excellence*, 39(3), 195–210.

Boyer, P. S. & Nissenbaum, S. (Eds.). (1972). *Salem-Village witchcraft: A documentary record of local conflict in Colonial New England*. Boston: Northeastern University Press.

Bravo López, F. (2011). Towards a definition of Islamophobia: Approximations of the early twentieth century. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 34(4), 556–573.

Brodin, K. (1998). *How Jews became white folks and what that says about race in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Bronner, S. E. (2000). *A rumor about the Jews: Reflections on antisemitism and “the protocols of the learned elders of Zion.”* New York: St. Martin's Press.

Butler, J. , Wacker, G. , & Balmer, R. (2003). *Religion in American life: A short history*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Carnes, T. , & Yang, F. (2004). *Asian American religions: The making and remaking of borders and boundaries*. New York: New York University Press.

Chavers, D. (2009). *Racism in Indian country*. Bern, Switzerland: Peter Lang.

Chen, C. , & Jeung, R. M. (Eds.). (2012). *Sustaining faith traditions: Race, ethnicity, and religion among the Latin and Asian American second generation*. New York: New York University Press.

Christina, G. (2012). *Why are you Atheists so angry? 99 things that piss off the godless*. Charlottesville, VA: Pitchstone.

Cohn-Sherbok, D. (2002). *Anti-Semitism: A history*. Gloucestershire, UK: Sutton.

Cole, D. (2015, May 7). *The angry new frontier: Gay rights vs. religious liberty*. *The New York Review of Books*. Retrieved from <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/2015/may/07/angry-new-frontier-gay-rights-vs-religious-liberty/>

County of Allegeny v. American Civil Liberties Union (1989). 492 U.S. 573, decided July 3, 1989.

Daniels, R. (2002). *Coming to America: A history of immigration and ethnicity in American life* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper Perennial.

Deloria, V. (1969, 1999). *Missionaries and the religious vacuum*. In J. Treat (Ed.), *For this land: Writings on religion in America* (pp. 22–30). New York: Routledge.

Diner, H. R. (2004). *The Jews of the United States*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Echo-Hawk, W. R. (2010). *In the courts of the conqueror: The 10 worst Indian law cases ever decided*. Golden, CO: Fulcrum.

Eck, D. (2001). *A new religious America: How a "Christian country" has become the world's most religiously diverse nation*. San Francisco: Harper One.

Eckholm, E. (2015, March 31). *Eroding in the name of freedom*. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/31/us/politics/religious-protection-laws-once-called-shields-are-now-seen-as-cudgels.html>

Ecklund, E. H. , & Park, J. Z. (2005). *Asian American community participation and religion: Civic model minorities?* *Journal of Asian American Studies*, 8(1), 1–22.

Emerson, M. O. , & Smith, C. (2000). *Divided by faith: Evangelical religion and the problem of race in America*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Espinosa, G. (2014). *Latino Pentecostals in America: Faith and politics in action*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Esposito, J. L. , & Kalin, I. (2011). *Islamophobia: The challenge of pluralism in the 21st century*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Everson v. Board of Education (1947). 330 U.S. 1, decided Feb. 10, 1947.

Feldman, S. M. (Ed.). (1996). *Please don't wish me a merry Christmas: A critical history of the separation of church and state*. New York: New York University Press.

Forward, M. (2001). *Inter-religious dialogue: A short introduction*. London, UK: Oneworld Publications.

Fox, J. (2000). *Religious causes of discrimination against ethno-religious minorities*. *International Studies Quarterly*, 44(3), 423–450.

Fox, J. (2002). *Ethnoreligious conflict in the late 20th century: A general theory*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

Fraser, J. W. (1999). *Between church and state: Religion and public education in a multicultural America*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Fredrickson, G. M. (2002). *Racism: A short history*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Frost, D. (2008). *Islamophobia: Examining causal links between the media and "race hate" from "below."* *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 28(11/12), 564–578.

Fulop, T. E. , & Raboteau, A. J. (Eds.). (1997). *African-American religion: Interpretive essays in history and culture*. New York: Routledge.

Garces-Foley, K. , & Jeung, R. (2013). *Asian American Evangelicals in multicultural church ministry*. *Religions*, 4(2), 190–208.

GhaneaBassiri, K. (2010). *A history of Islam in America: From the new world to the new world order*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Gilbert, M. (2003). *The Routledge atlas of Jewish history* (6th ed.). New York: Routledge.

Goldschmidt, H. , & McAlister, E. A. (Eds.). (2004). *Race, nation, and religion in the Americas*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Green, S. K. (2012). *The Bible, the school, and the Constitution: The clash that shaped modern church-state doctrine*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Grieco, E. M. , Trevelyan, E. , Larsen, L. , Acosta, Y. D. , Gambino, C. , de la Cruz, P. , Gryn, T. , & Walters, N. (2012, Oct.). *The size, place of birth, and geographic distribution of the foreign-born population in the United States: 1960 to 2010* (Population Division Working Paper, 96). Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/population/foreign/files/WorkingPaper96.pdf>

Grinde, D. A. (2004). *Taking the Indian out of the Indian: U.S. policies of ethnocide through education*. *Wicazo Sa Review*, 19(2), 25–32.

Gualtieri, S. (2001). *Becoming "white": Race, religion and the foundations of Syrian/Lebanese ethnicity in the United States*. *Journal of American Ethnic History*, 20(4), 29–58.

Guglielmo, T. A. (2003). *White on arrival: Italians, race, color, and power in Chicago, 1890–1945*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Guglielmo, T. A. , & Salerno, S. (2003). *Are Italians white? How race is made in America*. New York: Routledge.

Haddad, Y. Y. (2002). *Muslims in the West: From sojourners to citizens*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Haddad, Y. Y. (2011). *Becoming American? The forging of Arab and Muslim identity in pluralist America*. Waco, TX: Baylor University Press.

Hafiz, S. , & Raghunathan, S. (2014). *Under suspicion, under attack: Xenophobic political rhetoric and hate violence against South Asian, Muslim, Sikh, Hindu, Middle Eastern, and Arab communities in the United States*. South Asian Americans Leading Together (SAALT). Retrieved from http://saalt.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/SAALT_report_full_links.pdf

Haney-López, I. F. (1996). *White by law: The legal construction of race*. New York: New York University Press.

Harvey, P. (2003). "A servant of servants shall he be": The construction of race in American religious mythologies. In C. R. Prentiss (Ed.), *Religion and the creation of race and ethnicity* (pp. 13–27). New York: New York University Press.

Haynes, C. C. , Chaltain, S. , Ferguson, J. , Hudson, D. L. , Jr., & Thomas, O. (2003). *The First Amendment in schools: A guide from the First Amendment Center*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision & Curriculum.

Haynes, C. C. , & Thomas, O. (2001). *Finding common ground: A guide to religious liberty in public schools*. Nashville, TN: The First Amendment Center.

Hilberg, R. (1961). *The destruction of the European Jews*. New York: Harper & Row.

Hilberg, R. (2003). *The destruction of the European Jews* (3rd ed.), 3 volumes. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Hinduism Today (2008, Jan./Feb./Mar.). So, how many Hindus are there in the U.S.? U.S. Census Bureau's American community survey provides the best answer. *Hinduism Today*. Retrieved from http://www.hafsite.org/sites/default/files/HT_Census_USA_Jan08.pdf

Hitchens, C. (Ed.). (2007). *The portable Atheist: Essential readings for the nonbeliever*. Boston: Da Capo Press.

Ignatiev, N. (1995). *How the Irish became white*. New York: Routledge.

Jacobson, M. F. (1998). *Whiteness of a different color: European immigrants and the alchemy of race*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Jacobson, R. D. , & Wadsworth, N. D. (2012). *Faith and race in American political life*. Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Press.

Jacoby, S. (2004). *Freethinkers: A history of American Secularism*. New York: Holt.

Jamal, A. , & Naber, N. (Eds.). (2008). *Race and Arab Americans before and after 9/11: From invisible citizens to visible subjects*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

Jensen, J. M. (1988). *Passage from India: Asian Indian immigrants in North America*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Johnstone, R. L. (2004). *Religion in society: A sociology of religion*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson/Prentice Hall.

Jones, D. G. , & Richey, R. E. (1974). The civil religion debate. In D. G. Jones & R. E. Richey (Eds.), *American civil religion* (pp. 3–18). New York: Harper & Row.

Jones, S. P. , & Sheffield, E. C. (Eds.). (2009). *The role of religion in 21st century public schools*. Bern, Switzerland: Peter Lang.

Joshi, K. Y. (2006). *New roots in America's sacred ground: Religion, race, and ethnicity in Indian America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Joshi, K. Y. (2009). The racialization of religion in the United States. In W. J. Blumenfeld , K. Y. Joshi,, & E. K. Fairchild (Eds.), *Investigating Christian privilege and religious oppression in the United States* (pp. 37–56). Rotterdam/Taipei: Sense Publishers.

Juergensmeyer, M. (2000). *Terror in the mind of God: The global rise of religious violence* (3rd ed.). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Juergensmeyer, M. (2004). Religious terror and the secular state. *Harvard International Review*. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4w99n8tk>

Kapila, S. (2007). Race matters: Orientalism and religion, India and beyond. *Modern Asian Studies*, 41(3), 471–513.

Karpf, A. , Klug, B. , Rose, J. , & Rosenbaum, B. (Eds.). (2008). *A time to speak out: Independent Jewish voices on Israel, Zionism and Jewish identity*. New York: Verso.

Killermann, S. (2012). 30+ examples of Christian privilege. Project Humanities, Arizona State University. Retrieved from <https://humanities.asu.edu/christian-privilege-checklist>

Kivel, P. (2013). *Living in the shadow of the cross: Understanding and resisting the power and privilege of Christian hegemony*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: New Society Publishers.

Kosmin, B. A. , & Keysar, A. (2015, Feb.). National demographic survey of American Jewish college students 2014: Anti-semitism report. The Louis D. Brandeis Center for Human Rights Under Law, Trinity College. Retrieved from <http://www.trincoll.edu/NewsEvents/NewsArticles/Documents/Anti-SemitismReportFinal.pdf>

Kruse, K. (2015). *One nation under God: How corporate America invented Christian America*. New York: Basic Books.

Kurien, P. (2014). Immigration, community formation, political incorporation, and why religion matters: Migration and settlement patterns of the Indian diaspora. *Sociology of Religion*, 75(4), 524–536.

Kushner, T. , & Solomon, A. (Eds.). (2003). *Wrestling with Zion: Progressive Jewish-American responses to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict*. New York: Grove Press.

Laqueur, W. (2006). *The changing face of anti-Semitism: From ancient times to the present day*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Lee, D. B. (2004). Religion and the construction of White America. In H. Goldschmidt & E. McAlister (Eds.), *Race, nation, and religion in the Americas* (pp. 85–110). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Lincoln, C. E. , & Mamiya, L. H. (1990). *The Black church in the African American experience*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Linge, G. (2000). Ensuring the full freedom of religion on public lands: Devils Tower and the protection of Indian sacred sites. *Boston College Environmental Affairs Law Review*, 27(2), 307–339.

Lipka, M. (2013, Oct. 2). How many Jews are there in the United States? Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2013/10/02/how-many-jews-are-there-in-the-united-states/>

Lipka, M. (2014, July 17). How many people of different faiths do you know? Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/07/17/how-many-people-of-different-faiths-do-you-know/>

Lipsitz, G. (2006). *The possessive investment in whiteness: How white people profit from identity politics* (rev. & expanded ed.). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Little, D. (2007). *Peacemakers in action: Profiles of religion in conflict resolution*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Loewen, J. W. (1995). *Lies my teachers told me: Everything your American history textbook got wrong*. New York: The New Press.

Logiurato, B. (2014, July 3). Female justices issue scathing dissent in the first post-Hobby Lobby birth control exemption. *Business Insider*. Retrieved from <http://www.businessinsider.com/sotomayor-ginsburg-kagan-dissent-wheaton-college-decision-supreme-court-2014-7>

Long, C. N. (2000). *Religious freedom and Indian rights: The case of Oregon v. Smith*. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas.

Maddigan, M. M. (1993). The Establishment Clause, civil religion, and the public church. *California Law Review*, 81(1), 293–349.

Mamdani, M. (2004). *Good Muslim, bad Muslim: America, the Cold War, and the roots of terror*. New York: Harmony Books.

Mariscal, G. (1998). The role of Spain in contemporary race theory. *Arizona Journal of Hispanic Cultural Studies*, 2, 7–22.

Mazur, E. M. (1999). *The Americanization of religious minorities: Confronting the Constitutional order*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Mazur, E. M. , & McCarthy, K. (Eds.). (2001). *God in the details: American religion in popular culture*. New York: Routledge.

McCarthy, K. (2007). *Interfaith encounters in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Melton, J. G. , & Jones, C. A. (2011). Reflections on Hindu demographics in America: An initial report on the first American Hindu census. Retrieved from http://www.thearda.com/asrec/archive/papers/Melton_Hindu_Demographics.pdf

Menchaca, M. (2001). *Recovering history, constructing race: The Indian, black, and white roots of Mexican Americans*. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.

Michael, R. (2005). *A concise history of American antisemitism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Min, P. G. (2010). *Preserving ethnicity through religion in America: Korean Protestants and Indian Hindus across generations*. New York: New York University Press.

Min, P. G. , & Kim, J. H. (Eds.). (2001). *Religions in Asian America: Building faith communities*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.

Moore, D. L. (2007). *Overcoming religious illiteracy: A cultural studies approach to the study of religion in secondary education*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Morris, A. D. (1986). *Origins of the civil rights movements*. New York: Free Press.

Murray, B. T. (2008). *Religious liberty in America: The First Amendment in historical and contemporary perspective*. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts Press.

Nash, R. J. (2001). *Religious pluralism in the academy: Opening the dialogue*. Bern, Switzerland: Peter Lang.

Newport, F. (2012). In U.S., 77% identify as Christian. Gallup. Retrieved from <http://www.gallup.com/poll/159548/identify-christian.aspx>

Ngai, M. M. (2004). *Impossible subjects: Illegal aliens and the making of modern America*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Niebuhr, G. (2008). *Beyond tolerance: Searching for interfaith understanding in America*. New York: Viking Press.

Not In Our Town (NIOT) . (1995, 1996). Information retrieved from <https://www.niot.org/>

Office of Immigration Statistics (2006, Jan.). 2004 yearbook of immigration statistics. U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS). Retrieved from

<http://www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/statistics/yearbook/2004/Yearbook2004.pdf>

Omi, M. , & Winant, H. (2014). *Racial formation in the United States*. New York: Routledge.

O'Shea, S. (2006). *Sea of faith: Islam and Christianity in the medieval Mediterranean world*. London, UK: Walker & Company.

Patel, E. (2012). *Sacred ground: Pluralism, prejudice, and the promise of America*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Patel, E. (2015, Mar. 11). In promoting campus diversity, don't dismiss religion. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved from <http://chronicle.com/article/In-Promoting-Campus-Diversity/228427/>

Patel, E. , & Scorer, T. (2012). *Embracing interfaith cooperation: Eboo Patel on coming together to change the world*. New York: Morehouse Education Resources.

Perry, M. , & Schweitzer, F. M. (Eds.). (2008). *Antisemitic myths: A historical and contemporary anthology*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

Pew Research Center (2011a, Jan. 27). *The future of the global Muslim population*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewforum.org/2011/01/27/the-future-of-the-global-muslim-population/>

Pew Research Center (2011b, Dec. 11). *Global Christianity: A report on the size and distribution of the world's Christian population*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewforum.org/2011/12/19/global-christianity-exec/>

Pew Research Center (2015, May 12). *America's changing religious landscape: Christians decline sharply as share of population; unaffiliated and other faiths continue to grow*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewforum.org/2015/05/12/americas-changing-religious-landscape/>

Philbrick, N. (2004). *Sea of glory: America's voyage of discovery, The U.S. exploring expedition, 1838–1842*. London, UK: Penguin Books.

Poole, E. (2003). Islamophobia. In E. Cashmore (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of race and ethnic studies* (pp. 215–219). New York: Routledge.

Prentiss, C. R. (Ed.). (2003). *Religion and the creation of race and ethnicity: An introduction*. New York: New York University Press.

Rana, J. (2007). The story of Islamophobia. *Souls: A Critical Journal of Black Politics, Culture, and Society*, 9(2), 148–161.

Rana, J. (2011). *Terrifying Muslims: Race and labor in the South Asian diaspora*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Reston, J. (2009). *Defenders of the faith: Christianity and Islam battle for the soul of Europe, 1520–1536*. London, UK: Penguin Books.

Roediger, D. R. (1991). *The wages of whiteness: Race and the making of the American working class*. New York: Verso.

Romero Castello, E. , & Macías Kapón, U. (1994). *The Jews and Europe: 2,000 years of history*. New York: Holt.

Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. New York: Vintage Books.

Said, E. W. (1986). Orientalism reconsidered. In F. Barker , P. Hulme , M. Iversen , & D. Loxley (Eds.), *Literature, politics, and theory* (pp. 210–229). London: Methuen & Co.

Said, E. W. (1993). *Culture and imperialism*. New York: Vintage Books.

Schlosser, L. Z. (2003). Christian privilege: Breaking a sacred taboo. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling & Development*, 31(1), 44–51.

Shaheen, J. G. (2001). *Reel bad Arabs: How Hollywood vilifies a people*. Northampton, MA: Olive Branch Press.

Shavit, A. (2013). *My promised land: The triumph and tragedy of Israel*. New York: Spiegel & Grau.

Shohat, E. (2006). *Taboo memories, diasporic voices*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Sikh American Legal Defense & Education Fund (SALDEF) . (2014). *Who are Sikh Americans*. Retrieved from <http://saldef.org/who-are-sikh-americans/#.VfnQdnBVhBc>

Singh, J. (2003). The racialization of minoritized religious identity: Constructing sacred sites at the intersection of white and Christian supremacy. In J. N. Iwamura & P. Spickard (Eds.), *Revealing the sacred in Asian and Pacific America* (pp. 87–106). New York: Routledge.

Singh, J. (2013). A new American apartheid: Racialized, religious minorities in the post-9/11 era. *Sikh Formations: Religion, Culture, Theory*, 9(2), 115–144.

Smedley, A. (1999). *Race in North America: Origin and evolution of a worldview*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Smock, D. R. (Ed.). (2002). *Interfaith dialogue and peacebuilding*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace.

Snow, J. (2004). The civilization of white men: The race of the Hindu in United States v. Bhagat Singh Thind. In H. Goldschmidt & E. McAlister (Eds.), *Race, nation, and religion in the Americas* (pp. 259–280). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Steinberg, S. R. , & Kincheloe, J. L. (Eds.). (2009). *Christotainment: Selling Jesus through popular culture*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Takaki, R. (1991). Between “two endless days”: The continuous journey to the Promised Land. In *A different mirror: A history of multicultural America* (pp. 277–310). Boston: Little, Brown & Co.

Takaki, R. (1998). *Strangers from a different shore: A history of Asian Americans* (updated & rev. ed.). Boston: Little, Brown & Co.

Takaki, R. (2008). *A different mirror: A history of multicultural America* (rev. ed.). Little, Brown & Co.

Tanaka, K. (2011, July-Sept.). Dramatic growth of American Buddhism: An Overview. *Dharma World: For Living Buddhism & Interfaith Dialogue*. Retrieved from http://www.kosei-shuppan.co.jp/english/text/mag/2011/11_789_2.html

Tighe, E. , Saxe, L. , Magidin de Kramer, R. , & Parmer, D. (2013, Sept.). American Jewish population estimates: 2012. Steinhardt Social Research Institute, Brandeis University. Retrieved from <http://www.brandeis.edu/ssri/noteworthy/amjewishpop.html>

Tolan, S. (2006). *The lemon tree: An Arab, a Jew, and the heart of the Middle East*. New York: Bloomsbury.

Weinberg, M. (1986). *Because they were Jews: A history of antisemitism*. New York: Greenwood Press.

Wills, D. W. (2005). *Christianity in the United States: A historical survey and interpretation*. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.

Winant, H. (2001). *The world is a ghetto: Race and democracy since World War II*. New York: Basic Books.

Wistrich, R. S. (1991). *Antisemitism: The longest hatred*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Wyman, D. S. (2007). *The abandonment of the Jews: America and the Holocaust 1941–1945*. New York: The New Press.

Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the politics of difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Ableism

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality . (2015, May 19). 2014 National Healthcare Quality & Disparities Report (AHRQ Pub. No. 15–0007). Retrieved June 7, 2015, from <http://www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/nhqrdr/nhqrdr14/index.html>

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as Amended (ADA) . (2009). Retrieved from <http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm>

American Psychiatric Association . (2013). *The diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM 5*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Arthur, E. , McGill, D. , & Essary, E. H. (2014). Playing it straight: Framing strategies among reparative therapists. *Sociological Inquiry*, 84(1), 16–41.

Ayvazian, A. (1995). Interrupting the cycle of oppression: The role of allies as agents of change. *Fellowship*, January–February 1995, pp. 7–10.

Ball, E. W. , & Harry, B. (2010). Assessment and the policing of the norm. In C. Dudley-Marling & A. Gurn (Eds.), *The myth of the normal curve* (pp. 105–122). New York: Peter Lang.

Ben-Zeev, D. , Corrigan, P. W. , Britt, T. W. , & Langford, L. (2012). Stigma of mental illness and service use in the military. *Journal of Mental Health*, 21(3), 264–273. doi: 10.3109/ 09638237.2011.621468

Bergerson, A. A. (2009). College choice and access to college: Moving policy, research and practice to the 21st Century. *ASHE Higher Education Report*, 35(4).

Berne, P. (2015, June 10). Disability justice—a working draft by Patty Berne [blog]. *Sins Invalid: An unshamed claim to beauty in the face of invisibility*. Retrieved from <http://sinsinvalid.org/blog/disability-justice-a-working-draft-by-patty-berne>

Brabazon, T. (2015). *Enabling university: Impairment, (dis)ability and social justice in higher education* (e-book). New York: Springer, 2015.

Brown, N. D. (2008). Transition from the Afghanistan and Iraqi battlefields to home: An overview of selected war wounds and the federal agencies assisting soldiers regain their health. *Workplace Health & Safety*, 56(8), 343–346. Retrieved from <http://whs.sagepub.com/content/56/8/343.full.pdf>

Bryan, W. V. (2006). *In search of freedom: How persons with disabilities have been disenfranchised from the mainstream of American society and how the search for freedom continues*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas Publisher, LTD.

Bryan, W. V. (2007). *Multicultural aspects of disabilities: A guide to understanding and assisting minorities in the rehabilitation process*. Springfield, IL: Thomas Books.

Burgstahler, S. E. (2010). Universal design in higher education. In S. E. Burgstahler & R. C. Cory (Eds.), *Universal design in higher education: From principles to practice* (pp. 3–20). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Burgstahler, S. E. , & Cory, R. C. (Eds.). (2010). *Universal design in higher education: From principles to practice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Cerney, Janet . (2007). *Deaf Education in America: Voices of Children from Inclusion Settings*. Washington, D.C.: Gallaudet University Press.

Cheatham, G. A. , & Elliott, W. (2013). The effects of family college savings on postsecondary school enrollment rates of students with disabilities. *Economics of Education Review*, 33, 95–111. Retrieved from <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2012.09.011>

Cheatham, G. A. , Smith, S. J. , Elliott, W. , & Friedline, T. (2013). Family assets, postsecondary education, and students with disabilities: Building on progress and overcoming challenges. *Children and Youth Services*

Review, 35(7), 1078–1086. Retrieved from <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2013.04.019>

Connor, D. J. (2006). Michael's story: "I get into so much trouble just by walking": Narrative knowing and life at the intersections of learning disability, race, and class. *Equity and Excellence in Education*, 39(2), 154–165.

Cortiella, C. , & Horowitz, S. H. (2014). *The state of learning disabilities: Facts, trends and emerging issues*. New York: National Center for Learning Disabilities.

Covey, H. (1998). *Social perspectives of people with disabilities in history*. Springfield, IL: Charles Thomas.

DeNavas-Walt, C. , & Proctor, B. D. (2014). Current population reports, P60–249, Income and poverty in the United States: 2013. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau.

Dolan, K. , & Carr, J. (2015). The poor get prison: The alarming spread of the criminalization of poverty. Washington, DC: Institute for Policy Studies. Retrieved May 12, 2015, from <http://www.ips-dc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/IPS-The-Poor-Get-Prison-Final.pdf>

Donovan, M. S. , & Cross, C. T. (Eds.). (2002). *Minority students in special and gifted education*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Duhaney, L. G. (2000). Culturally sensitive strategies for violence prevention. *Multicultural Education*, 7(4), 9–17.

Fischer, H. (2014). A guide to U.S. military casualty statistics: Operation Inherent Resolve, Operation New Dawn, Operation Iraqi Freedom, and Operation Enduring Freedom (Congressional Research Services Report No. RS22452). Retrieved from the Federation of American Scientists website: <http://fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RS22452.pdf>

Fleischer, D. , & Zames, F. (2001). *The disability rights movement: From charity to confrontation*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Franklin, M. (1992). Culturally sensitive practices for African American learners with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 59(2), 115–122.

Gallagher, H. (1995). *By trust betrayed: Patients, physicians, and the license to kill in the Third Reich*. Arlington, VA: Vandamer.

Griffin, P. , Peters, M. , & Smith, R. (2007). Ableism curriculum design. In M. Adams , L. Bell , & P. Griffin , (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 336–358). New York: Routledge.

Grigal, M. , Hart, D. , & Migliore, A. (2011). Comparing transition planning, postsecondary education, and employment outcomes of students with intellectual and other disabilities. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 34(1), 4–17. doi: 10.1177/0885728811399091

Grigal, M. , Hart, D. , & Weir, C. (2011). Think College standards, quality indicators, and benchmarks for inclusive higher education. Boston: University of Massachusetts Boston, Institute for Community Inclusion. Retrieved from http://www.thinkcollege.net/images/stories/standards_F.pdf

Hamblet, E. C. (2009). Helping your students with disabilities during their college search. *Journal of College Admission*, 205, 6–15.

Hamraie, A. (2013). Designing collective access: A feminist disability theory of universal design. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 33(4). Retrieved from <http://dsq-sds.org/article/view/3871>

Harrell, E. , Lanton, L. , Berzofsky, M. , Couzens, L. , & Smiley-McDonald, H. (2014). Household poverty and nonfatal violent victimization, 2008–2012. Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice. Retrieved from <http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/hpnnvv0812.pdf>

Harro, B. (2013a). The cycle of socialization (updated). In M. Adams , W. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 45–52). New York: Routledge.

Harro, B. (2013b). The cycle of liberation (updated). In M. Adams , W. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 618–625). New York: Routledge.

Harry, B. , & Klinger, J. (2006). *Why are so many minority students in special education? Understanding race and disability in schools*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Hart, D. , Grigal, M. , Sax, C. , Martinez, D. , & Will, M. (2006). Research to practice: Postsecondary education options for students with intellectual disabilities. Research to Practice Series, Paper 6. Boston: Institute for Community Inclusion.

Hart, D. , Mele-McCarthy, J. , Pasternack, R. H. , Zimbrich, K. , & Parker, D. R. (2004). Community college: A pathway to success for youth with learning, cognitive, and intellectual disabilities in secondary settings. *Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities*, 39(1), 54–66.

Heitzeg, N. (2009). Education or incarceration: Zero tolerance policies and the school to prison pipeline. *Forum on Public Policy Online: A Journal of the Oxford Round Table*, 5(2), 1–21.

Hendrickson, J. M. , Therrien, W. J. , Weeden, D. D. , Pascarella, E. , & Hosp, J. L. (2015). Engagement among students with intellectual disabilities and first year students: A comparison. *Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice*, 52(2), 204–219. doi: 10.1080/19496591.2015.1041872

Hitchings, W. E. , Retish, P. , & Horvath, M. (2005). Academic preparation of adolescents with disabilities for postsecondary education. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 28(1), 26–35. doi: 10.1177/08857288050280010501

Hornick, R. (2012). *The girls and boys of Belchertown: A social history of the Belchertown State School for the Feeble-Minded*. Boston: UMASS Press.

Johnson, A. (2013). The social construction of difference. In M. Adams , W. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 15–21). New York: Routledge.

Jung, K. E. (2011). Chronic illness and educational equity: The politics of visibility. In K. Q. Hall (Ed.), *Feminist disability studies* (pp. 263–286). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

Krisch, J. (2014, Oct. 13). When racism was a science: 'Haunted Files: The Eugenics Record Office' recreates a dark time in a laboratory's past. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2014/10/14/science/haunted-files-the-eugenics-record-office-recreates-a-dark-time-in-a-laboratorys-past.html?_r=0

Loewen, G. , & Pollard, W. (2010). The social justice perspective. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 23(1), 5–18.

Longmore, P. , & Umanski, L. (Eds.). (2001). *The new disability history: American perspectives*. New York: New York University Press.

Ludlow, B. (2012). College for all should really mean all. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 44(5), 4.

Lutz, A. (2008). Who joins the military? A look at race, class, and immigration status. *Journal of Political and Military Sociology*, 36(2), 167–188.

Martinez, D. C. , & Queener, J. (2010, Winter). Postsecondary education for students with intellectual disabilities. George Washington University HEATH Resource Center. Retrieved from https://heath.gwu.edu/files/downloads/pse_id_final_edition.pdf

Masino, L. L. , & Hodapp, R. M. (1996). Parental educational expectations for adolescents with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 62, 515–524.

Meyers, A. R. , & Andresen, E. M. (2000). Applying outcomes research to disability and health—Enabling our instruments: Accommodation, universal design, and access to participation in research. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 81(2), S5.

Mingus, M. (2011, Feb. 12). Changing the framework: disability justice/How our communities can move beyond access to wholeness. *Leaving Evidence*. Retrieved from <https://leavingevidence.wordpress.com/about-2/>.

Morin, R. (2011). The difficult transition from military to civilian life. Pew Research Center—Social and Demographic Trends. Retrieved from <http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2011/12/08/the-difficult-transition-from-military-to-civilian-life/>

National Center for Health Statistics . (2015). *Health, United States, 2014, with special feature on adults aged 55–64*. Retrieved from [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/14.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/14.pdf)

National Council on Disability . (2000). *Back to school on civil rights*. Retrieved from <https://www.ncd.gov/publications/2000/Jan252000>

Occupational Safety & Health Administration, United States Department of Labor . (2015). *Adding inequality to injury: The costs of failing to protect workers on the job*. Retrieved from <http://www.dol.gov/osh/20150304-inequality.pdf>

Pelka, F. (2012). *What we have done: An oral history of the disability rights movement*. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts Press.

Roberts, D. , & Jesudason, S. (2013). Movement intersectionality: The case of race, gender, disability, and genetic technologies. *Du Bois Review*, 10(2), 313–328.

Rogers, R. , and Mancini, M. (2010). "Requires medication to progress academically": The discursive pathways of ADHD. In C. Dudley-Marling & A. Gurn (Eds.), *The myth of the normal curve* (pp. 87–101). New York: Peter Lang.

Russell, M. (1998). *Beyond ramps: Disability at the end of the social contract—A warning from an uppity crip*. Monroe, ME: Common Courage Press.

Schalock, R. L. , Brown, I. , Brown, R. , Cummins, R. A. , Felce, D. , Matikka, L. , Keith, K. D. , & Parmenter, T. (2002). Conceptualization, measurement, and application of quality of life for persons with intellectual disabilities: Report of an international panel of experts. *Mental Retardation*, 40(6), 457–470. doi: 10.1352/0047-6765(2002)040<0457:CMAAQ>2.0.CO;2

Schnittker, J. , Massoglia, M. , & Uggen, C. (2012). Out and down: Incarceration and psychiatric disorders. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 53(4), 448–464. Retrieved from https://www.soc.umn.edu/~uggen/Schnittker_Massoglia_Uggen_JHSB_12.pdf

Scotch, R. K. (2014, April). Models of disability and the Americans with Disabilities Act. *Berkeley Journal of Employment & Labor Law*, 21(1), Article 7. Retrieved from <http://scholarship.law.berkeley.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1279&context=bjell>

Scott, S. S. , McGuire, J. M. , & Shaw, S. F. (2003). Universal design for instruction. *Remedial and Special Education*, 24(6), 369–379.

Seabrook, K. (2002). *Class, caste and hierarchies*. London: Verso.

Shakespeare, T. (2006). The social model of disability. In L. J. Davis (Ed.), *The Disability Studies Reader* (pp. 214–221). New York: Routledge.

Shapiro, J. (1994). *No pity*. New York: Random House.

Shepherd, T. L. , Linn, D. , & Brown, R. D. (2005). The disproportionate representation of English language learners for special education services along the border. *Journal of Social and Ecological Boundaries*, 1(1), 104–116.

Silver, P. , Bourke, A. , & Strehorn, K. C. (1998). Universal instructional design in higher education: an approach for inclusion. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 31(2), 47–51.

Singleton, M. (2014). The 'science' of eugenics: America's moral detour. *Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons*, 19(4), 122–125. Retrieved from <http://www.jpands.org/vol19no4/singleton.pdf>

Sleeter, C. (1986). Learning disabilities: The social construction of a special education category. *Exceptional Children*, 53(1), 46–54.

Stinner, D. J. , Burns, T. C. , Kirk, K. L. , Scoville, C. R. , Ficke, J. R. , & Hsu, J. R. (2010). Prevalence of late amputations during the current conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq. *Military Medicine*, 175(12), 1027–1029.

Taylor, S. (2004). The right not to work: Power and disability. *Monthly Review*, 55(10). Retrieved from <http://monthlyreview.org/2004/03/01/the-right-not-to-work-power-and-disability/>

Think College . (n.d.). Higher Education Opportunity Act of 2008. Retrieved February 10, 2015, from <http://www.thinkcollege.net/topics/opportunity-act>

Torrey, E. (1997). *Out of the shadows: Confronting America's mental illness crisis*. Hobokon, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. As cited by Frontline, May 10, 2005, on WGBH. Retrieved April 1, 2015, from <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/asylums/special/excerpt.html>

Torrey, E. , Kennard, A. , Eslinger, D. , Lamb, R. , & Pavle, J. (2010). More mentally ill persons are in jails and prisons than hospitals: A survey of the states. Arlington, VA: Treatment Advocacy Center. Retrieved from http://www.treatmentadvocacycenter.org/storage/documents/final_jails_v_hospitals_study.pdf

Treatment Advocacy Center. (2015). *No room at the inn: Trends and consequences of closing public psychiatric hospitals*. Arlington, VA: Treatment Advocacy Center. Retrieved from <http://www.tacreports.org/bedstudy>

U.S. Census Bureau . (2013). *Income, poverty, and health insurance coverage in the United States: 2012* (Current Population Reports No. P60–245). Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/p60-245.pdf>

U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights . (2014). *Civil rights data collection: Data snapshot (school discipline)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor & Pensions . (2014). *Fulfilling the promise: Overcoming persistent barriers to economic self-sufficiency for people with disabilities* (Majority committee staff report). Retrieved from <http://www.help.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/HELP%20Committee%20Disability%20and%20Poverty%20Report.pdf>

Wendell, S. (2013). The social construction of disability. In M. Adams , W. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda, H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 15–21). New York: Routledge.

Wildeman, C. , & Western, B. (2010). Incarceration in fragile families. *The Future of Children*, 20(2). Retrieved from http://www.princeton.edu/futureofchildren/publications/docs/20_02_08.pdf

Williams, A. S. , & Moore, S. M. (2011). Universal design of research: Inclusion of persons with disabilities in mainstream biomedical studies. *Science Translational Medicine*, 3(82), 82cm12.

World Health Organization (2011). *World report on disability*. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/disabilities/world_report/2011/report.pdf

World Health Organization (2014). *Disability and health (fact sheet N 352)*. Retrieved from <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheet/fs352/en/>

Zeff, R. (2007). Universal design across the curriculum. *New Directions for Higher Education*, 137, 27–44.

Youth Oppression and Elder Oppression

Acierno, R. , Hernandez, M. A. , Amstadter, A. B. , Resnick, H. S. , Steve, K. , & Muzzy, W. (2010). Prevalence and correlates of emotional, physical, sexual, and financial abuse and potential neglect in the United States: The national elder mistreatment study. *American Journal of Public*, 100(2), 292–297.

Adams, M. (2010). Introduction. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 1–5). New York: Routledge.

American Association of Retired People (AARP) . (2012). *Age discrimination in the workplace*. Retrieved from <http://www.aarp.org/work/employee-rights/info-06-2012/age-discrimination-ma1788.html>

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) . (n.d.). *School-to-prison pipeline*. Retrieved from <https://www.aclu.org/school-prison-pipeline>

Baltes, P. B. (1997). On the incomplete architecture of human ontogeny: Selection, optimization, and compensation as foundation of developmental theory. *American Psychologist*, 52(3), 366–380.

Barnhart, M. , & Peñaloza, L. (2013). Who are you calling old? Negotiating old age identity in the elderly consumption ensemble. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 39(6), 1133–1153.

BBC . (2014). *Cleopatra (c. 69 BC–30 BC)*. Retrieved from http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/historic_figures/cleopatra.shtml

Bell, J. (1995). Understanding adultism: A key to developing positive youth-adult relationships. Retrieved from <http://freechild.org/bell.htm>

Bell, L. A. (2010). Theoretical foundations. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 20–25). New York: Routledge.

Bell, L. A. , Castañeda, R. , & Zúñiga, X. (2010). Introduction. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 59–63). New York: Routledge.

Bonnichsen, S. (2003). Objections to calling adultism an oppression [blog]. Retrieved from http://www.youthlib.com/notepad/archives/2003/12/objections_to_c.html

Branco, K. J. , & Williamson, J. B. (1982). Stereotyping and the life cycle: Views of aging and the aged. In A. G. Miller (Ed.), *In the eye of the beholder: Contemporary issues in stereotyping* (pp. 364–410). New York: Praeger.

Brooks, A. C. (2015, Jan. 6). An aging Europe in decline. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/07/opinion/an-aging-europes-decline.html?smid=tw-share>

Brooks, S. , & Conroy, T. (2010). Hip-hop culture in a global context: Interdisciplinary and cross-categorical investigation. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(1), 3–8.

Broyles, K. (2000). The silenced voice speaks out: A study of abuse and neglect of nursing home residents. A report from the Atlanta Long Term Care Ombudsman Program and Atlanta Legal Aid Society to the National Citizens Coalition for Nursing Home Reform. Atlanta, GA: Author.

Burman, E. (2007). *Developments: Child, image, nation*. New York: Routledge.

Bush, M. (2013, May 8). Financial exploitation of the elderly difficult to detect. WAMU 89.5 American University Radio. Retrieved from http://wamu.org/news/13/05/08/financial_exploitation_of_elderly_difficult_to_detect

Butler, R. N. (1969). Ageism: Another form of bigotry. *Gerontologist*, 9, 243–246.

Butler, R. N. (2009). *The longevity revolution: The benefits and challenges of living a long life*. New York: PublicAffairs.

Calasanti, T. M. , & Slevin, K. F. (2001). *Gender, social inequalities and aging*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.

Cammarota, J. , & Fine, M. (2008). Youth participatory action research: a pedagogy for transformational resistance. In J. Cammarota & M. Fine (Eds.), *Revolutionizing education: Youth in participatory action research in motion* (pp. 1–12). New York: Routledge.

Cannella, G. S. (1997). *Deconstructing early childhood education: Social justice & revolution*. New York: Lang.

Cannella, G. , & Viruru, R. (2004). *Childhood and postcolonization: Power, education, and contemporary practice*. New York: Routledge Falmer.

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services . (n.d.). National coverage determination (NCD) for lumbar artificial disc replacement (LADR) (Publication Number 100-3, Manual Section Number 150.10). Retrieved from <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=313&ncdver=2&bc=AAAAQAAAAAAA&>

Central Intelligence Agency . (n.d.). The world factbook. Country comparison: Infant mortality rate. Retrieved from <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/rankorder/2091rank.html>

Coe, K. , & Palmer, C. T. (2009). How elders guided the evolution of the modern human brain, social behavior, and culture. *American Indian Culture and Research Journal*, 33(3), 5–21.

Cohen, T. (2013, Oct. 1). U.S. government shuts down as Congress can't agree on spending bill. CNN. Retrieved from <http://www.cnn.com/2013/09/30/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

Collier, F. (1964). *The family economy of the working classes in the cotton industry, 1784–1833*. Manchester, UK: Manchester University Press.

Collins, P. H. (1990). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness and the politics of empowerment*. New York: Routledge.

Crenshaw, K. W. , Ocen, P. , & Nanda, J. (2015). Black girls matter: Pushed out, overpoliced and underprotected. African American Policy Forum and Columbia Law School's Center for Intersectionality and Social Policy Studies. Retrieved from <http://portside.org/2015-02-04/black-girls-matter-pushed-out-overpoliced-and-underprotected>

Dakss, B. (2005, Apr. 25). Handcuffed 5-year-old sparks suit. CBS News. Retrieved from <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/handcuffed-5-year-old-sparks-suit/>

DeBolt, K. (2010). What will happen to Granny? Ageism in America: Allocation of healthcare to the elderly & reform through alternative avenues. *California Western Law Review*, 47(1), 127–172.

DeJong, K. (2014). *On being and becoming: An exploration of young people's perspectives of status and power* (Dissertation). University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

DeJong, K. , & Love, B. (2013). Ageism & adultism. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 470–474). New York: Routledge.

Dey, I. , & Fraser, N. (2000). Age-based rationing in allocation of health care. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 12(511), 511–537.

Duncan-Andrade, J. M. R. , & Morrell, E. (2008). *Art of critical pedagogy possibilities for moving from theory to practice in urban school*. New York: Peter Lang.

Dyson, M. E. (2007). *Know what I mean? Reflections on hip-hop*. New York: Basic Civitas Books.

Dyson, M. E. (2014, Sept. 17). Punishment or child abuse? *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2014/09/18/opinion/punishment-or-child-abuse.html?_r=0

Emily, J. (2014, May 2). Judge says sexually assaulted 14-year-old 'wasn't the victim she claimed to be'. *The Dallas Morning News*. Retrieved from <http://www.dallasnews.com/news/crime/headlines/20140501-judge-say-14-year-old-who-was-sexually-assault-wasn-t-the-victim-she-claimed-to-be.ece>

End Corporal Punishment . (2014). Current legality of corporal punishment. Retrieved from <http://www.endcorporalpunishment.org/pages/progress/reports/usa.html>

Facebook . (2015). Community standards. Retrieved from <https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards>

Farzad, B. R. (2013). How is a child's preference and choice in custody determined? *Farzad Family Law*. Retrieved from <http://farzadlaw.com/california-child-custody/childs-preference-custody-how-when-choose/>

FIERCE . (n.d.). About FIERCE. Retrieved from <http://www.fiercenyc.org/about>

Fink, S. (2009). The deadly choices at Memorial. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2009/08/30/magazine/30doctors.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0

Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Seabury.

Freudenberger, H. , Francis, J. M. , & Clark, N. (1984). A new look at the early factory labour force. *Journal of Economic History*, 44(4), 1085–1090.

Ga. police arrest, handcuff kindergartner for tantrum . (2012, April 17). *CBS News*. Retrieved from <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/ga-police-handcuff-arrest-kindergartner-for-tantrum/>

Gabrielson, R. , Grochowski Jones, R. , & Sagara, E. (2014, Oct. 10). Deadly force, in black and white. *ProPublica: Journalism in the Public*. Retrieved from <http://www.propublica.org/article/deadly-force-in-black-and-white>

Gacov, D. (2007, Oct. 1). Aging Europe: The demographic crisis is the defining issue for the continent's future. *The Vienna Review*. Retrieved from <http://www.viennareview.net/news/special-report/aging-europe>

Gendercide Watch . (2001). Case study: Female infanticide. Retrieved from http://www.gendercide.org/case_infanticide.html

Goodweave . (2010). Child labor quick facts. As reported by the International Labour Organization (ILO) in Accelerating action against child labor. Retrieved from http://www.goodweave.org/child_labor_campaign/facts

Government Accountability Office (GAO) . (2012, Nov.). Elder justice: Strengthening efforts to combat elder financial exploitation. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-13-140T>

Gray Panthers . (n.d.). Gray Panthers priority issues. Retrieved from http://www.graypanthers.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=blogcategory&id=33&Itemid=83

Hadi-Tabassum, S. (2015). Why do some schools feel like prisons? *Education Week*. Retrieved from <http://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2015/01/28/why-do-some-schools-feel-like-prisons.html>

Hardiman, R. , Jackson, B. , & Griffin, P. (2007). Conceptual foundations for social justice. In M. Adams , L. Bell , & P. Griffin (Eds.), *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 35–66). New York: Routledge.

Harro, B. (2013). The cycle of socialization. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zuniga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 45–52). New York: Routledge.

Hart, A. (2012, Nov. 12). How elderly go from being perceived as capable consumer to 'old person'. *Examiner.com*. Retrieved from <http://www.examiner.com/article/how-elderly-go-from-being-perceived-as-capable-consumer-to-old-person>

Hendricks, J. (2004). Public policies and age old identities. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 18(3), 245–260.

Human Rights Watch . (2014, Feb. 4). Afghanistan: Reject new law protecting abusers of women. Retrieved from <http://www.hrw.org/news/2014/02/04/afghanistan-reject-new-law-protecting-abusers-women>

Hutchins, B. L. , & Harrison, A. (1911). *A history of factory legislation*. London: P. S. King & Son.

International Labour Office . (2013). The ILO in Latin America and the Caribbean: Advances and perspectives. Retrieved from <http://www.ilo.org/Americas>

Jenks, C. (1996). *Childhood*. New York: Routledge.

Johnson, S. S. (2009). *This child will be great: Memoir of a remarkable life by Africa's first woman president*. New York: HarperCollins.

Lakota People's Law Project . (2013). Lakota child rescue project. Retrieved from <http://lakotalaw.org/lakota-child-rescue-project>

Levy, B. R. , Chung, P. H. , Bedford, T. , & Navrazhina, K. (2014). Facebook as a site for negative age stereotypes. *Gerontologist*, 54(2), 172–176.

Li, S. C. , Lindenberger, U. , Hommel, B. , Aschersleben, G. , Prinz, W. , & Baltes, P. (2004). Transformations in the couplings among intellectual abilities and constituent cognitive processes across the life span. *Psychological Science*, 15(3), 155–163.

Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc., Weill Cornell Medical Center of Cornell University & New York City Department for the Aging . (2011). *Under the radar: New York State elder abuse prevalence study*. New York: Authors.

Loop, E. (2015). What are the childbearing years? Retrieved from http://www.ehow.com/info_8105014_childbearing-years.html

Love, B. (2010). Developing a liberatory consciousness. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , R. Castañeda , H. Hackman , M. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed., pp. 470–474). New York: Routledge.

Males, M. (2004, Feb.). Coming of age in America. *Youth Today*. Retrieved from <http://home.earthlink.net/~mmales/>

Memmi, A. (2000). *Racism*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Miller, J. B. (1976). *Domination and subordination. Toward a New Psychology of Women*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Mitchell, M. , & Leachman, M. (2014). Changing priorities: State criminal justice reforms and investments in education. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <http://www.cbpp.org/cms/?fa=view&id=4220>

More than 6,000 children were sent back to Mexico after illegally crossing the US border . (2014, June 14). *Business Insider*. Retrieved from <http://www.businessinsider.com/immigrant-children-returned-mexico-us-2014-6#ixzz3B3sLrIFQ>

Nandy, A. (1983). *The intimate enemy: Loss and recovery of self under colonialism*. Delhi, India: Oxford University Press India.

Nash, J. (2012). Sentenced to death for being old: The NHS denies life-saving treatment to the elderly, as one man's chilling story reveals. *Daily Mail.com*. Retrieved from <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-2126379/Sentenced-death-old-The-NHS-denies-life-saving-treatment-elderly-mans-chilling-story-reveals.html>

National Center on Elder Abuse in collaboration with Westat, Inc . (1998, Sept.). *The national elder abuse incidence study (Final report)*. Washington, DC: The Administration for Children and Families and The Administration on Aging, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

National Research Council . (2012). *Aging in Asia: Findings from new and emerging data initiatives*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nelson, G. (1982). Social class and public policy for the elderly. *Social Service Review*, 56(1), 85–107.

Nelson, T. E. (Ed.). (2002). *Ageism: Stereotyping and prejudice against older persons*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Newcomb, A. (2012, Apr. 17). Kindergartener cuffed after tantrum in principal's office. *ABC News*. Retrieved from <http://abcnews.go.com/blogs/headlines/2012/04/kindergartener-cuffed-after-tantrum-in-principals-office/>

New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU) . (n.d.). Youth and student rights: School to prison pipeline. Retrieved from <http://www.nyclu.org/issues/youth-and-student-rights/school-prison-pipeline>

Ortman, J. M. , Velkoff, V. A. , & Hogan, H. (2014, May). Population estimates and projections: Current population reports, P25–1140. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/prod/2014pubs/p25-1140.pdf>

Palmore, E. B. , Branch, L. , & Harris, D. K. (Eds.). (2005). *Encyclopedia of ageism*. New York: The Haworth Press.

Parents of Joel Robinson protest outside Brooklyn charter school after school administrator Amelia Clune allegedly demands students to eat food off floor . (2014, May 9). *News 12 Brooklyn*. Retrieved from <http://brooklyn.news12.com/news/parents-of-joel-robinson-protest-outside-brooklyn-charter-school-after-administrator-amelia-clune-allegedly-demands-student-to-eat-food-off-floor-1.7970908>

Persad, G. , Wertheimer, A. , & Emanuel, E. J. (2009). Principles for allocation of scarce medical interventions. *The Lancet*, 373(9661), 423–431. Retrieved from <http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736%2809%2960137-9/>

Pharr, S. (1988). *Homophobia, a weapon of sexism*. Inverness, CA: Chardon Press.

Pheterson, G. (1990). Alliances between women: Overcoming internalized oppression and internalized domination. In L. Albrecht & R. M. Brewer (Eds.), *Bridges of power: Women's multicultural alliances* (pp. 34–48). Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.

Protalinski, E. (2011, May 20). Mark Zuckerberg: Facebook minimum age limit should be removed. Retrieved from <http://www.zdnet.com/blog/facebook/mark-zuckerberg-facebook-minimum-age-limit-should-be-removed/1506>

Rehtaeh Parsons suicide: Two charged over photos in cyberbullying case . (2013, Aug. 8). *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <http://www.theguardian.com/society/2013/aug/09/rehtaeh-parsons-suicide-charged-photos>

Rethinking Schools . (2011). Editorial: Stop the school-to-prison pipeline. Retrieved from http://www.rethinkingschools.org/archive/26_02/edit262.shtml

Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.

Rogoff, B. (2007). The cultural nature of human development. *The General Psychologist*, 42(1), 4–7.

Rosenberg, M. (2014). Overview of life expectancy. Retrieved from <http://geography.about.com/od/populationgeography/a/lifeexpectancy.htm>

Rubinstein, G. (1999). *Printing: History and development*. Jones International and Jones Digital Century. Retrieved from http://karmak.org/archive/2002/08/history_of_print.html

Russell, J. (2005, Sept. 11). At regional malls, a teen test. *Boston Globe*, page A1.

Schieffelin, B. B. , & Ochs, E. (Eds.). (1986). *Language socialization across cultures*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, D. E. (1987). *The everyday world as problematic: A feminist sociology*. Boston: Northeastern University Press.

Span, P. (2013, Jan. 8). Who should receive organ transplants? *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://newoldage.blogs.nytimes.com/2013/01/08/who-should-receive-organ-transplants/?_r=0

Stearns, P. J. (1986). Old age family conflict: The perspective of the past. In K. A. Pillemer & R. S. Wolf (Eds.), *Elder abuse: Conflict in the family* (pp. 3–24). Dover, MA: Auburn House Publishing.

Steinhauser, P. (2013, Sept. 30). CNN poll: GOP would bear the brunt of shutdown blame. *CNN Politics*. Retrieved from http://www.cnn.com/2013/09/30/politics/cnn-poll-shutdown-blame/?hpt=hp_t1

Swain, S. (2009). Sweet childhood lost: Idealized images of childhood in the British child rescue literature. *The Journal of the History of Childhood and Youth*, 2(2), 198–214.

Taylor, D. (2002). *The writers guide to everyday life in colonial America, 1607–1783*. New York: F+W Media, Inc.

Teutsch S. , & Rechel, B. (2012). Ethics of resource allocation and rationing medical care in a time of fiscal restraint US and Europe. *Public Health Reviews*, 34(1), 1–10.

Thomas, E. (2013, Nov. 1). Ten-year-old Chinese pupil jumps to his death from 30th floor window 'on teacher's orders' because he had not written an apology for talking in class. *DailyMail.com*. Retrieved from <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2483313/Chinese-boy-commits-suicide-teachers-orders.html>

Thompson, E. P. (1968). *The making of the English working class*. New York: Penguin.

Tuck, E. , & Yang, K. W. (2013). Introduction to youth resistance research and theories of change. In E. Tuck & K. W. Yang (Eds.), *Youth resistance research and theories of change* (pp. 1–24). New York: Routledge.

United Nations Cyber School Bus . (1996–2014). Declaration of the rights of the child. Retrieved from <http://www.un.org/cyberschoolbus/humanrights/resources/child.asp>

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs . (2011, May 3). United Nations world population prospects: 2012 revision. Retrieved from <http://esa.un.org/wpp/>

United Nations Human Rights . (n.d.). Convention on the rights of the child. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). Retrieved from <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc.aspx>

Walker, J. (2010). Elder stereotypes in media and popular culture. *Aging Watch*. Retrieved from <http://www.agingwatch.com/?p=439>

WFTV.com . (2007, Mar. 30). Kindergarten girl handcuffed, arrested at Fla. school. Retrieved from http://www.wftv.com/news/news/kindergarten-girl-handcuffed-arrested-at-fla-school/nFBR4/?__federated=1

Wikipedia . (2014). Convention on the rights of the child. Retrieved from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convention_on_the_Rights_of_the_Child

Winerip, M. (2013, July 22). Three men, three ages. Which do you like? *The New York Times*. Retrieved from http://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/23/booming/three-men-three-ages-who-do-you-like.html?_r=0

World Health Organization . (2002). Facts: Abuse of the elderly. Retrieved from http://www.inpea.net/images/Elder_Abuse_Fact_Sheet.pdf

World Health Organization . (2014). *World health statistics 2014: A wealth of information on global public health*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press.

Wyner, A. (2014, Apr. 29). How hip-hop flourished in America. *The Harvard Crimson*. Retrieved from <http://www.thecrimson.com/column/the-gospel-of-rap/article/2014/4/29/gospel-of-rap-how-hip-hop-flourished/>

Young, I. M. (1990). *Five faces of oppression*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Online and Blended Pedagogy in Social Justice Education

Allen, I. E. , & Seaman, J. (2014). Grade change: Tracking online education in the United States. Retrieved from <http://www.onlinelearningsurvey.com/reports/gradechange.pdf>

Boyd, D. M. (2012). White flight in networked publics? How race and class shaped American teen engagement with MySpace and Facebook. In L. Nakamura & P. Chow-White (Eds.), *Race after the Internet* (pp. 203–222). New York: Routledge.

Conner, J. , & Slattery, A. (2014). New media and the power of youth organizing: Minding the gaps. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 47(1), 14–30.

Hanna, D. E. (2000). *Higher education in an era of digital competition: Choices and challenges*. Madison, WI: Atwood Publishing.

Harro, B. (2013). The cycle of socialization. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zúñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 45–52). New York: Routledge.

Hrastinski, S. (2008). Asynchronous & synchronous e-learning. *EDUCAUSE Quarterly*, 31(4). Retrieved from <http://www.educause.edu/ero/article/asynchronous-and-synchronous-e-learning>

Keeler, C. G. , Richter, J. , Anderson-Inman, L. , Horney, M. A. , & Ditson, M. (2007). Exceptional learners: Differentiated instruction online. In C. Cavanaugh & R. L. Blomeyer (Eds.), *What works in K-12 online learning*. Eugene, OR: International Society for Technology in Education.

Kim, K.-J. , & Bonk, C. J. (2006). The future of online teaching and learning in higher education: The survey says. *EDUCAUSE Quarterly*, 29(4). Retrieved from <http://www.educause.edu/ero/article/future-online-teaching-and-learning-higher-education-survey-says>

Martin, C. E. , & Valenti, V. (2013). #FemFuture: Online revolution (Volume 8 in the New Feminist Solutions series, pp. 1–34). New York: Barnard Center for Research on Women. Retrieved from <http://bcrw.barnard.edu/publications/femfuture-online-revolution/>

Sull, E. C. (2013). Teaching online with Errol: Effectively teaching the multicultural online classroom. *Online C@ssroom*, 13(9). Retrieved from <http://www.magnapubs.com/newsletter/online-classroom/story/6693/>

Watkins, S. C. (2009). The young and the digital: What the migration to social-network sites, games, and anytime, anywhere media means for our future. Boston: Beacon Press.

Young, I. M. (2013). Five faces of oppression. In M. Adams , W. J. Blumenfeld , C. Castañeda , H. W. Hackman , M. L. Peters , & X. Zuñiga (Eds.), *Readings for diversity and social justice* (3rd ed., pp. 35–45). New York: Routledge.

Critical Self-Knowledge for Social Justice Educators

Adams, M. A. , & Love, B. J. (2009). A social justice education framework for a post-Grutter era. In K. Skubikowski , C. Wright , & R. Graf (Eds.), *Social justice education: Inviting faculty to transform their institution* (pp. 3–25). Sterling, VA: Stylus.

Amos, Y. T. (2014). To lose is to win: The effects of student evaluations in a multicultural education class on a Japanese faculty with a non-native English Accent. *Understanding and Dismantling Privilege*, 4(2). Retrieved from <http://www.wpcjournal.com/article/view/12220>

Banaji, M. , & Greenwald, A. (2013). *Blind spot: The hidden biases of good people*. New York: Random House Publishing.

Bell, L. A. (2010). *Storytelling for social justice: Connecting narrative and the arts in antiracist teaching*. New York: Routledge.

Brookfield, S. (2012). *Teaching for critical thinking: Tools and techniques to help students question their assumptions*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brookfield, S. , & Preskill, S. (2005). *Discussion as a way of teaching: Tools and techniques for democratic classrooms*, (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brown, E. B. (1997). What has happened here: The politics of difference in women's history and feminist politics. In L. J. Nicholson (Ed.), *The second wave: A reader in feminist theory* (pp. 272–288). New York: Routledge.

Clance, P. R. , & Imes, S. (1978). The imposter phenomenon in high achieving women: Dynamics and therapeutic intervention. *Psychotherapy theory, research and practice*, 15(3), 1–8.

Collins, P. (2008). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness and the politics of empowerment*. New York: Routledge.

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. In *University of Chicago Legal Forum 1989: Feminisms in the law, theory and practice* (pp. 139–167). Chicago: University of Chicago Legal Forum.

Crenshaw, K. (1993). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1279.

DiAngelo, R. (2011). White fragility. *International Journal of Critical Pedagogy*, 3(3), 54–70.

DiAngelo, R. , & Flynn, D. (2010, Aug.). Showing what we tell: Facilitating anti-racism education in cross-race teams. *Understanding and Dismantling Privilege*, 1(1).

DiAngelo, R. , & Sensoy, O. (2014, Winter). Leaning in: A student's guide to engaging constructively with social justice content. *Radical Pedagogy*, 11(1). Retrieved from http://www.radicalpedagogy.org/radicalpedagogy.org/Leaning_In__A_Students_Guide_To_Engaging_Constructively_With_Social_Justice_Content.html

DuPraw, M. , & Axner, P. (1997). Working on common cross-cultural communication challenges. Retrieved from <http://www.pbs.org/ampu/crosscult.html>

Gibney, S. (2013, Nov. 30). Teaching while black and blue. *Gawker*. Retrieved from <http://gawker.com/teaching-while-black-and-blue-1473659925>

Gonzalez, C. G. , & Harris, A. P. (2014). Continuing the conversation. *Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law & Justice*, 29, 183–194.

Gutierrez y Muhs, G. , Niemann, Y. F. , Gonzalez, C. G. , & Harris, A. P. (Eds.). (2012). *Presumed incompetent: The intersections of race and class for women in academia*. Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado and Utah State University Press.

Huber, L. P. , & Solórzano, D. G. (2015). Racial microaggressions as a tool for critical race research. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 18(3), 297–320.

Jean-Marie, G. , Grant, C. M. , & Irby, B. (2014). *The duality of women scholars of color: Transforming and being transformed in the academy*. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

Joseph, V. , & Williams, T. O. (2008). "Good niggers": The struggle to find courage, strength, and confidence to fight internalized racism and internalized dominance. *Democracy and Education*, 17(2), 67–73.

Lazos, S. R. (2012). Are student teaching evaluations holding back women and minorities? The perils of "doing" gender and race in the classroom. In G. Gutierrez y Muhs , Y. F. Niemann , C. G. Gonzalez , & A. P. Harris (Eds.), *Presumed incompetent: The intersections of race and class for women in academia* (pp. 164–185). Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado, Utah State University Press.

Maher, F. A. , & Tetreault, M. K. (2001). *The feminist classroom: Dynamics of gender, race and privilege*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Maxwell, K. E. , Nagda, B. , & Thompson, M. C. (2011). *Facilitating intergroup dialogues: Bridging differences, catalyzing change*. Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.

Messner, M. A. (2000). White guy habitus in the classroom: Challenging the reproduction of privilege. *Men and Masculinities*, 2(4), 457–469.

Niemann, Y. F. (2012). The making of a token: A case study of stereotype threat, stigma, racism, and tokenism in academe. In G. Gutierrez y Muhs , Y. F. Niemann , C. G. Gonzalez , & A. P. Harris (Eds.), *Presumed incompetent: The intersections of race and class for women in academia* (pp. 336–355). Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado and Utah State University Press.

Obear, K. (2013). Navigating triggering events: Critical competencies for social justice educators. In L. M. Landreman (Ed.), *The art of effective facilitation: Reflections from social justice educators*. Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.

Ouellett, M. L. , & Fraser, E. C. (2005). *Teaching together: Interracial teams*. In M. L. Ouellett (Ed.), *Teaching inclusively: Resources for course, department and institutional change in higher education*. Stillwater, OK: New Forums Press.

Patton, S. (2014, Apr. 21). When diversity doesn't come easy. *Vitae*. Retrieved from <https://chroniclevitae.com/news/455-when-diversity-doesn-t-come-easy>

Pittman, C. T. (2010). Race and gender oppression in the classroom: The experiences of women faculty of color with white male students. *Teaching Sociology*, 38(3), 183–196.

Solórzano, D. , Ceja, M. , & Yosso, T. (2000). Critical race theory, racial microaggressions, and campus racial climate: The experiences of African American college students. *The Journal of Negro Education*, 69, 60–73.

Staats, C. (2013). *State of the Science: Implicit Bias Review 2013*. Kirwan Institute. Retrieved from <http://kirwaninstitute.osu.edu/implicit-bias-review/>

Staats, C. (2014). *State of the Science: Implicit Bias Review 2014*. Kirwan Institute. Retrieved from <http://kirwaninstitute.osu.edu/implicit-bias-review/>

Sue, D. W. (2010a). *Microaggressions and marginality: Manifestations, dynamics, and impact*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Sue, D. W. (2010b). *Microaggressions in everyday life*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Sue, D. W. , Lin, A.I. , Torino, G. C. , Capodilupo, C. M. , & Rivera, D. P. (2009). Racial microaggressions and difficult dialogues on race in the classroom. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 15(2), 183–190.

Sue, D. W. , & Sue, D. (2013). *Counseling the culturally diverse* (6th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Tappan, M. B. (2006). Reframing internalized oppression and internalized domination: From the psychological to the sociocultural. *Teachers College Record*, 108(10), 2115–2144.

Tuitt, F. , Hanna, M. , Martinez, L. M. , Salazar, M. , & Griffin, R. (2009). Teaching in the line of fire: Faculty of color in the academy. *The NEA Higher Education Journal: Thought & Action*, 25, 65–74. Retrieved from <http://www.nea.org/assets/docs/HE/TA09LineofFire.pdf>

Tusmith, B. , & Reddy, M. T. (2002). *Race in the college classroom: Pedagogy and politics*. Rutgers, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Young, V. (2011). *The secret thoughts of successful women: Why capable people suffer from the Imposter Syndrome and how to thrive in spite of it*. New York: Crown Publishing Group.

Zúñiga, X. , Nagda, B. A. , Chesler, M. , & Cytron-Walker, A. (2007). *Intergroup dialogues in higher education: Meaningful learning about social justice*. ASHE-ERIC Report Series, Volume 32(4). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.